### Component Type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component Type</th>
<th>Max Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PH w/ leasing or RA that serves exclusively chronic</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH w/ leasing or RA that serves chronic and non chronic</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH w/ no leasing or RA</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TH or SH</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSO</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### HUD's Strategic Objectives (from APR, Questions 27, 29a1, 29a2, 25a1,a2, 26a1)

**1. Housing Goal - Maximum 20 points**
- For PH - 80% of participants who remain in PH for at least 6 months
  - (From Question 27, 29a1, 29a2)
- For TH - 65% of participants exiting TH placed in PH
  - (From Question 27, 29a1, 29a2)
- For SSO - 56% of participants exiting SSO placed in PH
  - (From Question 27, 29a1, 29a2)

**2. Employment Goal - Maximum 5 points**
- 20% of participants exiting the program should have employment
  - (From Question 25a1,a2 Q36 as check)

**3. Mainstream Benefits - Maximum 5 points**
- 20% of participants exiting the program should have obtained MSB
  - (From Question a1)

### Score Calculation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component Type Score</th>
<th>Score weight</th>
<th>20% Data source</th>
<th>APR data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total HUD Strategic Objectives Score 0
### II. Consistency with HUD's Homeless Policies and Program Priorities

**Score maximum points for each yes answer**

1. Strategic Resource Allocation - Does the project address the goals articulated in the Federal Strategic Plan - Opening Doors re: maximizing use of mainstream resources in the program?
   - (maximize use of mainstream resources, as evidenced by match / leverage)
   - Score weight: 6
   - Data source: 20%
   - Application: project

2. Does or will the project utilize the Housing First or a low barrier to entry model?
   - Score weight: 4
   - Data source: 20%
   - Application: project

3. Is the project a Rapid Re-housing model?
   - Score weight: 2
   - Data source: 20%
   - Application: project

4. Does / will the provider participate in a Coordinated Access System?
   - Score weight: 6
   - Data source: 20%
   - Application: project

5. Does the project exceed the required 25% cash match?
   - Score weight: 30
   - Data source: 20%
   - Application: project

**Total Consistency Score**

### III. Priority Populations & Chronic

1. Does the project prioritize those households most in need as defined by HUD & the CoC?
   - Score weight: 20
   - Data source: 13%
   - Application: project

   - Reduce the number of vulnerable individuals on the street
     - Score weight: 3
     - Data source: 13%
     - Application: project

   - House extended shelter stayers (LOS > 30 days, < 365)
     - Score weight: 3
     - Data source: 13%
     - Application: project

   - House homeless veterans
     - Score weight: 3
     - Data source: 13%
     - Application: project

   - House victims of DV
     - Score weight: 2
     - Data source: 13%
     - Application: project

   - House youth
     - Score weight: 2
     - Data source: 13%
     - Application: project

   - House families
     - Score weight: 2
     - Data source: 13%
     - Application: project

   - Does the project currently serve the chronically homeless?
     - Score weight: 5 pts if exclusive, 3 points if serve any, 0 points for none

**Total Prioritization Score**

### IV. Chronic Homelessness Prioritization

- % of beds available to the CH at turnover
- % of beds in 2013 application
- % of beds in 2014 application

- 10% available to CH
- Increased percentage from prior level
- No increase in % dedicated to CH
- No CH beds

**Total Chronic Score**

### IV. Leverage

Percentage of project application amount toward leveraging

- Score weight: 10
- Data source: 7%
- Application: project

- Less than 100%
- 100%
- 125%
- 150%
- Above 150%

**Total Leveraging Score**
V. HMIS
refer to charts provided by HMIS staff

1. Data Quality
There are 13 data elements. For each data element, where there is missing data of 10% or higher, 0.5% will be deducted from the total score.

2. Bed Utilization Rate
Bed utilization rate will be calculated based on the PIT capacity (from question 5 on the project application) and the PIT count of persons/households served (from questions 8 and 9 from the APR).

7. Data Quality Score

Score weight 7%

- 90% - 100% or 101% - 115% utilization 3
- 80% - 89% or 116% - 120% utilization 2
- 70% - 79% or 121% - 130% utilization 1
- 0 - 69% or 131% utilization 0

Total HMIS Score 0

VI. Financial Management and Performance
utilize spreadsheet to calculate this information

1. Unexpended Balances (based on a 3 year average excluding year 1)
   (For recent projects, average unexpended balances may be calculated on one or two years and may include spending projections for the current year based on spending to date)

Score weight 13%

- 3 year average less than 3% 10
- 3 year average less than 5% 8
- 3 year average less than 8% 7
- 3 year average less than 10% 6
- 3 year average less than 12% 4
- 3 year average less than 15% 2
- 3 year average over 15% 0

Unexpended balance score 0

2. Payment Request are submitted

Score weight 4%

- Payments are always on time, complete and without errors 4
- Payments are usually on time, are mostly complete with few errors 3
- Payments are seldom on time, incomplete and contain errors 1
- Payments are never on time, incomplete and contain many errors 0

Payment Request Score 0

3. Audits are submitted on time and findings are resolved satisfactorily

Score weight 2%

- Yes 2
- No 0

Audit Score 0

4. During most recent monitoring visit were there any concerns or findings pertaining to participant eligibility?

Score weight 4%

- In compliance 4
- Concern noted 2
- Finding noted 0

Monitoring Score 0

Total Financial Management Score 0

VII. CoC Participation

Does the agency participate in the following CoC activities:
2015 PIT Count including the youth count
Attended Con Plan meetings
Attend CoC Membership Meetings or Leadership Council Meetings
Participated in the 25 Cities Initiative / Vets Initiatives
Participated in CoC subcommittee, workgroup or task force,
Action plan working group, safety net, eviction prevention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CoC Participation Score</th>
<th>0 manually enter score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Score</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Collected for informational purposes:

length of stay (from APR Q.27 length of participation)

Cost per client (taken from City section of renewal application):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CoC Costs</th>
<th>Column1</th>
<th>Column2</th>
<th>Column3</th>
<th>Column4</th>
<th>Column5</th>
<th>Column6</th>
<th>Column7</th>
<th>Column8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Households Served</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Example: 25</td>
<td>$2,654.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$15,001.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20,659</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Costs Per Household

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Other Program Sources</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Households Served</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Example: 25</td>
<td>$2,654.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$15,001.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20,659</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Costs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>All Funded Sources</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Households Served</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Example: 25</td>
<td>$5,308.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$30,127.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>41,718</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>