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Introduction

This report summarizes the City of Boston Archaeology Program’s archaeological survey at 6 Hudson Street in Boston’s Chinatown neighborhood as part of its community archaeology outreach efforts (Figure 1).

Figure 1 - Map of 6 Hudson Street project area (red) on USGS South Boston quad map.
Excavations occurred between July 8-30 2019 uncovering a large deposit of late 20th
century fill and demolition debris on top of a potential 19th century brick pavement.
Excavations were stopped at 200 cm below datum (cmbd) when the water table was
reached making further excavations impossible.

**Research Design**

6 Hudson Street is a vacant parcel with a history of continuous use and occupation
since the land upon which it exists was created in the 1830s. Archaeology on the
property intended to reveal new and significant information about the many immigrant
communities that lived in what is today Chinatown over its history.

**Statement of Purpose**

This excavation had three primary goals:

1. To document the history of the parcel through archaeology before development
2. To draw attention to the history of Chinatown, Syriatown, and South Cove
3. To engage the community in the archaeology of Boston

**Proposed Impacts**

Property owner Wilson Lee intends to develop this parcel of land in the upcoming 1-2
years. Plans are still in development. Given that this parcel is not protected by a
preservation restriction, landmarking, or other preservation laws and there is not yet any
need to file a PNF with the MHC, there are no current legal requirements for
archaeological mitigation.

Any development on the parcel is likely to fill most if not all of the parcel boundaries,
which will inevitably result in the loss of any archaeological data currently preserved on
the site. Without archaeological survey, it is unlikely that the archaeological data that
may be on the site will not be otherwise professionally documented.
**Project area**

**Existing Conditions**

The parcel is rectangular-shaped, measuring 6.1 meters wide by 18.5 meters long (20 feet by 61 feet) or 116 square meters (1,253 square feet) in size (Figure 2). It is currently vacant (Figure 3-4). The property is bounded on the west by Hudson street, on the south by a neighboring vacant parcel (8 Hudson Street), to the east by state-owned land, and to the north by Mary Soo Hoo Park (Figures 2, 4-5), which is owned by the Massachusetts Turnpike but maintained by the Rose Fitzgerald Kennedy Greenway Conservancy. One parcel to the north beyond Mary Soo Hoo Park is the Chinatown Gate (Figure 5).

![Figure 2- 6 Hudson Street marked in red. Note proximity to Mary Soo Hoo Park and Chinatown Gate.](image-url)
Figure 3- View East from Hudson street of project area. Left (north) is red fence of Mary Soo Hoo park, right (south) is neighboring vacant land. State property beyond black fence at rear of lot. Fence along Hudson street visible in lower left corner.
Figure 4: View south from Mary Soo Hoo Park towards 6 Hudson street parcel (between red and silver fences. 8-12 Hudson street visible in grassy vacant area beyond silver fence. 16 Hudson street party wall is visible as the brick facade in rear of image.

Figure 5: Project area (indicated under red arrow) relative to Chinatown Gate. View from Beach Street looking East towards gate, Soo Hoo park (center), and 6-12 Hudson street (right).
Historical Background

6 Hudson street is located within the cultural center of a relatively intact early 19th century downtown neighborhood representing a diverse cross-section of Boston history (Figure 6).

Figure 6- Google 3D aerial image showing project area (red) near gate and Soo Hoo park (upper right). Note buildings on the opposite side of Hudson street (center/left), which would have been identical to 6 Hudson Street prior to demolition.
There are numerous sources of documentary data available for 6 Hudson street, all of which contribute to the discussion, below, including historic maps, deeds, tax records, census documents, restaurant guides, books, and oral histories.

Pre-Contact History

Prior to filling episodes in the 1830s, 6 Hudson street was tidal mudflats known historically as South Cove. Boston’s sea levels have risen dramatically since their lowest levels approximately 15,000 years ago, meaning that until relatively recently, approximately 3,000 years ago, the land that became 6 Hudson street was inhabitable.

There are three Native pre-contact archaeological sites documented within .5 km of 6 Hudson (Figure 7). These include the Block 79 Prehistoric Site (19-SU-61), Federal St. Shellheap (19-SU-13), and Woven Plant Fiber Textile site (19-SU-114). All three of these Native sites are directly connected to the use of near-coastal marine resources in the region.

The Block 79 Prehistoric Site was first documented by archaeologists on Boston Common during archaeological surveys ahead of a proposed lighting network on the Common (Pendery 1988). In 2007, an author of this permit conducted a re-analysis of the artifacts from this site documenting a late archaic (3,600-5,200 BP) and woodland (400-1000 BP) period occupation coupled with an intact shell midden deposit (Bagley 2007).

The Federal Street Shellheap is an early documented historical reference to a shell midden located in what is today the Millennium Tower in Downtown Crossing.

The author of this permit was the primary researcher contributing to the Woven Plant Fiber Textile site, a fragment of woven reed or marine grass textile reportedly found in clay deposits below 470 Atlantic Avenue, today the Independence Wharf Building. Carbon dating of the textile placed its creation between AD 1451-1513 or AD 1600-1617. Its presence within marine clay deposits indicates that it likely sank into the seabed during its initial use prior to the arrival of Europeans to Shawmut, the place we now call Boston.
While these three sites are the closest to this particular location, two other sites are significant to this project with regards to their discovery location (Figure 7). The Boylston Street or Back Bay Fishweirs have been documented numerous times throughout the past 100 years. They were placed in marine clay deposits of the former Back Bay at low in order to trap and barricade anadromous fish during their spring spawning runs up rivers at high tide. As 6 Hudson Street would have been a location along the western shore of a tidal rivers and tributaries of South Bay (today a shopping mall), the site would have been affected by tides and a possible location of fishweirs in
the deepest components of the site. It is possible that South Cove may have been a site of wooden fishweirs (Decima and Dincauze 1998).

Finally, the presence of an Archaic submerged native habitation area found off the eastern shoreline of Charlestown during the excavations of Town Dock (Ritchie 1994), indicated the possibility for preserved habitation sites in areas that have since become inundated due to the rise of sea levels. Before 6 Hudson Street and South Cove were tidal, they were likely dry land areas along the shore of South Cove and may have been the site of Native habitation prior to the Woodland period (before 3,000 BP).

**Pre-1833 South Cove History**

Prior to 1838, the project area was located just east of the former shoreline of Boston. According to Nancy Seasholes (2003), the shoreline of South Cove was located approximately 150 meters to the north and west of the project area roughly following Harrison Avenue to the west (Figure 8).

Early landmaking episodes began early in the 18th century, with piers built extending east and south from Washington Street along the narrow neck that connected Shawmut/Boston to Roxbury (Seashole 2003, 237). The main goals of these early wharfs were to build vertical wall to aid in preventing the narrow and low-lying neck from flooding during storm surges and king tides (Seashole 2003, 238).

By the end of the 18th century, many wharfs extended into South Cove, nearly reaching the project area (Figure 8).

It was not until the early 19th century that Front street, today Harrison Avenue, was added to the east of Washington street, paralleling it and backfilling the area between with fill along the neck’s early eastern-facing wharfs (Seashole 2003, 241-243). Wharfs were soon extending east from Front street (Figure 9-12).
Figure 8: Reconstructed shoreline of South Cove, project area noted in red rectangle. Adapted from Seasholes 2003, Figure 9.1 pg. 236.
Figure 9- 1769 Prince Map, with project area indicated in red.

Figure 10- 1805 Plan of Boston showing newly extended Front street on left, wharfs, and the project area in red.
Figure 11- 1814 Hales Map of Boston showing project area in red.

Figure 12- 1832 Stimpson map of Boston with project area in red.
1833-1838: Filling of South Cove

In 1833, a “group of lawyers, merchants, and businessmen formed the South Cove Corporation for the express purpose of filling the flats” of South Cove out to the Free Bridge to South Boston (Seashole 2003, 246). Today, the free bridge to South Boston is located in the area from the southern end of Atlantic Avenue through the train tracks to the northern tip of Dorchester Avenue. The area to be filled measured 77 acres (Seashole 2003, 246).

The fill came from an 18-acre marsh at the end of South Bay and a three-acre gravel hill located in Roxbury (Seashole 2003, 246). Filling began in 1833 using laborers, wheelbarrows, and horse-drawn carts (Seashole 2003, 246). The engineers utilized the natural properties of the two fill sources by placing the clay from South Bay in the filled area, first, which was easier to drive pilings into for construction and served as waterproofing for cellars when dug-through. Gravel from the hill was used on top of this clay (Seashole 2003, 246). Cellar excavations were also carted in as fill (Seashole 2003, 246). It should be expected that gravel may be encountered in deeper depths during excavations in this filled-in area. This fill and eastern sea wall created the western portion of the Fort Point Channel. The project area and local streetscape had been created by 1838 (Figure 13).

Figure 13- 1835 Boston and Worcester Railroad Map of Boston showing project area in Red as well as propose street grid, which was still being created when this map was drawn.

1838-1900 Early occupants of 6 Hudson Street
The first mention of the property in deed records is the sale of a lot of land from the South Cove Corporation to John Edwards on March 16, 1839 measuring 20.4 by 61.6 feet (Suffolk Records of Deeds book 442, page 75-81 [SRD 442/74-81]). The property has remained the same size and shape since its first sale. At this time, the property is listed as undeveloped land. This property is sold on August 14, 1841 still undeveloped to Samuel Millard, gentleman (SRD 472/215).

On December 15, 1841, the lot is mentioned with a house on the property in a mortgage agreement with Neptune Insurance (SRD 447/239) (Figure 14). This document shows that the house at 6 Hudson street was originally built sometime between August 14, 1841 and December 15 1841.

Figure 14- 1841 Plan included in SRD 447/239. Lot 2 is 6 Hudson Street.

Millard remains the owner until 1843, when he sells the property to Charles Maynard, gentleman (SRD 501/1).
Maynard owns the house until June 23, 1868, when he sells the property to John Sullivan (SRD 929/184). From 1843-1868, Maynard appears on the City of Boston directories, annually, indicating that the property was owner-occupied. For a complete transcription of Boston Directory listings for 6 Hudson street from 1845-1972, See Appendix A.

The sale in 1868 appears in the Boston Traveler newspaper (Figure 15), which describes the house as a three-story brick house. This is consistent in description throughout the property’s existence indicating an overall lack of significant modifications to the home from its construction in the 1840s to its demolition in the 20th century.

![Figure 15- Boston Traveler story, June 25, 1868 describing 6 Hudson street.](image)

Directories throughout the 1850s and most of the 1860s list Charles Maynard with a series of boarders and residents. Charles Maynard’s occupation ranges from working at the Post Office, to Clerk (possibly at the Post office) to a Post Office employee again. The 1850 census (Table 1) sheds light on the living conditions at 6 Hudson street:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Last</th>
<th>First</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>YOB</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>State of Birt</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maynard</td>
<td>Chas A</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>1803</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maynard</td>
<td>Sarah A</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1806</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maynard</td>
<td>Charles H</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1839</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maynard</td>
<td>Anna L</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1843</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maynard</td>
<td>Walter B</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1843</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maynard</td>
<td>Emily E</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1845</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maynard</td>
<td>Sarah E</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1847</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maynard</td>
<td>Frank E</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1849</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bragg</td>
<td>Hannah B</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>1787</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donaldson</td>
<td>Mary</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1827</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Nova Scotia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorety</td>
<td>Agnes</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1810</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Ireland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snell</td>
<td>Harriot</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1805</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mills</td>
<td>James</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1820</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>New Hampshire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mills</td>
<td>Emily D</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1825</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mills</td>
<td>Emma Z</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1847</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mills</td>
<td>Harriot L</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1850</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. 1850 US census occupants for 6 Hudson Street.

Mary Donaldson and Agnes Dorety are listed as being members of the Maynard family, with Ms. Snell and the Mills family being a second family. One interpretation of these two women is the presence of live-in domestic laborers, indicating that the Maynards were of upper-middle class status. Both Charles Maynard and James Mills are listed as having Clerk jobs at the Post office, no others in the household are listed as having occupations outside of the house.

Various 20th century documents describe the building as being 22 feet by ~33 feet or 726 square feet per floor. The ad indicates that the building is 3 stories tall, meaning these 16 people share approximately 2200 square feet of living space, or 138 square feet per person (sfpp). In 2007, the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) released a document measuring overcrowding in housing. In this document, HUD states overcrowding to be anything less than 165 sfpp with the US average sfpp in 2005 of 916 (DHUD 2007, 8).
Maynard, while financially able to both purchase the home and hire domestic laborers, was not wealthy enough to make the property a single-family home, renting some of the space to James Mills and his family. Also notable is Harriot Snell's listing as the first resident of the second family in the census, implying a leadership status in the household. Based on her age, Harriot Snell may be James's mother or perhaps his unmarried partner. The youngest member of the house, Harriot Mills, appears to have been named after Harriot, though it is not certain if the older Harriot is the mother, grandmother, other family member, or family friend.

Perhaps most notable, overall, is the fact that nearly the entire household is Massachusetts-born, indicating that these residents were not immigrants but instead local families participating in the new job and living opportunities associated with the creation of the South Cove properties.

After Charles sells the house to John Sullivan in June of 1868, Sullivan does not keep the home for long selling it just nine months later to Mary Ann Flanagan, widow (SRB 955/165) with a mortgage with John Sullivan. Mary Ann appears to struggle with the property mortgaging it three times in total in 1869, 1870 (SRB 1017/17), and 1873 (1191/100). Mary Ann appears to pass away, giving the ownership to her son John Flanagan, for $1 in her will. The property leaves the Flanagan family in 1883 when it is sold to Jacob and Mathilda Berwin (SRB 1595/425).

The Flanagan ownership lasts from 1868-1883. During this period, directories indicate that Flanagans are present throughout, though many boarders are also present. The 1870 directories indicate a spike in individuals in the home, which is confirmed in the 1870 census for the property, which lists the following 23 individuals:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Last</th>
<th>First</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Birth</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Race</th>
<th>Job</th>
<th>Place of birth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dooley</td>
<td>Richard</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1820</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>Tailor</td>
<td>Ireland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Murphy</td>
<td>Hannah</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1833</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>Keep Boarders</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>Murphy</td>
<td>Wm</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>At School</td>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connell</td>
<td>John</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1830</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>Carpenter</td>
<td>Ireland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donovan</td>
<td>Peter</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1842</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>Porter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>England</td>
<td>Crawford</td>
<td>Wm</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>Brass</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finisher</td>
<td>Scotland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Last</td>
<td>First</td>
<td>Race</td>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>DOB</td>
<td>Relation</td>
<td>Marriage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buter</td>
<td>Wm</td>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1840</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Murphy</td>
<td>Wm</td>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1850</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quinn</td>
<td>Daniel</td>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1850</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bowman</td>
<td>David</td>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1839</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McClusky</td>
<td>Thomas</td>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1850</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haggerty</td>
<td>David</td>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1830</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connell</td>
<td>John</td>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1846</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dolan</td>
<td>John</td>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1830</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Couch</td>
<td>John</td>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1850</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martin</td>
<td>Peter</td>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1839</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Powers</td>
<td>John</td>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1841</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driscoll</td>
<td>Ellen</td>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1850</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donovan</td>
<td>Hannah</td>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kennedy</td>
<td>Eliza</td>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1845</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McClusky</td>
<td>Kate</td>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1852</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shea</td>
<td>Julia</td>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1848</td>
<td>Daughter</td>
<td>Single</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ryan</td>
<td>Mary</td>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1855</td>
<td>Wife</td>
<td>Married</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2- 1870 US census record for 6 Hudson St.

This census record makes very clear that 6 Hudson street had become a boarding home to immigrants and first generation Americans, many from Irish ancestry. Interestingly, all individuals in the census were marked as having both parents born in another country. Overcrowding was significant in 1870, with space reduced down to 95 sfpp (165 being the overcrowding cutoff).

Even though Mary Ann Flanagan, the owner, turns up in multiple directories as being present at the house, she does not turn up in the census records. It is possible that Hannah Murphy is unlikely to be a pseudonym for Mary Ann Flanagan as she is not listed as owning property.
Table 3 - 1880 US census record for 6 Hudson St.

In the 10 years elapsed since 1870, the house has reduced in number of individuals of immigrant families of Irish and Canadian-Irish origins. This census also indicates that the home now holds three families, as opposed to boarders. As the house is three stories, it may be that the house now is a three-family home with an apartment on each floor.

1874 is the first appearance of the home on detailed maps (Figure 16). In this map, the property is shown being owned by Mary Flanagan. Of note is the extension of the rear of the property, which the surrounding properties on the street also have. It is believed that this addition would have contained a privy.

Figure 16- 1874 Hopkins Map showing Mary Flanagan property with ell extension possibly containing a privy.
Jacob Berwin, who purchased the property from the Flanagans in 1883, sells the property about a year later to Eva and Simon Hershberg (SBR 1628/476). The Hershbergs own the property from 1883-1891 but do not turn up on directories.

In 1883, the first map was made showing both the house in detail and the materials of construction (Figure 17). This map supports the previous statement that the addition on the rear of the property may be a privy as the addition is wood as opposed to the brick construction of the main building. Previous excavations on multiple Boston sites have shown that these wooden additions on mid-late 19th century buildings often contain privies.

Figure 17- 1883 Bromley showing wooden ell (yellow) behind 6 Hudson Street. House incorrectly labeled as owned by J M. Moore.

The directories are silent for 6 Hudson from 1883 until 1885 when the house is occupied by three male borders (possibly their families too, but that is not recorded in the directories). The Boarders have the last name Grant (plumber), McCarthy (McCarthy and Lynn), and Wilson (Chandelier maker).
In 1891, Eva Hirshberg sells the property to John Martin (SRB 1987/443). Martin sells the property in 1899 to Henry M. Rowe of Newton (SRB 2620/396).

The 1890s directories are relatively quiet. The lack of an 1890 and scanned tax records for the City do not aid much in interpreting this period. Boarders are listed in 1891-1893, but only one or two per year. Then there is a gap with boarders returning in 1898. Until 1899, all appear to be white people of English ancestry (last names: Hodge, Reed, Harvey). A Frank Vizener is boarding in 1892 but his name does not produce results on searches.

1899 marks a significant transition of occupancy at 6 Hudson Street with the appearance of Theodore Nahass, a Syrian immigrant.


1899-1920: Syriatown

The arrival of Theodore Nahass marks the transition of the neighborhood into Syriatown.

The 1900 census indicates that Theodore Nahass owned a grocery store at 6 Hudson street. He lived nearby at 107 Kneeland Street. The 1900 census shows Theodore, labeled as “Thadus” as head of house, 42, living with his daughters, Loretta (18), Gilalia (12), and sons Adolph (17), and Samuel (14). All of the members of the family are listed as having been born in Turkey, as were their parents. Theodor Nahass immigrated in 1890, with his children arriving in 1891. The Nahass family lived in the same house as several Italian immigrant families on Kneeland.

There is no census entry for 6 Hudson street in 1900, but the directory indicates the Deraney, Nackley, and Kazhal families boarding in the apartments above the grocery store on the first floor.
It appears from Directories that few adult men occupied the home in the early 20th century, but it is not possible to know the size of their families at the home. It is possible that the house returned to a multi-family home occupied by Syrian immigrants during the first decade of the 20th century.

The 1910 census lists:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Last</th>
<th>First</th>
<th>Rel</th>
<th>Gen</th>
<th>Race</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>YOB</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Birth</th>
<th>F.Birth</th>
<th>M.Birth</th>
<th>Year of Immigration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maloof</td>
<td>Eliaas</td>
<td>Head</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1892</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>Syria</td>
<td>Syria</td>
<td>Syria</td>
<td>1901</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Habib</td>
<td>Eddie</td>
<td>Cousin</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1888</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>Syria</td>
<td>Syria</td>
<td>Syria</td>
<td>1904</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Habib</td>
<td>Bessie</td>
<td>Cousin</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1891</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>Syria</td>
<td>Syria</td>
<td>Syria</td>
<td>1900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haudi</td>
<td>Nammi</td>
<td>Lodger</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1877</td>
<td>Married</td>
<td>Syria</td>
<td>Syria</td>
<td>Syria</td>
<td>1905</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haudi</td>
<td>Bika</td>
<td>Lodger</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1866</td>
<td>Married</td>
<td>Syria</td>
<td>Syria</td>
<td>Syria</td>
<td>1905</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haudi</td>
<td>Najla</td>
<td>Lodger</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1907</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>Mass</td>
<td>Syria</td>
<td>Syria</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4- 1910 Census record for 6 Hudson

The same census lists Eliaas Maloof as the proprietor of a restaurant, Eddie Habib as a shoe worker at a factory, Bessie Habib as a tire worker at a factory, Nammi Haudi as a wire worker at a factory, and Bika and Najla as not working. All the individuals are listed as one family in the census suggesting that the Haudis are lodgers in the Maloof-Habib home, or that they are relatives. Only Eliaas is listed as a native English speaker whereas the others are listed as speaking Syrian, likely Arabic. Their neighbors in 12 Hudson are mostly Massachusetts-born individuals of Irish ancestry, whereas their other neighbors in 14 and 20 Hudson Street are also Syrian immigrants. This indicates that while Hudson Street was a significant part of Syriatown in Boston, it was not exclusively Syrians who occupied the space.

An October 27, 1910 Globe article states that Asid Maloof, 21, of 6 Hudson Street was arrested for marrying 13-year-old Annie George of Carver (Globe 1910).

At the end of the 19th century, Syria comprised a large area of the middle east, today parts of Lebanon, Palestine, and Jordan. In 1860, a large civil war broke out near Mount Lebanon between various clans, tribes, and militias. During the peak of battle, massive numbers of Syrian christians were killed, particularly in Damascus, where 25,000 Christians were killed by Druze and Sunni Muslim groups over three days (Shaw 1977).
One of the outcomes of this conflict and other incidents across the middle east was a massive wave of Arab immigrants, with estimates of 110,000 Arab individuals, most of them Syrians (Hooglund 1987). The majority of these individuals were Christians fleeing persecution.

Information on Boston’s Syriatown is lacking, overall, so some of the background on this Boston neighborhood borrows from the narrative of Boston’s NYC counterpart, Little Syria, around Washington street near the World Trade Center.

The relatively low rents of the former South Cove area attracted the large immigrant population from Syria to Boston, resulting in the sudden appearance of Syrian immigrants around 1900, concentrated around Hudson Street, in what would later be known first as Syriatown and later as Chinatown as Syrians were replaced by Chinese immigrants.

An 1899 article in the New York Times (Childe 1899), describes a vibrant community of families assimilating into American culture while retaining cultural aspects of their homeland including aspects of their dress, language, and food.

In 1911, the Immigration Commission of the United States Congress published a report titled *Immigrants in Cities*, presented by Senator William Dillingham. Volume 5 included Boston’s immigrants (Figure 18). It states:

“The Hudson street district is predominantly Syrian. Fifteen native families have been studied in the district, and 155 foreign families, of which 113 are Syrian, 29 Italian, and 11 Irish.

Forty years ago this entire district was a respectable middle-class residence quarter. It did not have the extremes, aristocratic and humble, of the north end or Roxbury. The population about twenty years ago was mainly Irish or Irish-American, but the place is fast becoming the orient of Boston. Chinatown centers on Harrison avenue near Essex street. Farther up the avenue and on Kneeland, Tyler, and Hudson streets there is a cosmopolitan mixture of Greek restaurants and groceries, Syrian churches and shops, kosher eating houses, and immoral resorts confined to no race.
On Hudson street, where the most important Syrian block investigated is located, most of the houses are four-story tenements, built of brick. Each house contains 10 to 14 rooms and is occupied by from four to six families. The houses were originally built to accommodate one fairly well-to-do family, and have been divided up into apartments; sinks and faucets have been installed in the kitchens, but very few other changes have been made. One toilet serves for a whole house, and bathrooms are an exception. Proximity to business houses makes the rents high in this neighborhood.” (Dillingham 1911, 432)

“The Syrians live in the Hudson street district, which is old and not adapted to tenement-house use. There is generally one source of water supply to a house, and when five or six households live in one dwelling they are obliged to share the accommodations that were meant for one family.” (Dillingham 1911, 465)
Interestingly, the 1911 report is concerned about sanitation and states that of the 112 Syrian households studied (mostly on Hudson Street) 13% of the Syrian households in zone 8 (Syriatown centered on Hudson Street) reported having just one toilet per household (Dillingham 1911, 465). Only 1 household, an Irish family, in the entire survey including all immigrant groups (1,412 households surveyed) did not have a flushing toilet in 1911. Of seven Boston groups surveyed including Syrian, Greek, Italian, Irish, Polish, Lithuanian, and “Russian, Hebrew,” the Syrian families were identified as having the greatest likelihood of having one toilet be used by three or more households at 90.2%, with 2.7% of Syrian households sharing one toilet amongst 9 households (Dillingham 1911, 466).

The report continues “It should be recalled in this connection that 113 Syrian households are included in this study and that the small proportion of families included in the income study is due to the fact that large numbers of the Syrians are peddlers and in business for themselves” (Dillingham 1911, 484). Peddlers were commonplace amongst jobs in the directory search in Appendix A. A particularly “Syrian” peddler position was that of a coffee peddler, who served cold Syrian coffee from massive brass tanks held on backs and served in small glass cups (Figure 19).
Syrian traditional dress and cultural practices including hookah smoking appear to continue in Boston, as is illustrated in Figure 20, which was taken at one of the Hudson Street Syrian residences around 1915.
Figure 20- Syrian immigrants on Hudson Street c. 1915, Boston Public Library. Note that the ladies are crocheting, wearing bangles, and have distinct hair styles using items to hold their hair in place. Note also, the hookah.

Directories indicate the last recorded Syrian person at 6 Hudson in 1918, followed by several years of no individuals present in the directories. In 1924, the first Chinese immigrant appears signaling the transition of the neighborhood to Chinatown. Despite thorough searches, no entry was found for 6 Hudson street in the 1920 Census, suggesting the house was either avoided in the census for some reason or vacant.

1920-Present: Chinatown

*Hudson Street-Area Chinatown History*

By the 1920's over 1,000 Chinese people lived in Chinatown (Chen 1980). This number increased to 1,600 in 1950 (Chen 1980). Before WWII, Chinatown was mostly a bachelor community, while the repeal of the Chinese Exclusion Act in 1943, more
families were able to immigrate in (Chen 1980). With new families forming, there was a new generation of native-born Chinese American citizens (Chen 1980). Chinatown changed from having predominantly laundry business to having more restaurant and associations that served the local Chinese community (NPS 2017, 30).

Although the exact timing of the transition from Syriatown on this block of Hudson is unclear, evidence shows that by 1930’s Hudson Street had become a mix-use, largely Chinese district that included Chinese restaurants, barbers, associations, and importing firms on both sides of the Hudson Street block (NPS 2017, 51). One Syrian, Joseph A. Hadge, operated a pool room at 15 Hudson but rented the space to Eng Shee Kung Shaw Association, a laundryman, and widowed noodle- factory manager Wong Leong Shee and his four children, indicating that there was still some Syrian presence (National Register for Historic Places 2017, 51). Tunney Lee, a local resident and Chinatown historian describes that the block south of Kneeland Street (just south of project area) had become the dividing point between the Chinese (north of Kneeland) and Syrian (south of Kneeland) population (NPS 2017, 51).

From the 1920’s the block on Hudson Street included multiple Chinese businesses (NPS 2017, 51). 1 Hudson Street had 15 residents and a Chinese laundry in the 1920’s. In 1926, the Chinese restaurant Wee Yin Low on 11 Hudson had opened and became Red Rooster in 1931 (NPS 2017, 51). In 1931 Mee Hong Low restaurant and Thing Horn barber shop shared 13 Hudson with residential space (NPS 2017, 51). In 1930’s and 1940’s Chinese goods stores had occupied 3 Hudson Street (NPS 2017, 51). Chong Lung Kee opened a store on 18 Hudson Street in 1920’s that sold everything from tea to soap, and produce such as vegetables and fish, serving the Chinese community until the 1960’s (NPS 2017, 51).

According to the Chinese Directory of New England, T.S. Tse noted that Chinese restaurants in great numbers existed covering a few blocks along Beach, Tyler, Oxford, and Hudson Streets, and a part of Harrison Avenue (NPS 2017, 49). By 1935, this block of Hudson Street had 5 Chinese restaurants, two Chinese barbers, five Chinese clubs, three Chinese grocers, seven firms offering Chinese and other goods, and two dormitories- one of which was Ruby Foo’s Den (NPS 2017, 51). Another restaurant that opened on 21 Hudson in 1940’s called Gamsum or “Gold Mountain” served the community until 1960’s when it was sold to make Shanghai Restaurant (To 2008). The painted brick billboard remains today on Kneeland Street as a reminder of the past (To 2008).
In addition to the restaurant and grocer scene, this block of Hudson street also housed associations such as the Chinese Nationalist Party. The Chinese Nationalist Party had established multiple branches in major American cities, of which included Boston (To 2008). The branch was at first founded at 10 Hudson before relocating to 17 Hudson Street (NPS 2017, 51). The organization grew out of support for Dr. Sun Yat-sen and remains as an active community association for many decades, especially after the Chinese Community Party took power in 1949 (To 2008). The Chinese Merchant Association originally located at 2 Tyler street moved to 20 Hudson Street in 1951 (NPS 2017, 48). Purpose of the association was to promote unity and provide support among Chinese merchants in Boston (To 2008). During WWII, the two associations played important roles in gather community efforts to support China’s efforts against Japan’s invasion (To 2008, NPS 2017, 60). Multiple parades were held on Hudson street to advertise funds for Chinese refugee relief and to encourage boycotting of Japanese silk (NPS 2017, 60).

![Fig. 21 Ruby Foo’s Den served as backdrop for women raising money to support the Chinese war effort against Japan (To 2008).](image)

Located at the corner of Beach and Hudson, the materials for constructing the Chinatown Gate was donated by the Chinese Nationalist Government in Taiwan in 1970’s (NPS 2017, 83). The Gate was meant to serve as a symbol of welcome that is frequently seen at entrances to cities and villages throughout China (NPS 2017, 83).
However, the project took a decade to complete due to limited funding and technical difficulties before dedication in 1982 (NPS 2017, 83). Brothers Billy and Frank Chin were finally able to successfully lobby the city to secure the city’s approval and funding (NPS 2017, 83). One side of the gate quotes the Chinese phrase “All under Heaven belongs to the people”, a saying that dates to ancient China and a philosophy that Dr. Sun Yat-sen strongly advocated for. The other side is quoted with the Confucian virtues of “Propriety, righteousness, honesty, and integrity”.

6 Hudson Street Chinatown History

Directories and census records of 1920’s provide relatively little information on the residents in 6 Hudson Street (see Appendix A). The first evidence of Chinese population listed in the directories was a “Wall Lee” in 1924, followed by the “On Hing Lee Club” in 1925.

The famous Ruby Foo’s Den restaurant opened in 6 Hudson Street in 1929 (Kaufman et al 2006). Ruby Foo was one of the earliest Chinese female restaurant owners in the country and her restaurant marketed themselves as Chinatown’s “smartest restaurant,” with the interior decor specifically designed to appeal to elite customer (To 2008). Ruby Foo’s Den successfully catered to a non-Chinese and a celebrity clientele and became the most famous restaurant for non-Chinese customers in the 1930’s (To 2008).

Throughout WWII the Den remained a legendary meeting place for theatrical and sports figures (Figure 22). Ruby Foo later opened similar restaurants in New York, Miami, Washington, and Providence and mentored dozens of aspiring chefs in Boston. Ruby Foo died of a heart attack on March 16, 1950 in her home on Jamaicaway (The Boston Globe 1950).
Figure 22- Boston Globe article from September 10, 1945

Census record of 1930’s (Table 5) indicates that there were 8 men, age ranged 23 to 47, 6 out of which worked in a restaurant as a waiter or dishwasher. One of the other 2 remaining was an importer for Chinese goods and the other was a helper for a hotel. These residents occupied the rental units above the first-floor restaurant.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Surname</th>
<th>First</th>
<th>Relation</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Race</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Birth</th>
<th>Married?</th>
<th>Birthplace</th>
<th>M Birth</th>
<th>Job</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lee</td>
<td>Yon</td>
<td>Head</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1889</td>
<td>Married</td>
<td>California</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Waiter-restaurant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sing</td>
<td>Wee</td>
<td>Head</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1870</td>
<td>Married</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Helper-Hotel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ling</td>
<td>Yee</td>
<td>Lodger</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>1883</td>
<td>Married</td>
<td>California</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Waiter-restaurant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foo</td>
<td>Lee Fam</td>
<td>Head</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1867</td>
<td>Married</td>
<td>California</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>dishwash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foo</td>
<td>Gee Gab</td>
<td>Lodger</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1891</td>
<td>Married</td>
<td>California</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Waiter-restaurant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee</td>
<td>ki Dan</td>
<td>Head</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1887</td>
<td>Married</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Importer goods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poay</td>
<td>George</td>
<td>Lodger</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1907</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>California</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Waiter-restaurant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wah</td>
<td>Yee Sak</td>
<td>Lodger</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1899</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>California</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Dish washer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee</td>
<td>George</td>
<td>Lodger</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1893</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Waiter-restaurant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5- 1930 US Census record for 6 Hudson (shortened to fit)

A July 26, 1957 Boston Globe notice states that Ruby Foo’s Den and 6 Hudson Street was auctioned for back taxes, closing the restaurant.
Researching of the property in the latter half of the 20th century is difficult given the lack of digitized documentation and numerous paywalls in place for newspapers and other more-recent historical documents.

20th century Inspectional Services Division (ISD) Permit data

In 1935, Ruby Foo submitted a permit to install a new store front and add a room to the rear of the building for a refrigerator. The property is described as 20x33’ with 2 stories, stone foundation, brick walls, and a flat roof. The permit also describes the removal of the wooden ell at the rear of the property. This is likely related to or possibly the same structure believed to be a privy in earlier maps and plans. In 1937, a permit was submitted requesting to erect a metal shed structure measuring 8x14’ on a concrete foundation to hold air conditioning equipment. 6 Hudson Street is described as a 21x35’ structure with 4 stories containing a restaurant and three family apartments.

By 1951, an ISD permit on March 19 was issued regarding the demolition of #4 Hudson street leaving the party wall between 4/6 Hudson intact, stated that 6 Hudson structure measures 20 x 40 street and is a two-story two-family building.

In February 23, 1958, a “damaged metal building in rear 10’x20’” was removed from the rear of 6 Hudson. The location of this building is not known, but may have been related to the restaurant or a shed-like structure. There is no note that the grade needed to be filled suggesting this structure did not have a significant foundation or cellar.

In 1977, the City approved via ISD permit and Zoning division approval form the installation of a sign for Far East Travel Services on the partially below-grade floor of 6 Hudson Street.

According to permits, the building has been listed vacant as of 1988. An ISD permit dated January 9, 1989 approves “Take down and fill to grade” of 6 Hudson Street. The property has been vacant since. The neighboring properties across the street (Figure 23) are still present and represent ideal comparisons to 6 Hudson as they would have been built at the same time and of near identical design.
Field Results

Project Area Description

Figure 24 illustrates the project area of 6 Hudson Street as well as the expected structures under the surface prior to excavation. The property can be divided up into two main areas: Basement and yard.

The majority of the field work focused on the yard, an approximately 6x6 meter area on the eastern end of the property, which includes the former ell and open yard space. As the foundations of 6 Hudson street were filled in 1988, the fill of the house’s foundations is considered not significant and excavations within the foundation fill, which is the majority of the property, was avoided overall.
Bromley atlases suggest that the ell occupied the northern half of the yard area behind the main brick building. This ell was expected to contain a privy, so the potential privy area is a 3x6 meter zone on the northeast corner of the property. The remaining yard area may have cisterns, drain features, garden, pavements, or other features associated with the use of the property from 1840-1988. This particular arrangement of ell and main structure is remarkably similar to those encountered at the Washington Garen (report in progress). There, no foundations for the wooden ell structure were located, but a circular privy was found in the rear of the ell, with a large cistern located closer to the main house half way under the ell and half way under the yard. It is possible that a cistern and privy would be found in this yard.
Figure 24- Base map of hypothetical 6 Hudson landscape with 1-meter grid superimposed
Intensive (Locational) Survey

Discussions with the current property owner revealed that soon after demolition of the property was complete, the owner paid to have soil brought to the site and used as a capping fill for both the foundations of 6 Hudson and the rear yard area. According to the current owner, up to four feet of fill was brought in to fill the backyard.

Four shovel test pits (STPs) were excavated (Figure 25) to better understand the fill deposit on the rear of the yard prior to opening the rear of the yard through large open excavation areas.

The horizontal datum (N100 E100) was placed at the northeast corner of the property, with a grid extending west and south from the datum.

The vertical datum (0cmbd) was placed at the base of a steel fence post where it meets the surface of the yard (see Figure 25 for location of horizontal and vertical datums). A Datum will be setup on the site, likely somewhere on the outer periphery of the parcel. The 1-meter grid coordinates will begin from this point at N100 E100 and will remain in use throughout the project, but depth datum will be established at a later date. All excavations for this initial testing phase will be measured from the surface, which is level across the site (see Figure 25).
Figure 25 - Map of STPs excavated at 6 Hudson Street during the intensive (locational) survey phase. Note vertical and horizontal site datums.
Unit Results

Below are the results of the excavations of four test pits in the rear of 6 Hudson Street.

**STP 1**
This test pit was located in the northeast portion of the yard at grid location N98 E98.

**STP 2**
This test pit was located in the southeast portion of the yard at grid location N95 E98.

**STP 3**
This test pit was located in the northwest portion of the yard at grid location N98 E94.

**STP 4**
This test pit was located in the southwest portion of the yard at grid location N95 E94.

**Summary**

[REPEAT THE ABOVE FOR EACH PHASE OF PROJECT INCLUDED IN REPORT]

---

**Site Examination Survey**

**Project Area Description**

**Part 2: Removal of c.1988 Site Overburden**

After the upper layers of fill are better understood through STPs, we will commence with the large-scale removal of the overburden across the site. An area approximately 6x7 meters (42 square meters) and estimated to be 1-meter deep (42 cubic meters) will be removed from the rear of 6 Hudson street until the original yard surface is visible from the rear of the property up to the rear foundation of 6 Hudson street.

During this removal, hand-samples of significant artifacts will be bagged by their location as having come from the 1988 fill; however, this large removal of the overburden will not be done by grid location or by stratigraphic level, unless during removal significant deposits are encountered that require better sampling strategies. If none are found, no screening will occur. If significant deposits are encountered, they will be gridded, photographed, and mapped. Excavations within significant fill deposits on the surface of the site, though not anticipated to be found, will be screened in \( \frac{1}{4}'' \) mesh and excavated by 10-cm level within natural strata.
Once the pre-1988 yard surface has been uncovered, the site datum will be re-established in the same location as was used in the previous STP survey, but at the new depth surface. Ideally, this site datum will be used as both the horizontal grid datum and the site’s depth datum, though if necessary, a new datum will be established in a location that is suitable for excavations to continue without impedance from potential finds within the fill.

As with all of our projects, there is no budget associated with this project besides the salary of the Principal Archaeologist/Project Archaeologist as City Archaeologist. Therefore, we anticipate that all excavations of this overburden will be accomplished by our enthusiastic pool of volunteers.

It is possible that concrete slabs will be found at this stage covering all or a portion of the yard, which we anticipate needing to rent a jackhammer to cut through. We anticipate fills including soils, gravel, sand, rubble, demolition debris, rocks, and other types, all of which may make excavations difficult. Regardless of the fill types, our goal will be to fully expose the yard surface before proceeding.

Prior to any work proceeding beyond this point, the original surface will be photographed, and if necessary, surface features will be mapped.
Figure 26- Approximate 6x7 meter area of overburden to be removed as part of this survey to expose yard surface.
Unit Results

[Unit Name]
Repeat for each unit

Summary
Laboratory Methods

Upon their return to the lab each artifact was washed according to standard laboratory procedures. Artifacts were dried for a minimum of two days before being bagged in 4-mil thick archival plastic bags with hand-written labels in Sharpie®. All cataloging was completed using the Massachusetts Artifact Tracking System (MATS), which produces an archival tag that was inserted into each artifact lot bag. A complete excel-based catalog was exported from MATS for the catalog provided in Appendix XXX.
Results

Site Results Summary
[Describe archaeological results in a manner that is brief and allows researcher to understand how the following results section will be broken down: We found artifacts spanning XXX dates/centuries, they are divided up into yard scatter, X stratigraphic horizons, and X features. Each will be discussed in detail]

[Start with overall artifacts found]

[Unit of site, either deposit, strata, feature, STP, etc]

Stratigraphy and Depositional History
Use this to describe stratigraphy of results unit
[strata/level]
Summarize the strata and depositional history of each strata

Artifact Analysis

[Summarize artifacts]
Dating
Architecture
Arms
Commerce
Food and Beverage
  Food Acquisition
  Food Consumption
  Food Preparation
  Food Service
  Food Storage
Household goods
Heating, Plumbing, and Lighting
Industry and Trade
Gardening
Medical
Personal
  Adornment
  Clothing
  Other
Recreation and Leisure
Religion
Literacy and Arts
Education
Military
Transportation
Other Artifacts
Discussion

Conclusion

[Repeat for each unit of site]
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Appendix A: Directory listings for 6 Hudson Street

1845
Charles Maynard, at Post office, 6 South Hudson
James Mills, Clerk in Post office, 6 s. Hudson

1850/1851
Charles A. Maynard, at Post office, h. 6 Hudson
James Mills, clerk at Post Office, h. 6 Hudson

1852
Charles A. Maynard, at Post office, h. 6 Hudson
James Mills, clerk at Post Office, h. 6 Hudson

1853
Charles A. Maynard, at Post office, h. 6 Hudson
James Mills, clerk at Post Office, h. 6 Hudson

1854
Charles A. Maynard, at Post office, h. 6 Hudson
James Mills, clerk, h. 6 Hudson

1855
Charles A. Maynard, at Post Office, h. 6 Hudson
James Mills, agent Worcester and Western R. R., 19 State, house 6 Hudson

1856
Charles A. Maynard, at Post Office, h. 6 Hudson
James Mills, agent Worcester and Western R. R., 19 State, house 6 Hudson
Harriet Snell, widow, boards 6 Hudson

1857
George A. Farrah, artist 10 Tremont, h. 6 Hudson
Charles A. Maynard, at Post Office, h. 6 Hudson
Charles H. Maynard, clerk 8 India Wharf, h. 6 Hudson
James Mills, agent Worcester and Western R. R., 19 State, house 6 Hudson

1858
Hannah B. Bragg, widow, h. 6 Hudson
George O. Farrah, clerk, boards 6 Hudson
Charles A. Maynard, at Post Office, h. 6 Hudson
Charles H. Maynard, clerk 8 India Wharf, h. 6 Hudson
Harriet Snell, widow, boards 6 Hudson

1859
William Q. Baxter, clerk, boards 6 Hudson
Hannah B. Bragg, widow, h. 6 Hudson
George A. Farrar, clerk, boards 6 Hudson
Charles H. Maynard, clerk 8 India Wharf, h. 6 Hudson
Harriet Snell, widow, boards 6 Hudson

1860
George A. Farrar, letter carrier, P.O. boards 6 Hudson
J. Green Jones, at Post Office, house 6 Hudson
Charles H. Maynard, clerk 17 India Wharf, h. 6 Hudson

1861
Hannah B. Bragg, widow, boards 6 Hudson
George A. Farrar, lettercarrier, P.O. boards 6 Hudson
J. Green Jones, at Post Office, house 6 Hudson
Charles A. Maynard, at Post Office, h. 6 Hudson
Charles H. Maynard, clerk 17 India Wharf, h. 6 Hudson

1862
Hannah B. Bragg, widow, boards 6 Hudson
Joseph H. Clapp, boots and shoes, 77 Pearl, house 6 Hudson
Anna L. Maynard, teacher in the Bowditch School, South, boards 6 Hudson
Charles A. Maynard, at Post Office, h. 6 Hudson
Charles H. Maynard, clerk 17 India Wharf, h. 6 Hudson

1863
Charles A. Maynard, at Post Office, h. 6 Hudson

1864
Joseph H. Clapp, boots and shoes, 73 Pearl, house 6 Hudson
Charles A. Maynard, at Post Office, h. 6 Hudson

1865
J. F. Dix, 48 Franklin, boards 6 Hudson
Charles H Masury, clerk, boards 6 Hudson
Charles A. Maynard, at Post Office, h. 6 Hudson
Henry Revere (Gardner, Pratt & Mackintire), 44 Franklin, house 6 Hudson
Edward Smith (Fuller and S.) 109 Washington, boards 6 Hudson

1866
Mrs. Hannah B. Bragg, widow, boards 6 Hudson
Henry Revere (Gardner, Pratt & Mackintire), 44 Franklin, house 6 Hudson

1867
J. F. Dix, 48 Franklin, boards 6 Hudson
Charles A. Maynard, h. 6 Hudson
Henry Revere (Gardner, Pratt & Mackintire), 44 Franklin, house 6 Hudson
1868
Charles A. Maynard, at post-office, h. 6 Hudson
Henry Revere (Gardner, Pratt & Mackintire), 44 Franklin, house 6 Hudson

1869
George H. Ball, Bookbinder, 13 Washington H. 6 Hudson
Ann Flanagan H 6 Hudson

1870
John F. Couch, clerk, Purchase, cor. Federal, boards 6 Hudson
John Dolan, porter, boards 6 Hudson
Mrs. Ann Flanagan house 6 Hudson
Patrick Hayes, mason, boards 6 Hudson
John Quigley, peddler, bds. 6 Hudson
J. I. Tillman, jeweller, 2 Winter, bds. 6 Hudson
John Welch, packer, 151 Milk, boards 6 Hudson

1871
John M. Byrne, 33 Summer, boards 6 Hudson
Joseph Franey, tailor, 294 ½ Wash. h. 6 Hudson

1872
Morris Breen, machinist, boards 6 Hudson
Michael Driscoll, porter, boards 6 Hudson
Bernard Flanagan, Tailor, bds. 6 Hudson
Cornelius J. Lynch, musician, boards 6 Hudson
Jeremiah Lynch, salesman, 44 Broad, h. 6 Hudson
Michael Mallen, house 6 Hudson
Jeremiah Toomey, laborer, boards 6 Hudson
Cornelius Whelton, tailor, bds. 6 Hudson
Patrick Whelton, tailor, boards 6 Hudson

1873 (data from tax valuation records)
Jeremiah Lynch, agent
Cornelius Lynch, Musician
Cornelius Wilton, Jy.(?) Tailor
Patrick Wilton, Jy.(?) Tailor
James Lynch, Laborer
--- Wade, P.O. Clerk

1874 (data from tax valuation records)
https://www.familysearch.org/ark:/61903/3:1:3QS7-89V2-4NL5?i=24&wc=9BT9-VZS%3A1017717701%2C106785902&cc=2125599)
Jeremiah Lynch, agent
Cornelius Lynch, Musician
Terrence Lynch, Laborer
Patrick Downey, Tailor
Mathew F. Bassidy, St[?]re Worker
John Monach, Cloth Dresser
--- Hawks, Tailor
Antoine J. Wallman, Cloth Examiner

1875
Patrick Casey, stone-cutter, bds 6 Hudson
Thomas Flanagan, House 6 Hudson
James Garvey, marble worker, boards 6 Hudson
Augustus, Hutchins, house 6 Hudson, ward 16
Thomas Lynch, bricklayer, boards 6 Hudson
John P. McDermott, cabinet maker, b. 6 Hudson
Charles L. Taber, conductor, M. R. R. House 6 Hudson, ward 16

1876
Patrick Casey, stone cutter bds 6 Hudson

1877

1878
George Ogelvie house 6 hudson

1879 (data from tax valuation https://www.familysearch.org/ark:/61903/3:1:3QS7-L9LW-P9NK-Q?i=138&wc=9BTW-3TR%3A1017717701%2C1292552504&cc=2125599)
John McDermott, Polisher
Patrick Gallivan, Laborer
John Gleason, Harness

John P. McDermott, Polisher
Patrick Gallivan, Laborer
John Gleason, Harness

1881

1885
John B. Grant, Plumber, boards 6 Hudson
Timothy McCarthy (McCarthy and Lynn) 17 Avery, boards 6 Hudson
William J. Wilson, chandelier maker, bds. 6 Hudson
1890

1891
Louis Caswell, salesman, bds. 6 Hudson

1892
Albert S. Hodge machinist 6 Hudson
Frank Vizener, painter, rms 6 Hudson

1893
Albert S. Hodge, Hodge Brothers, 15 Chardon, H. 6 Hudson

1893
Albert S. Hodge, Hodge Brothers, air valves 15 Chardon, H. 6 Hudson

1895
None

1896
None
1897
None

1898
Thomas Reed, mason, rooms 6 Hudson

1899
Mrs. Catherine Harvey, h 6 Hudson
Theodore Nahass

1900
none

1901
Theodore Nahass, grocer, 6 Hudson, h 107 Kneeland
Cali Deraney, coppersmith, h 6 Hudson

1902
Nicholas Nackley peddler, bds 6 Hudson
K. Nackley peddler 6 Hudson

1903
Willie Kazhal agent. H 6 hudson

1904
None

1905
Laham K. Fancy goods 29 Hudson h. 6 do

1906
None

1907
None

1908
Joseph Goreigry h. 6 Hudson

1909
None

1910
Edward Habib, shoeworker h. 6 Hudson

1911
Elias Thomy, barber, 82 kneeland, h 6 hudson

1912
Elias Thomy, barber, 82 kneeland, h 6 hudson

1913
Metri Cardose, pedlar, h 6 hudson
Elias Thomey, barber, 82 kneeland, h 6 hudson

1914
Miter Kardouse, laborer, h. 6 Hudson
Fodo J. Maloof, shoeworker, h 6 Hudson

1915
John Ayout 6 Hudson (business retail)
John Cardoza h.

1916
Assad Abraham, plasterer
George Khouri, peddler, h.
John F. Ayoub Grocer 6 hudson Do.

1917
John Ayout. 6 Hudson
1918
Todo J. Ayout Grocier 6 hudson Business Directory there is a grocer

1919
None

1920
None BUT lots of jewish shoe retailers nearby

1921
None

1922
None

1923
None

1924
Wall Lee

1925
On Hing Lee Club h 6 Hudson

1926
None

1927
None

1928
George Lee H. hudson
Doon Lee h. Hudson

1929
Sak Wah Ype

1930
Lee Chin

1931
Lee Chin

1934
Hee Lee

1935
The Den, 6 Hudson

1947
Ruby Foo’s Den

1953
Ruby Foo’s Den

1964
Shee Lee Chin
Warren Wong, waiter

1965
Doo Wah Wong, waiter
Warren Wong, waiter

1966
Doo Wah Wong, waiter

1972
Patricia Warren, waiter at China Sails h 6 Hudson st.
Appendix B- [insert whatever]