;;;;BCC 200618 >> I WANT TO ORDER THIS ON THE COMMITTEE OF WAYS AND MEANS. I'M KENZIE BOK THE CHAIR OF THE COMMITTEE. THE MEETING IS BEING RECORDED AND LIVEÑi STREAMED AT BOSTON.gov/COUNCIL-TV AND WILL BE REBROADCAST. THE PURPOSE IS TO DISCUSS THE FY21 BUDGET. THERE WILL NOT BE A PUBLIC COMMENT PORTION. THE BUDGET JUST CAME TO THE COUNCIL AND WE HAVE TO GIVE AT LEAST 48 HOURS OF NOTICE BEFORE HEARING ON A DOCKET. WE WILL BEÇÓ HAVING A HEARING ON THE RESUBMITTED BUDGET MONDAY MORNING 9:30 JUNE 22. WE'LL HEAR FROM THE ADMINISTRATION THERE AND TAKING PUBLIC TESTIMONY. SO IF YOU'RE LOOKINGÑI FOR THAT BUDGET YOU CAN SUBMIT A VIDEO AND SUBMIT WRITTEN TESTIMONY. THE PAST E-MAIL ADDRESS AND IF YOU GO TO BOSTON.gov/BUDGETFY21 **BUDGET YOU CAN GET MORE** INFORMATION AND WE'VE BEEN GETTING A HUGE AMOUNT OF E-MAIL AND CALLS RELATED TO THE BUDGET AND I WILL SAY AS WAYS AND MEANS CHAIR I THINK THE CITY BUDGET IS THE CRITICAL PATH OF THE

COUNCIL. IT IS CRUCIAL TO HOW WE LIVE OUR LIFE TOGETHER IN THIS IMPORTANT MOMENT IT'S GOOD TO HAVE THAT

MUCH ATTENTION ON IT SO GRATEFUL

TO EVERYONE ON IT.

THE CON SEVENTY THIS -- THE

CONCEPT IS SIMILAR TO THEñr BUDGETÑI SCRUTINY PROCESS.

IN ORDER TO MAKE OUR ENGAGEMENT

WITH THE ADMINISTRATION ON

MONDAY MORE PRODUCTIVE AND GET

MORE OF THE TYPES OF QUESTIONS

AND CONCERNS COUNCILLORS HAVE

ABOUT THE RECOMMITTED BUDGET,

WE'RE USING THIS AS Açó CONTEXT IN

WHICH TOÇÓ RAISE SOME OF THOSE ISSUES.

THE ONE THING THAT'S SLIGHTLY DIFFERENT ABOUT IT I'LL START

OFF WITHÑI FRAMING COMMENT BECAUSE

WE'RE IN AN AWKWARD SPACE WHERE

WE HAVEN'T HAD AÑI FORMAL HEARING

PRESENTATION BUT THEçóñr RESUBMITTED

BUDGET IS OUT THERE AND THE

SUBJECT OF MUCH PRESS COVERING

AND WITHOUT REPLICATING THE

POWER LAY OUT A LITTLE BI+i OF

WHERE WE ARE AND WHAT SOME OF

THE ISSUES ON THE TABLE ARE.có

AND MANY ARE UP FOR NEGOTIATION

AND COLLECTIVE BARGAINING COMES

TO THE COUNCIL FOR APPROVAL AND

THE WAYS AND MEANS COMMITTEE.

I WANT TO TALK BRIEFLY HOW THAT

PIECE WORKS BECAUSE WE CAN'T

TALK ABOUT THE ONE WITHOUT THE

OTHER RIGHT NOW.ñr

I'M JOINED BY MY COLLEAGUES IN

ORDER OF ARRIVAL, COUNCILLOR

BREADON FROM DISTRICT 9,

COUNCILLOR FLAHERTY AND

COUNCILLOR BAKER, COUNCILLOR

MEJIA AND COUNCILLOR O'MALLEY

AND COUNCILLOR CAMPBELLQ

COUNCILLOR cóEDWARDS,ñM

ESSAIBI-GEORGE, COUNCILLOR JANEY

AND COUNCILLOR FLYNN AND

COUNCILLOR WU.

THANK YOU EVERYBODY FOR BEING

HERE.

SO TO REALLY QUICKLY RUIN

THROUGH WHERE WE AREn'THE

ADMINISTRATION HAS RESUBMITTED A

BUDGET THEY SUBMITTED ONE IN

APRIL AND THEY'VE RESUBMITTED

IT.

TURNS OUT I NEED TO RESET MY

ZOOM SETTINGS TO DO THIS SO I'LL

JUST TRY TO EXPLAIN THIS.

CARRIE, IF I SEND SOMETHING TO

YOU, CAN YOU SHARE IT?

I'LL BEGIN BY DISCUSSING THE

REVENUES.

ONE OF THE REASONS WHY THE

ADMINISTRATION HAD TO DO A

RESUBMISSION WAS THAT THE

REVENUES PROJECTION FOR THE YEAR

DOWN.

THE ONE BIG PLACE THEY'RE DOWN

IS \$21 MILLION FURTHER IN EXCISE TAXES.

SO THE CITY TAKES A SMALL EXCISE TAXES FOR HOTEL SPACE IN THE CITY AND THAT'S PROJECTED TO BE DOWN ANOTHER \$15 MILLION FROM WHAT IT WAS ALREADY PROJECTED TO BE DOWN.

THE ADMINISTRATION PROJECTED DOWN 8%.

I THINK WE ALL KNOW HOTELS ARE GOING TO BE DOWN BY MORE THAN 8%.

SO THIS IS ANOTHER HIT TO THAT.
THERE'S ALSO \$3 MILLION DOWN IN
FUEL EXCISE AND FOOD EXCISE.
THESE ARE COLLECTIVELY AREAS OF
THE BUDGET THAT THOUGH OUR
BUDGET IS MAINLY PROPERTY TAXED
THEY MAKE UP A SIGNIFICANT
MARGIN.

IN ADDITION TO THOSE THEY'RE PROJECTING WE'RE DOWN ANOTHER \$9 MILLION IN STATE AID AND THAT'S THE TRICKY ONE BECAUSE WE DON'T KNOW HOW MUCH WE'LL BE DOWN. JUST PULL UP THE SCREEN -- JUST SHOW THE ONE SLIDE, CARRIE.4#K SO STATE AID IS DOWN \$9 MILLION. JUST BASED ON THE FACT THE STATE IS GOING TO A 112 BUDGET WHERE WE JUST EXTEND THE CURRENT YEAR'S BUDGET FOR ANOTHER MONTH. WE HAD ORIGINALLY THE STATE NEEDED MORE STATE AID SO TAKING IT DOWN ASSUMES A LEVEL FUNDING THIS YEAR.

MOST FOLKS KNOW WE'RE LIKELY TO GO DOWN FURTHER.

AT THE SAME TIME WE HOPE THE STATE WILL NOT CUT CITIES AND TOWNS DRAMATICALLY LIKE THEY DID IN THE LAST RECESSION.

FOR REFERENCE IN THE '08 YEAR THE CITY'S LOCAL AID NUMBER GOT CUT THE NET NUMBER GOT CUT I THINK \$43 MILLION.

SO THIS CAN BE QUITE A DRAMATIC DOWN TURN WHEN THERE'S AN ECONOMIC DOWNTURN.

AND LIKE EVER CITY AND TOWN IN
MASSACHUSETTS WE'RE WAITING AND
UNSURE ON THIS RIGHT NOW BUT
PROVISIONALLY THEY CUT IT DOWN

\$9 MILLION.
DEPARTMENT REVENUE AND THINGS WE TAKE IN IN FEES AND SUCH AND THAT \$5 MILLION IS MAINLY ABOUT PARKING TICKETS.
MY TEAM MADE A QUICK GRAPHIC SUMMARIZING UP TOP AND WHERE THEY'RE FUNDING THE CUTS.
THE BIGGEST ONE THAT'S FRANKLY PRETTY FORTUNATE IS THE CITY HAS BEEN THINKING FOR A WHILE ABOUT CHANGING THE ROND OFFERING EROM

CHANGING THE BOND OFFERING FROM
THE SPRING TO THE FALL BECAUSE
THIS IS WHERE WE PUT OUT A CITY
OF BOSTON BOND IN ORDER TO
BORROW MONEY FOR THE CAPITAL
BUDGET TO BUILD SCHOOLS, PARKS,
ETCETERA.

I'M EXPLAINING THIS PARTLY FOR FOLKS AT HOME.

I KNOW COUNCILLORS KNOW THIS. BASICALLY THE MARKET HAS ENDED UP BAKING IN OUR SPRING OFFERING IN A WAY THAT'S GETTING US LESS COMPETITIVE PRICING AND FOR REASONS WE THINK WE'LL DO BETTER ON BONDS IN WE OFFER THEM AND IF YOU SHIFT WHEN YOU OFFER THE BONDS BY SIX MONTHS YOU SHIFT BACK YOUR DEBT SERVICE BY SIX MONTHS SO IT LETS YOU SAVE A BIG COST IN THE NEXT FISCAL YEAR. IF YOU CATCH THE COST DOUBLED IN THE FOLLOWING YEAR IT WOULDN'T BE GREAT OR A RESPONSIBLE MOVE BUT BECAUSE THEY'RE LOOKING TO PERMANENTLY MOVE TO THE FALL IT'S A ONE-TIME SAVING AND YOU'RE PUSHING THE DEBT SCHEDULE ON EVERYTHING BACK TO THE FALL LINE.

IT'S A SUBSTANTIAL PART OF THE THIS FIXED COST CUT.
\$16.5 MILLION WHICH IS HALF THE \$35 MILLION THEY'RE CUTTING IN THE RESUBMISSION, I WANT TO FLAG THAT BECAUSE IT'S AN A KIND OF PAINLESS CUT THAT THERE ISN'T A LOT MORE OF BUT IN THIS ROUND WE'VE GOT THAT WHICH IS HELPFUL. THEN THE CITY'S INSTITUTING SIX MONTHS NON-ESSENTIAL EMPLOYEE HIRING FREEZE.
BASICALLY THAT MEANS IF

POSITIONS ARE BEING POSTED AND BEING INTERVIEWED FOR THEY'LL FILL THEM AND THEY WON'T POST THINGS UNLESS IT'S A COMMITTEE THAT ASCERTAINS IT'S SMSHL AND THINK THEY CAN -- ESSENTIAL AND CAN SAVE MORE IN HEALTH INSURANCE COSTS. THAT'S IN THOSE BUCKETS. THE \$4 MILLION REDUCTION IN SNOW REMOVAL HAS TO DO WITH THE FACT THEY RUN THE SNOW REMOVAL BUDGET ON A FIVE-YEAR ROLLING AVERAGE AND AS PEOPLE MAY HAVE NOTICED, WE HAVE LESS SNOW THESE DAYS AND SO OF COURSE I THINK THAT INVOLVES THE FACT THE WINTER OF 2015 DROPPED OUT OF THE FIVE-YEAR AVERAGE. SO BRINGING IT DOWN \$4 MILLION IS CONSISTENT WITH OUR POLICY AND SAVINGS THERE. THERE'S THIS RISK RETENTION RESERVE PART OF THE WAY THE CITY SELF-INSURES WE USUALLY PUT MONEY INTO BUT IT'S AT ITS MAXIMUM FILLING LEVEL SO WE'RE NOT PUTTING IT THERE AND ALTERNATIVE TO BPD REDUCTION AND FIRES ARE OUR NEXT BIGGEST OVERTIME SPACE AND THERE'S A BUNCH OF OTHER DEPARTMENTAL OVERTIME AND A CUT TO NON-PERSONNEL COST. I WANT TO FLAG THAT IF YOU LOOK AT THE RESUBMITTED BUDGET THERE'S WONKY CHANGES IN THE DEPARTMENTS. THEY HAD EVERY DEPARTMENT TO **IDENTIFY 3% OF NON-PERSONNEL** BUDGET THAT COULD BE CUT AND ORIGINALLY THEY ONLY CUT 1% AND WITH THIS RESUBMISSION THEY WENT UP TO 3% FOR SOME OF THOSE DEPARTMENTS. **BUT THEY PROTECTED THE** DEPARTMENT -- CARRIE, GO BACK TO THAT SLIDE. THE POINT IS THAT THEY WENT UP TO 3% FOR SOME DEPARTMENTS BUT THEY DIDN'T MAKE THESE FURTHER NON-PERSONNEL CUTS TO DEPARTMENTS WHERE THEY'RE

INVESTING MORE.

A LOT OF THE CUTS -- BECAUSE I ASKED, OKAY, WHAT ARE THE CUTS AND I THINK I EXPECT THE ADMIN TEAM TO TALK MORE ABOUT THIS AND OPPOSED THE QUESTION, IT SOUNDS LIKE THERE'S A DECENT AMOUNT OF UTILITIES BETWEEN BUILDING AND THE FACT GAS PRICES ARE DOWN. IT SEEMS LIKE MOST OF THESE ARE RELATIVELY PAINLESS. THAT'S THE EXPLANATION OF THAT SET UP.

GO TO THE NEXT SLIDE. SO THIS IS WHAT'S INCREASING. BEFORE WE'RE AT A 4.4% INCREASE OVER LAST YEAR'S BUDGET WE'D NOW BE AT A 3.4% INCREASE OVER LAST YEAR'S BUDGET AND THERE'S \$80 MILLION IN BPS AND \$14 MILLION IN HEALTH COMMISSION AND MORE IN D & D.

I WANT TO FLAG THOSE NUMBERS BECAUSE OUTSIDE OF CONTEXT PEOPLE DON'T KNOW WHAT TO MAKE THEIR NUMBERS BUT FOR FOLKS WATCHING AT HOME -- I JUST DID THIS MATH, FOR THE PUBLIC HEALTH COMMISSION THAT REPRESENTS A 14% INCREASE IN ITS BUDGET, WHICH, WHEN YOU COMPARE THE FACT WE'RE GOING UP 3.4% OF THE BUDGET OVERALL IT'S A SUBSTANTIAL ABOVE THE AVERAGE INCREASE. FOR D AND A LOT OF OUR CONVERSATION HAS BEEN ABOUT PROPORTIONS AND HOW TO SHIFT FUNDAMENTALLY THE PROPORTIONS OF OUR BUDGET AND WHERE WE FUND AND NEED TO NOTE WHERE WE'RE GOING ABOVE THE OVERALL AVERAGE.

AND THE AMOUNT \$3 OF MILLION FOR THE BOSTON COMMISSION FOR FIGHTING RACISM AND THERE'S CONVERSATION ABOUT HOW TO MAKE IT SUBSTANTIVE AND \$2 MILLION IN NEW PERMANENT FUNDING FOR BCYF, FOOD ACCESS AND HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION AND OTHERS. AND I WANT TO TALK ABOUT THAT. \$250,000 DOUBLES FOOD ACCESS BUDGET. YOU HAVE THE CAPACITY TO DOUBLE

YOUR STAFF.

IT DOES THE SAME THING FOR LANGUAGE ACCESS AND ALSO FOR

IMMIGRANT ADVANCEMENT.
THIS ADDITIONAL OPERATING
AUTHORITY SEES CHANGE IN SCALE
PARTLY BECAUSE THEY'RE SO SMALL
ALREADY.
\$2 MILLION FOR BEST FOR MENTAL
HEALTH COMMISSION AND GENERALL

HEALTH COMMISSION AND GENERALLY SHIFTING \$10 MILLION OUT OF THE BPD BUDGET AND HELPING MINORITY AND BUSINESSES GET CERTIFIED AND GET CITY CONTRACTS AND \$2 MILLION MORE FOR D & D AND GETS

THEM TO A 41% INCREASE.

SO \$1 MILLION FOR YOUTH

HOMELESSNESS AND \$1 MILLION TO

HELP FIRST-TIME HOME BUYERS.

AND I KNOW SOME FOLKS, LIKE ME,

HAVE BEEN INVOLVED IN THE

CAMPAIGN FOR MAHA AND OTHERS TO GET 1,000i NEW HOMES DONE IN FIVE YEARS.

AND FOR FIRST-TIME HOME BUYERS SO THAT'S A BIG INITIATIVE ON THAT FRONT.

THAT'S BEING PROPOSED IN THE RESUBMISSION.

WHEN IT COMES TO THE COLLECTIVE BARGAINING AGREEMENT, I WANT TO TALK A LITTLE BIT ABOUT THE \$12 MILLION IN BPD ALLOCATION AND WHAT IT MEANS AND WHY WE'RE HAVING A VIGOROUS CONVERSATION BACK AND FORTH ABOUT WHAT'S FEASIBLE IN THE FY21 BUDGET AND LOOK AT THE FY22 BUDGET.

MANY PEOPLE MAY HAVE SEEN A GLOBE ARTICLE ABOUT THE SCALE OF POLICE PAY.

IT'S SOMETHING MANY ADVOCATES HAVE COME AND TALKED ABOUT. IF YOU LOOK AT THE PAST BUDGET WE'VE GONE UP 22% OVERALL BUT POLICE AND FIRE HAVE GONE UP ALMOST 30%.

SO WHEN YOU THINK ABOUT AVERAGES AND WHERE'S OUR AVERAGE FOR DEPARTMENTAL GROWTH THE DEPARTMENTAL DEPARTMENTS HAVE GROWING ABOVE THE AVERAGE. WHEN YOU DIG INTO THAT IT'S ALMOST ENTIRELY BASED ON THE

COLLECTIVELY BARGAINING AGREEMENT AND THE FACT OVER THE LAST SORT OF DECADE THE CITY HAS HAD NEW AGREEMENTS WITH CITY AND FIRE AND MANY WITH ARBITRATORS AND SOMETHING CALLED THE JOINT LABOR AND MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE WHERE POLICE AND FIRE CONTRACTS GET KICKED AT THE STATE LEVEL IF YOU CAN'T AGREE AT THE TABLE. THOSE ARBITRATION PACKAGES HAVE COME BACK WITH HIGH INCREASES NOT JUST IN INCREASES IN ANNUAL PAY BUT ALSO INCREASES IN THE BASE PAY WHICH COLLECTIVELY ADD UP TO MUCH MORE SIGNIFICANT INCREASES IN COMPENSATION THAN OUR OTHER CITY PUBLIC SECTORS AND UNIONS. AND THOSE AGREEMENTS, THE WAY IT WORKS AND I KNOW THE VETERAN COUNCILLORS ARE ALL FAMILIAR BUT FOR THOSE OF US WHO ARE KNEW HAVEN'T HAD MANY COME BEFORE US IS THE COUNCIL IS NOT INVOLVED IN THE NEGOTIATION OF THOSE BUT WHEN THEY HAVE BEEN NEGOTIATED BY THE UNION AND THE ADMINISTRATION THEY COME TO US FOR FUNDING OF THE INCREMENTAL ASSETS. WHAT THAT MEANS BASICALLY, ANYTHING IN THE CBA TO INCREASE WHAT THE CITY'S OBLIGATIONS ARE, THIS COUNCIL HAS TO APPROVE THE FUNDING OF. AND TRADITIONALLY THOSE HAVE USUALLY AFTER A HEARING GOTTEN FUNDED BY THIS COUNCIL. PAST COUNCILS AND I THINK IN SOME SENSE THAT'S BEEN BECAUSE THERE'S A CERTAIN DEGREE OF DEFERENCE TO THE FACT WE'RE NOT AT THE TABLE. THIS IS A CITY THAT BELIEVERS STRONGLY IN THE RIGHT OF PEOPLE TO BARGAIN WITH EMPLOYERS AND GET THEIR BEST POSSIBLE AND THAT'S BEEN THE VEIN IN WHICH THE COUNCIL HAS RECEIVED IT. HOWEVER, THE REASON THE COUNCIL HAS THIS POWER IS THERE'S AN IDEA THE EMPLOYER IS WELL

REPRESENTED AND THE EMPLOYEE IS

WELL REPRESENTED AT THE TABLE BUT THERE'S A BROADER QUESTION ABOUT PUBLIC INTEREST AND PROPORTIONALITY AND HOW THESE --HOW SPENDING THIS MUCH MONEY IN THIS DEPARTMENT VERSUS OTHERS FACTORS INTO OUR POLICY JUDGMENT WHICH THE COUNCIL IS ASKED TO MAKE. THOSE COLLECTIVELY BARGAIN AGREEMENTS COME BEFORE MY COMMITTEE, THE COMMITTEE NOW, AND WE ARE ABOUT AS A CITY TO START NEGOTIATE IMAGINE OF THEM INCLUDING ALL THE POLICE ONES THIS YEAR BECAUSE THE CONTRACTS

OFFICIALLY END ON THE 30th.
BECAUSE OF THAT, WE'RE LOOKING
AT THESE WITH SCRUTINY AND THE
QUESTION ON THEM.

THE QUESTION HOW TO BRING DOWN OVERTIME PAY THE RIGHT TO GET PAID A CERTAIN AMOUNT FOR VARIOUS THINGS LIKE MANY COUNCILLORS COMMENTED ON, FOUR-HOUR MINIMUMS FOR COURT TIME VISITS ARE ALL IN THE

COLLECTIVELY AGREEMENT WHICH THE CITY IS LEGALLY BOUND TO.

>> AREN'T WE PROHIBITED BY STATE LAW IN GETTING INVOLVED IN THOSE CONTRACTS?

>> THERE'S NO WORLD IN WHICH TO ANSWER THAT QUESTION, COUNCILLOR BAKER, WE'D SIT AT THE TABLE AT THESE.

THAT'S BEEN SUGGESTED BY FOLKS. YOU DON'T WANT TO SIT AT THE TABLE ON THESE AS A LEGISLATIVE BODY AND PART OF THEÉS JOINTLY BACK IT. SEPARATELY FROM THAT TABLE, I THINK WE HAVE THE CAPACITY AS A

COUNCIL TO SET THE EXPECTATIONS [AUDIO ECHOING]

>> I'M GOING MUTE YOU FOR A SECOND BECAUSE THERE'S AN ECHO BACK.

IT'S A POLICY QUESTION.
THE WHOLE REASON THE LEGISLATURE
HAS TO APPROVE THESE IN THE END
IS THERE'S THE QUESTION WHAT'S
THE AGREEMENT THAT'S MOST

ACCEPTABLE TO BOTH SIDES AND TO A LEGISLATIVE BRANCH AND WE CAN SEND CLEAR SIGNALS WHAT WE THINK WE NEED TO DO TO MEET THIS MOMENT.

I THINK THAT'S A CONVERSATION WE'LL BE HAVING IN THE COMING WEEKS.

IT'S NOT DIRECTLY A VOTE ON THE BUDGET EXCEPT THAT A TON OF THE THINGS THAT PEOPLE MIGHT WANT TO CHANGE THROUGH A VOTE ON THE BUDGET ARE CONSTRAINED BY THAT AND WHAT I MEAN BY THAT -- I WANT TO BE VERY SPECIFIC, SO THE OVERTIME REALLOCATION INVOLVES THE REDUCTION OF THE PERSONNEL LINE ITEM IN THE POLICE BUDGET AND WE DON'T GET THE POLICE BUDGET UTTEMS.

WE GET THE FIVE STANDARD CATEGORIES, PERSONNEL, CURRENT CHARGES, CONTRACTS, SUPPLIES AND MATERIALS AND EQUIPMENT. IF THE COUNCIL WERE TO DECREASE THE PERSONNEL LINE ITEM WHERE THE OVERTIME IS IN. THERE'S A SET OF PROVISIONS IN THE COLLECTIVE BARGAINING AGREEMENT HOW THEY WOULD BARGAIN AROUND THE REDUCTION OF FORCE AND NONE OF IT WOULD REVOLVE REDUCING OVERTIME PAY AND RATES AND NONE OF IT WOULD INVOLVE GETTING TO SAY THESE TRE POSITIONS THE COUNCIL WOULD LIKE TO REMOVE. AND IT'S AN IMPORTANT CONSTRAINT TO UNDERSTAND. AND IT'S IMPORTANT TO UNDERSTAND THAT OVERTIME LINE ITEMS BY LAW THE POLICE AND FIRE OVERTIME

THE POLICE AND FIRE OVERTIME LINE ITEMS ARE THE TWO LINE ITEMS THAT CAN RUN OVER BECAUSE OF PUBLIC SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS AND THE COUNCIL FOR OTHER LINE

ITEMS THEY RUN OVER AND THEY HAVE TO ASK PERMISSION AND IT'S NOT TRUE WE HAVE TO THINK OF THE CUT IN OVERTIME TO WHICH THE ADMINISTRATION COULD BE HELD ACCOUNTABLE THAT'S PLAUSIBLE GIVEN THE COLLECTIVE BARGAINING

AGREEMENT AND WHAT WE CAN CHANGE STRUCTURALLY WHAT'S IS DEMANDED ON THAT FRONT.

>> ARE YOU ADVOCATING FOR US TO BE AT THE TABLE IN THESE UNION NEGOTIATIONS?

>> NO.

I'M ADVOCATING FOR US TO -- NO, I DON'T THINK FRANK, THAT WOULD BE LEGAL NOR DO I THINK IT'S ADVISABLE.

I THINK THE COUNCIL'S ROLE IS A SEPARATE ONE WHICH IS TO SCRUTINIZE AN AGREEMENT ONCE ITS REACHED AND DECIDE WHETHER IT'S AN ACCEPTABLE THING TO FUND FROM THE PUBLIC INTEREST FROM THE CITY'S PERSPECTIVE.

[INDISCERNIBLE]

>> I THINKS THERE'S A LEVEL OF SCRUTINY AND EXPECTATION SETTING IN WHAT WE EXPECT WE SHOULD SHIFT AND AN INTEND TO SHIFT IN THE YEAR AHEAD.

I WANT TO FLAG THAT FOR EVERYBODY.

IF WE CAN LOOK AT THESE TWO SLIDES.

I WANT TO TALK ABOUT THE REASONS WHY WE SHOULD THINK OF LOCK UP THE FY21 BUDGET AND THINGS I HEARD FROM COUNCILLORS IN WHAT WOULD BE NEEDED BEING COMFORTABLE DOING THAT AND THEN I'LL OPEN THE FLOOR TO

EVERYBODY'S COMMENTS.
SO WE'VE BEEN TALKING ABOUT
PROPORTIONS OF DEPARTMENTS.
HOW DO YOU MAKE IT SO OVERTIME
YOU SHIFT MORE MONEY INTO HUMAN
SERVICES, INTO COMMUNITIES OF
COLOR AND JUST HOW YOU SHIFT THE

PROPORTIONS.
WHAT'S INTERESTING ABOUT THIS
BUDGET, AS I MENTIONED WITH THE
14% INCREASE TO PUBLIC HEALTH
AND 40% INCREASE TO D&D AND
AFFORDABLE HOUSING BEING THE
REAL NEW MONEY IN ADDITION TO
SCHOOLS IN THE BUDGET AND ALSO I
SHOULD SAY ADDING ON TO THE FACT
THAT D&D AND PUBLIC HEALTH HAVE
BEEN CAPITALIZED MORE IN RECENT
YEARS BUT PARTICULARLY THIS

YEAR. ONE THING WE'RE DOING IS SHIFTING THOSE AS A PROPORTION OF THE BUDGET. IF YOU BRING THE OVERTIME ON THE POLICE SIDE TO -- I CAN SEND THE PERCENTAGES TO PEOPLE AFTERWARD, IT'S A NOTICEABLE SHIFT IN RESOURCE ALLOCATION. THINK WITH THE NEXT COUPLE YEARS OF BUDGETS WHAT WE'RE LIKELY TO SEE IS BUDGETS WE KEEP HAVING TO CUT. IF WE KEEP HAVING TO CUT SAY WAY TO RE-ALLOCATE PROPORTIONS. WE DECIDE, HEY, IF I NEED TO LOSE SOME MONEY HERE, IF I NEED TO MAKE LAYOFFS AND WE'RE NOT TALKING ABOUT LAYOFFS IN THE BUDGET BUT I THINK IT'S GOING TO BE NEXT YEAR'S BUDGET IS GOING TO BE REALLY HARD, THAT'S A WAY TO TALK ABOUT PROPORTIONS AND WHERE OUR VALUES ARE AND WHERE WE COMMIT MONEY. THE QUESTION IS IF WE'RE HITTING A BUDGET NEXT YEAR THAT'S DOWN IN ABSOLUTE TERMS AND WE'RE HAVING THOSE CONVERSATIONS, WHAT'S THE BASELINE WE'RE STARTING FROM. AND THE FY21 BUDGET THAT'S BEEN RESUBMIT SHIFTS THAT BASELINE IN THE DIRECTION OF AFFORDABLE HOUSING AND PUBLIC COMMENT. IF WE WERE -- PUBLIC HEALTH. IF WE DON'T APPROVE THE BUDGET WE GO TO A 112 BUDGET FOR JULY WE CONTINUE TO HAVE A CONVERSATION BUT IN THE INTERIM WE GO TO A 112 BUDGET WHICH WOULD LOCK IN TODAY'S DEPARTMENT PROPORTIONS AS THE THIRD POINT. ONE THING IT DOES IS RESTORES \$60 MILLION AND IT GOES BACK TO THE POLICE FUND -- POLICE OVERTIME BUDGET FROM LAST YEAR. YOU DON'T GET THAT 40% INCREASE IN D&D OR IN PUBLIC HEALTH. IT ALSO DOESN'T HAVE SOME OF THE NEW INVESTMENTS I HEARD PEOPLE TALK ABOUT THE MONEY FOR THE ZDA REFORMS AND FORESTRY AND ARBOR.

AND THERE'S A STRONG POSSIBILITY

IF WE WAIT AND WENT TO A 112
BUDGET AND SAID, HEY, I THINK WE
CAN NEGOTIATE SOMETHING WE LIKE
BETTER HERE, BRACKETING THE FACT
THAT THE CURRENT COLLECTIVE
BARGAINING GREEMENT REALLY
CONSTRAINS US ON THE POLICE
FRONT, IF WE WERE TO WAIT FOR
THE STATE TO SET OFFICIAL
NUMBERS WE'RE LIKELY TO HAVE TO
CUT THE FY21 BUDGET FURTHER.
BECAUSE WE HAVE A LEGAL
OBLIGATION TO HAVE A BALANCED
BUDGET BASED ON THE BEST NUMBERS
WE HAVE.
RIGHT NOW BECAUSE WE DON'T HAVE

RIGHT NOW BECAUSE WE DON'T HAVE OFFICIAL NUMBERS FROM THE STATE WE CAN GUESS AND LET'S US PRESERVE SOME INVESTMENTS AND IF THE STATE WERE TO REALLY CUT WE HAVE TO TALK ABOUT PULLING FROM RESERVES AND SAFETY THE BASELINE.

IF WE WAIT ON THE STATE, WE MAY END UP IN A SITUATION WHERE THAT ADDITIONAL -- THE \$119 MILLION ON THE SLIDE RE-ALLOCATING MAINLY TO AFFORDABLE HOUSING AND PUBLIC HEALTH AND SCHOOLS STARTS TO DISAPPEAR.

MAYBE DISAPPEARS ENTIRELY.
PROBABLY NOT ENTIRELY BUT A
SUBSTANTIAL PORTION.
THE OTHER THING IS THE BUDGET
THAT WE'RE VOTING ON IN THE NEXT
HOPEFULLY IN THE NEXT FIVE DAYS
AND SO FOLKS KNOW WE'RE REQUIRED
TO TRY TO HAVE A BUDGET BY JUNE
30 AND WEDNESDAY IS THE 24th AND
THE LAST WEDNESDAY IN JUNE WHICH
IS WHY THE VOTE IS SCHEDULED
THAT DAY.

THE BUDGET STARTS JULY 1, IN 12 DAYS.

SO UNCERTAINTY DELAYS CERTAIN MOVES IN SOME OF THESE DEPARTMENTS.

FOR INSTANCE, THINK THE FOOD ACCESS TEAM IT WOULD BE GREAT IF THEY CAN HIRE THOSE PEOPLE AS SOON AS POSSIBLE.

IT WOULD BE GREAT IF -- IF THEY SIGN CONTRACTS WITH THE NEW SOCIAL WORKERS AND SUCH IN BEING

ADDED IN AUTHORITY. IT'S JUST ANOTHER CONSIDERATION BUT IT'S WORTH FLAGGING AND I SAID THIS IN A FORUM LAST NIGHT BUT I WANT TO FLAG IT AGAIN FOR PEOPLE HERE. I THINK THERE'S SOME REALLY CONSIDERATION CONVERSATIONS ABOUT HOW WE COULD SHIFT SOME OF WHAT PUBLIC SAFETY IS ASKED TO DO RIGHT NOW THAT ACTUALLY IS HUMAN SERVICES AND WOULD BE SAFER IN A DIFFERENT CONTEXT INTO THE OTHER CONTEXTS. AN EXAMPLE WHAT'S BUBBLING UP LATE IS ABOUT 9-1-1 CALLS ARE THERE 9-1-1 CALLS THAT ARE NON-LIFE THREATING THE -- THREATENING AND IF WE SHIFTED THAT WE WOULD HAVE NO ONE TO RECEIVE THAT MONEY AND TO HAVE MONEY RIGHT NOW THAT GOES UNSPENT INTO THE RECESSION DOESN'T MAKE A LOT OF SENSE BECAUSE HAVE YOU SOMETHING THAT'S SET UP A YEAR FROM NOW. THERE'S ALSO A REALITY THIS CONVERSATION HAS COME AT THIS CRITICAL MOMENT IN OUR HISTORY BUT WE HAVE TO BE THINKING ABOUT HOW WE LAY THE GROUNDWORK AND STRUCTURAL CHANGE AND WHOLE NEW PROGRAMS WE WON'T BE ABLE TO GET UP AND RUNNING BY JULY 1. IT DOESN'T MEAN THERE'S THINGS YOU CAN'T GO UP AND RUNNING BUT JUST MOVING THE MONEY DOESN'T MAKE IT HAPPEN. SO I JUST WANT TO BRING OUT SOME THINGS I'VE HEARD COUNCILLORS ARTICULATE WHAT IT TAKES TO MEET THIS MOMENT IN CONNECTION TO THE BUDGET BUT IT'S NOT ALL ABOUT THE BUDGET BUT CONNECTED. THE REASON I PUT THESE HERE IS ONE OF THE REASONS WE'RE HAVING THE MEETING AND I DON'T KNOW IF THE PUBLIC ALWAYS KNOWS THIS BUT WE CAN'T GET TOGETHER AND TALK ABOUT THE BUDGET WITHOUT IT BEING A PUBLIC MEETING. THAT'S OPEN MEETING LAW AND A GOOD TRANSPARENCY-ORIENTED RULE BUT MAKES IT HARD SOMETIMES FOR THE COUNCIL TO UNDERSTAND TO

JUST KNOW WHERE WE'RE ALL COMING FROM AND HAVE THESE CONVERSATIONS. THAT'S WHY I CONVENED THIS TODAY AND WANTED TO LIST SOME THINGS HERE. ONE IS ABOUT THE NEED FOR A

MAJOR COMMITMENT FOR A POLICY
AGENDA TO COMBAT SYSTEMIC RACISM
CONNECTED TO POLICING BUT ALSO
GOES BEYOND POLICING AND
SOMETHING I HEARD FROM OUR
PRESIDENT AND A BUNCH OF
COUNCILLORS AND I THINK HAS BEEN
A CONSISTENT MESSAGE FROM
ESPECIALLY OUR COLLEAGUES OF

COLOR.
COMMUNITY AND COUNCIL AND HOW
THE RE-ALLOCATED \$12 MILLION.
ONE THING I HEARD IS IT'S NOT
ENOUGH AND THERE'S HUGE
COMMUNITY PRESSURE FOR THIS KIND
OF REALLOCATION BUT NOT
COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT AND
COUNCILLOR INVOLVEMENT IN HOW IT
WILL BE PROGRESSED.
EVEN WITHIN THE CONTEXT IN WHICH

A BUNCH OF THE BUCKETS THE
ADMINISTRATION IS PROPOSED TO
MOVE IT INTO AND FOLKS WANT TO
KNOW WHAT IT WILL BE AND WANT A
VOICE IN THAT.

THAT'S ONE OF THE STRONG THREADS I HAVE HEARD.

INITIAL SUPPORT FOR YOUTH JOBS.

THERE'S A STRONG GROUP OF ADVOCATES WHO MANY OF US KNOW AND LOVE WHO HAVE BEEN PUSHING FOR WIDER ELIGIBILITY FOR THE

SUMMER JOBS PROGRAM.

IT SEEMS LIKE THE JUMPER JOBS PROGRAM HAS GONE UP TO 4700 AND

PROGRAM HAS GONE UP TO 4/00 AND

CLOSE TO THE 5000 GOAL BUT

THERE'S A LOT OF QUESTIONS ABOUT THIS AS WE MOVE INTO A RECESSION

IT'S ALMOST IMPOSSIBLE FOR YOUTH

TO FIND JOBS AND IN THINKING

ABOUT THAT I'VE HEARD FROM

COUNCILLORS AND REDUCING THE

SIZE OF THE POLICE BUDGET.

AS I SAID I THINK THAT'S CLOSELY

ASSOCIATED TO THE RENEGOTIATION OF THE COLLECTIVE BARGAINING

AGREEMENT AND SHIFTING TO OTHER

DEPARTMENTS AND A FEASIBLE BUT URGENT TIME SCALE IN THE YEAR AHEAD.

THAT'S WHAT I'VE HEARD FOLKS SAYING.

NOW, I'VE CERTAINLY TALKED ENOUGH AND WANT TO GIVE PEOPLE THE FLOOR TO SPEAK AND THE THINGS YOU'RE THINKING ABOUT IN THE PROCESS AND YOU WANT OTHER COUNCILLOR TO KEEP IN MIND. AS WELL AS WHAT ARE YOUR QUESTIONS AND CONCERNS FOR THE ADMINISTRATION KEEPING IN MIND

WE'LL BE HAVING A HEARING ON MONDAY TO HEAR BOTH FROM THEM AND THE PUBLIC.

I'M GOING GO FIRST TO COUNCILLOR BREADON AND THEN COUNCILLOR FLAHERTY AND I'LL PUT THE ORDER IN THE CHAT.

I'LL ASK FOLKS TO MAKE A
FIVE-MINUTE THING AND IF YOU CAN
KEEP IT TO THREE, GREAT.
WE'LL DO THE NORMAL WHAT I'D
LOVE IS FOR FOLKS TO RUN THROUGH
INITIAL THOUGHTS AND IF THERE'S
ADDITIONAL THOUGHTS WE CAN DO
ANOTHER ROUND BUT I'LL SET THE
TIMER AND USE THE GAVEL.
COUNCILLOR BREADON YOU HAVE THE

>> THANK YOU, MADAME CHAIR.
I REALLY APPRECIATE YOUR
ANALYSIS AND OUTLINING ALL THIS
IS HELPFUL IN UNDERSTANDING THE
CHALLENGES OF WHERE WE ARE.
I ALSO REALLY APPRECIATE JUST
FINDING A WAY FORWARD.
I HAVEN'T APPRECIATED THE
GRAVITY OF GOING TO A 1/12
BUDGET AND NOT PASSING A BUDGET
NEXT WEEK SO THANK YOU FOR

FLOOR.

AND IN ADDRESSING THE POLICE OVERTIME AND GIVEN THE HEADLINES IN THE GLOBE AND THERE'S SO MUCH PRESSURE TO ADDRESS THIS. I DON'T KNOW IF WE HAVE ANY TOOLS TO INFLUENCE THAT PROCESS. THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR BREADON.

CLARIFYING THAT.

COUNCILLOR FLAHERTY, I'LL PUT

YOU IN THE CHAT.

>> THANK YOU, MADAME CHAIR AND I APPRECIATE THE WORK YOU'VE DONE AND THE WAY YOU'VE LAID OUT THE ANALYSIS.

THE ONLY GENERAL QUESTION I HAVE IS THE RESUBMITTED BUDGET ACCOUNTS FOR \$65 MILLION GAP ACROSS ALL DEPARTMENTS SINCE THE ORIGINAL FISCAL YEAR 21 PROJECTIONS.

SO IN LIGHT OF PAST REVENUE
REDUCTIONS AND IN LIGHT OF
FUTURE REVENUE REDUCTIONS BASED
ON WHAT'S GOING ON AS A RESULT
COVID AND PROJECTIONS GOING INTO
QUARTER 1 AND 2, DOES THIS
BUDGET PUT US IN THE BEST
POSITION TO BE AGILE NEXT YEAR

WHEN THE BUDGET IS PROJECTED TO BE EVEN MORE#'B I LIKE YOUR MULTI-YEAR APPROACH

I LIKE YOUR MULTI-YEAR APPROACH TO THIS IN TERMS OF THE POLICY SIDE AND TRYING TO UNDO SOME COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH IT WITH ALL BUDGET ITEMS, QUITE FRANKLY, BUT I WANT TO MAKE SURE ARGUABLY A 1/12 IS PROBABLY GOING TO BE DISASTROUS BUT I WANT TO GET A SENSE AS TO YOUR PERSPECTIVE AS THE CHAIR GIVEN WHAT WE HAVE IN FRONT OF US NOW, DOES THIS PUT US IN THE BEST POSITION AS WE HEAD INTO NEXT YEAR WHERE IT'S GOING TO BE MORE DIFFICULT AND HAVING THAT MULTI-YEAR FOCUS. AS HAVING OUR SHARE OF RESPONSIBILITY, WE HAVE TO BE

MINDFUL WE'RE NOT GOING SOLVE THIS IN A ONE-YEAR THING. IT'S GOING TO BE DRAGGED OUT OVER THREE, POSSIBLY FOUR BUDGETS.

MY SENSE IS NEXT YEAR'S BUDGET'S
GOING TO LOOK WORSE SO THIS
YEAR'S BUDGET IMPORTANT FOR US
AS A LEGISLATIVE BRANCH TO PUT
OUR BEST FOOT FORWARD TO BE ABLE
TO ADDRESS NEXT YEAR'S BUDGET.
I DON'T WANT TO PUT THE CART
BEFORE THE HORSE BUT WE NEED TO
LOOK AT IT AS A MULTI-YEAR
APPROACH AND WHETHER THIS PUTS
US IN THE BEST POSITION TO DEAL

WITH THAT. >> THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR FLAHERTY. I'LL SAY FOR MYSELF. I DEFINITELY THINK THINKING ABOUT NEXT YEAR'S BUDGET HAS TO BE ON OUR MINDS AND WHY I WOULD THINK IT'S RISKY TO COUNT ON A LARGER CUT TO POLICE OVERTIME THAT WOULDN'T THEN BE REAL. I THINK \$12 MILLION IS ABOUT THE MOST YOU COULD POSSIBLY MAKE REAL AND YOU COULD MAKE REAL THROUGH A LOT OF WORK. IF WE PASS THE BUDGET THE COUNCIL WILL HAVE TO HOLD THE ADMIN TO THAT AND YOU HAVE TO AGGRESSIVELY MANAGE. IT INVOLVES AGGRESSIVELY MANAGE THE SHIFT YOU DESIGNING AND SOME RELATED TO COVID SAVINGS AND SHIFT IN EVENTS. \$12 MILLION IS AN UPHILL THING TO GET DONE BUT THE REASON WHY I THINK IT'S STILL REALLY WORTH DOING IS I MENTIONED SHIFTING PROPORTIONS. IT DOES LOCK THAT MONEY IN IN THE OTHER PLACES THE PUBLIC HEALTH COMMISSION, D&D AND FOOD ACCESS AND NEW BASELINES THERE. BUT THE REASON TO YOUR POINT, WE WE HAVE TO KEEP IN MIND THE LESS WE TAKE FROM THE BUDGET THIS YEAR THE MORE LIKELY IF THINGS GET WORSE WE HAVE TO DIP INTO RESERVES TO COVER FY21 AND DON'T HAVE THE FUNDS AVAILABLE TO PLUG THE HOLES IN FY22. WE HAVE TO THINK ABOUT DYNAMICS. I THINK THERE ARE BUDGET TALKS OUT THERE WHO THINK A 1/12 IS THE BEST YOU CAN DO BECAUSE YOU'LL SAVE ALL THIS MONEY FOR NEXT YEAR SO JUST GO FLAT. I THINK THE PROBLEM IS WE'RE DEALING WITH AN EMERGENCY RIGHT

IT'S AN EMERGENCY IN TERMS OF LEARNING LOSS IN OUR SCHOOLS FOR OUR KIDS.

NOW.

IT'S AN EMERGENCY DEALING WITH THE PANDEMIC OF COVID. IT'S AN EMERGENCY IN TERMS OF THIS MOMENT OF TRUTH AROUND ARE WE GOING START ON A ROAD TO

REALLY COMBATTING SYSTEMIC

RACISM IN THE CITY.

BECAUSE OF THE PRESSURE POINTS YOU CAN'T AFFORCE -- AFFORD TO BE THIS YEAR TO BE FLAT LINED. FOR ME IT WOULD BE BAD TO GO TO A 1/12 BECAUSE OF THE NEEDS OF THIS MOMENT AND GOING TO A 1/12 IN THIS MOMENT WOULD ALSO SHIFT THOSE PROPORTIONS BACK TOWARDS THE DEFAULT WHEN I THINK THE THINGS WE NEED TO MEET THIS MOMENT ARE THE ADDITIONAL INVESTMENTS IN SCHOOLS AND PUBLIC HEALTH AND AFFORDABLE HOUSING. THAT'S MY TAKE ON IT BUT I THINK YOU'RE RAISING A GOOD POINT, COUNCILLOR FLAHERTY, THAT THERE IS A DEGREE OF 21 AND 22 TRADING OFF EACH OTHER DEPENDING ON HOW MUCH WE TAKE FROM RESERVE. >> THE 9-1-1 CALLS DON'T STOP. THOSE 9-1-1 CALLS KEEP COMING IN. THERE ARE FOLKS THAT DON'T FEEL SAFE IN THEIR NEIGHBORHOOD. THERE'S CONCERNS AROUND GUNS AND DRUGS AND GANGS AND SHOOTINGS. ALL THE CALLS WILL CONTINUE TO COME IN TO 9-1-1 THAT WE HAVE TO RESPOND TO OR OUR POLICE DEPARTMENT HAS TO RESPOND TO. WE HAVE A RESPONSIBILITY TO KEEP THE PUBLIC SAFE. WE'RE FOCUSSING ON THIS ONE AREA BUT THE FACTS ARE WHAT THEY ARE. PEOPLE CALL 9-1-1 ALL THE TIME. IT'S NON STOP. AND HOW WE ADDRESS THAT AND HOW WE HANDLE THOSE CALLS YOU ALLUDED TO EARLIER, WE HAVE OFFICERS RESPONDING TO THINGS MAYBE THEY SHUNT BE RESPONDING TO -- SHOULDN'T BE RESPONDING TO AND MAYBE OTHER ENTITIES ARE BEING RESPONDED TO AND WE HAVE CALLS BEING READIED AND WE HAVE EMS AND BPD RESPONDING TO CALLS WHERE MAYBE THEY SHUNT --SHOULDN'T BE IN THE REALM OF A

9-1-1 CALL. THAT HAS TO BE ADDRESSED BECAUSE WE HAVE A RESPONSIBILITY TO RESPONDING TO THE 9-1-1 CALLS AND WE DO AND THERE MAY BE A SIGNIFICANT AMOUNT OF WASTE ASSOCIATED WITH THAT. >> COUNCILLOR BAKER AND COUNCILLOR MEJIA AND O'MALLEY. I REALIZE IN THIS FORMAT WE DON'T HAVE A CHAT BUT COUNCILLOR BAKER. >> THANK YOU, MADAME CHAIR. INTERESTING CONCEPT ON THE COUNCIL WEIGHING IN ON THE COLLECTIVE BARGAINING AGREEMENT AND [AUDIO DIGITIZING] >> OLD ON -- HOLD ON YOU GOT CHOPPY. >> OKAY. [AUDIO DIGITIZING] >> ONE SECOND. YOU'RE BACK AGAIN. >> I'M HAVING ISSUES WITH MY COMPUTER. I DON'T KNOW WHAT'S GOING ON. >> KEEP TALKING. >> OKAY. WHAT WAS THE SCHOOL YOU SAID IT WAS A 4% INCREASE. WHAT WAS THE INCREASE IN THE SCHOOL BUDGET? >> NO, THE INCREASE IN THE SCHOOL BUDGET IS [AUDIO DIGITIZING] >> WHAT WAS THE DOLLAR AMOUNT? >> ONE SECOND. \$80 MILLION. >> \$80 MILLION ADDITIONAL ON TOP. WE CAN'T ATTRIBUTE THAT TO COLLECTIVE BARGAINING, CORRECT. >> THEY'VE BEEN TALKING ABOUT THE \$36 MILLION THAT'S NEW AND THERE'S A \$44 MILLION INCREASE TO B.P.S. AND NORMAL INCREASES IN COSTS. >> OKAY. THANK YOU. ARE WE ACTUALLY AS A GROUP TALKING ABOUT [AUDIO DIGITIZING]

>> I THINK THERE'S A REAL CONVERSATION GOING ON ABOUT THE **BUDGET AND SO I THINK IT'S** IMPORTANT FOR FOLKS TO ALSO KNOW WHERE WE WOULD GO IF WE WERE TO SIT DOWN. >> PEOPLE'S VOTES ARE PEOPLE'S VOTES. I WOULD NEVER SAY ONE WAY OR ANOTHER. BUT I LIKE THE WAY YOU BROKE IT DOWN HOW 1/12 COULD BE DISASTROUS FOR US AND MOVING TO NEXT YEAR IT ALL JUST GETS WORSE. WE SHOULD BE READY FOR POTENTIALLY SCHOOL CLOSINGS NEXT YEAR AND LOADS OF LAYOFFS AND YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT [AUDIO DIGITIZING] -- NOBODY'S REALLY TALKING ABOUT THOSE THINGS. WE'RE NOT TALKING ABOUT LONG ISLAND. WE STILL HAVE [AUDIO DIGITIZING] A COUPLE THOUGHTS. I'LL GO BACK ON MUTE NOW. THANK YOU FOR YOUR LEADERSHIP, MADAME CHAIR IT WAS INFORMATIVE. >> COUNCILLOR MEJIA AND THEN COUNCILLOR O'MALLEY. >> THANK YOU, I APPRECIATE HELPING US UNDERSTAND THE BREAKDOWN. CONSIDERING IT'S MY FIRST BUDGET I'M LEARNING WITH THE CITY AND ITS FUNCTIONS. IT'S ALL VERY INTERESTING TO ME. MY QUESTIONS ARE MORE ABOUT THE PROCESS BECAUSE IT'S NOT JUST ABOUT THE NUMBERS, IT'S ABOUT HOW WE GOT TO THE NUMBERS. I'M CURIOUS HOW THE ADMINISTRATION GOT TO THE \$12 MILLION FIGURE AND WHAT PART PEOPLE WERE COMING UP WITH THAT NUMBER AND CURIOUS ABOUT THAT. IF ANYONE CAN TELL ME, \$12 MILLION WHERE THAT CAME FROM AND I'M CURIOUS ABOUT THE PROCESS.

I KNOW I WAS NOT INVITED TO ANY

OF THOSE CONVERSATIONS -- I DON'T KNOW IF I SHOULD HAVE ANYWAYS. THEN I'M ALSO CURIOUS HOW THE

ADMINISTRATION CAME UP WITH THE

\$3 MILLION FOR THE BOSTON PUBLIC

HEALTH COMMISSION AND WHAT YOUR

MEASURES ARE SUCCESS ARE FOR

COMBATTING RACISM AND YOU

MENTIONED THE MAYOR DECLARED

RACISM A PUBLIC HEALTH CRISIS.

WHEN THE ADMINISTRATION DID THE

SAME WE HEARD ABOUT THE \$3

MILLION AND IS THAT ENOUGH.

AND THERE'S COMMUNITY BASED

ORGANIZATIONS INCLUDING ONE FOR

LANGUAGE ACCESS.

I'D LIKE TO KNOW HOW THIS MONEY

IS GOING TO BE USED FOR

TRANSLATION OF CITY MATERIALS.

I'M CURIOUS ABOUT THAT.

AND THERE ARE OTHER THINGS THAT

WE CAN TALK ABOUT IN TERMS OF

CONTRACTS AND PROCUREMENT

TYPICALLY AROUND BLACK

ARBORISTS.

WHAT OPPORTUNITIES ARE BEING

MADE ON THAT FRONT.

>> GREAT, THANK YOU.

THOSE ARE GREAT QUESTIONS FOR THEM.

I THINK ALL THE COUNCIL VOTED

FOR THE \$12 MILLION NUMBER

SHORTLY BEFORE THE ANNOUNCEMENT.

AND MY REFERENCE TO WHAT I THINK

LIKE IS FEASIBLE IS I SPENT A

LOT OF TIME PERSONALLY TALKING

TO THE FOLKS WHO TRY TO CUT

POLICE OVERTIME A DECADE AGO

THAT WAS AN INTERNAL

CONVERSATION IN THE

ADMINISTRATION.

WE SHOULD BE ASKING THE

QUESTIONS YOU LISTED.

DO YOU THINK THE LANGUAGE ACCESS

MONEY THE DISTINCTION IS SOME OF

THAT FOR LANGUAGE ACCESS GRANTS

BUT IT'S FOR FUNDING FOR MORE

POSITIONS IN THE CITY LANGUAGE

ACCESS POSITION.

I THINK WE SHOULD ASK THEM ABOUT

IT.

IT'S DESIGNED TO BE --

>> DO YOU KNOW HOW MUCH?

>> I THINK IT'S THE \$250,000 IS TO INCREASE SUBSTANTIALLY THE LANGUAGE ACCESS GAPPING IN THE CITY.

>> AND PRINTING AND INTERPRETATION AND SUPPORTING OUR ESSENTIALD'6 STAFF FOR PUBLIC HEARINGS.

HOW DO WE ENSURE WE UNDERSTAND WHAT IS COMING OUT OF THE DEPARTMENTS?

>> THAT'S ONE OF THE QUESTIONS WE'LL SEND OVER.

>> FOR ME I'M STRUGGLING WITH THE US VERSUS THEM SITUATION HERE THAT'S HAPPENED AS A RESULT OF THE TENSION THAT EXISTS. ADVOCATING FOR THE OVERTIME BUDGET IN THE POLICE DEPARTMENT BUT YOU TALK ABOUT IT A LITTLE BIT MORE.

WHAT I'M HEARING IS THAT IS NOT WHERE ALL THE DOLLARS NEED TO COME FROM SO I'M JUST CURIOUS AND IF YOU HAPPEN TO KNOW THIS, COUNCILLOR BOK, IT WOULD BE HELPFUL.

BUT I KNOW THERE'S A LOT OF ADVOCACY AROUND YOUTH EMPLOYMENT PROGRAMS.

IS THERE ANYWAY THE BUDGET IN OVERTIME OR WHERE CAN WE FIND THOSE DOLLARS, ACTUALLY, IS MY QUESTION TO FUND THEM BECAUSE I BELIEVE POLICE EMPLOYMENT IS IMPORTANT AND I'M HEARING MY COLLEAGUES SAYING IT'S GOING TO BE A LOT WORSE NEXT YEAR CONSIDERING WHAT WE'RE UP AGAINST BUT WHERE CAN WE FIND THAT MONEY?

>> I WOULD SAY LET'S PUSH THAT QUESTION TO THEM ON MONDAY BUT I THINK THERE'S PLACES TO FIND THAT MONEY. I ALSO THINK SOME OF WHERE WE'RE

FINDING THE MONEY IN THE INTERIM
IS THE CARES ACT ALREADY.
I THINK IF THEY PLANNED BETTER
SOME OF THE MONEY AN ALWAYS USE
MONEY FOR SUMMER JOBS FOR
YEAR-ROUND JOBS AND THAT
TENDENCY HAS MADE THEM NOT WANT
TO COMMIT TO A NUMBER OF

YEAR-ROUND JOBS BUT WE NEED A COMMITMENT BUT WE SHOULD POSE

THAT QUESTION TO THEM.

>> I YIELD THE REST OF MY TIME.

>> GREAT, EXCELLENT.

NEXT UP IS COUNCILLOR O'MALLEY

AND THEN COUNCILLOR CAMPBELL AND

THEN COUNCILLOR EDWARDS.

COUNCILLOR O'MALLEY.

>> THANK YOU, MADAME CHAIR AND

THANK YOU FOR A REMARKABLE JOB

POSTING THIS BUDGET DURING THESE

VERY DIFFICULT TIMES.

YOU DID A TERRIFIC JOB AND I'M

VERY IMPRESSED.

UNSURPRISED BUT IMPRESSED.

I APPRECIATE THE POWER POINTS OF

THE SLIDES CAN YOU SHARE THEM

WITH US NOW THAT THEY'RE PART OF

THE PUBLIC RECORD.

PLEASE E-MAIL US THAT.

YOU COVERED A LOT OF THE

QUESTIONS AND I THINK YOU

SUCCINCTLY AND ANSWERED

QUESTIONS AS IT RELATES TO NOT

ONLY COLLECTIVE BARGAINING

AGREEMENT GOVERNING THE SALARIES

AND OVERTIME I'LL ADD MAYBE A

QUESTION FOR THE ADMINISTRATION

CERTAIN STATE LAWS THAT

COMPLICATE THAT SUCH AS THE FLAG

MEN AND DETAIL ON CONSTRUCTION

WORK GOVERNED AT THE STATE

LEVEL.

MORE NUANCE IN THE STATE LAW

THAT FURTHER IMPACTS PROPOSED

CUTS.

SECONDLY, CHAIR I SHARE EVERY

POINT YOU MADE ABOUT THE DANGERS

OF A 1/12 BUDGET IN A TOUGH

ECONOMIC TURN GOING FORWARD AND

FURTHERMORE, CHAIR, YOU AND

EVERYONE ON THIS CALL'S BODY

COMMITMENT IN ADDRESSING AND

DISMANTLING RACISM AT ALL

LEVELS, STRUCTURAL,

INSTITUTIONAL, OVERT.

THIS IS GOING TO BE A

CONVERSATION THAT CONTINUES AND

HARD WORK AND CONVERSATIONS THAT

CONTINUES IN THE MONTH AHEAD AND

I'VE BEEN IMPRESSED WITH OUR

COLLEAGUES ESPECIALLY COLLEAGUES

OF COLOR STEPPED UP IN THEIR

CAREER AND LAST FEW WEEKS AND TOUGH INITIATIVES TO CONTINUE THE WORK THERE. THIS IS OBVIOUSLY GOING TO AND I LOOK FORWARD TO MONDAY'S HEARING

TO GET MORE CLARITY FROM THE

ADMINISTRATION AND CHANGE IN THE

RESUBMITTAL AND NON-BUDGETARY

OUESTIONS I'D ASK FOR BRIEF

CLARITY.

ONE IS WILL THE DEBT SERVICE CHANGE AFFECT OUR BOND RATING GOING FORWARD AND MORE CLARITY ON THE CUTS TO NON-PERSONNEL

UTILITIES.

I DON'T KNOW IF THAT'S **ELECTRICITY RATES OR GAS RATES** AND I KNOW THE BULK OF MONDAY'S HEARING WILL BE ON BUDGETARY OUESTIONS.

THANK YOU.

THAT'S ALL I'VE GOT.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR

O'MALLEY.

NEXT UP IS COUNCILLOR CAMPBELL.

AND COUNCILLOR EDWARDS STEPPED OUT FOR A MINUTE SO AFTER THAT

COUNCILLOR ESSAIBI-GEORGE AND

THEN COUNCILLOR JANEY.

THEY HAD TO JUMP OUT A MINUTE.

WE'RE JUMPING STRAIGHT TO

COUNCILLOR ESSAIBI-GEORGE.

YOU'RE MUTED.

>> SORRY. I THOUGHT THERE WERE A FEW PEOPLE.

>> THERE WERE BUT THEY HAD TO STEP OUT A SECOND.

>> JUST MY LUCK.

A COUPLE QUICK QUESTIONS.

ONE A CLARIFYING QUESTION IN THE BEST RESOURCES THAT I'M EXCITED ABOUT.

THERE'S A \$2 MILLION COMMITMENT TO THAT EFFORT IN ADDITIONAL \$2 MILLION EFFORT FROM THE \$12 MILLION.

I'M SUPER EXCITED ABOUT IT BECAUSE IT'S SOMETHING I'VE BEEN PUSHING FOR A NUMBER OF YEARS NOW WITH CONGRESSWOMAN PRESLEY TO GET TO THE NUMBER WE'RE AT NOW WHICH IS WELL BELOW THE NUMBER WE NEED TO BE. THE \$2 MILLION WILL HELP GET TO

A PLACE WHERE WE NEED TO BE. I'D LIKE FROM THE ADMINISTRATION

JUST SOME CLARIFICATION ON THE

DETAILS OF THE \$2 MILLION

INVESTMENT.

THE OTHER PLACE AND THERE'S A

NUMBER OF THINGS WE CAN GET TO

THAT IN OTHER HEARING BUT I WANT

TO FOCUS ON THESE PIECES, THE

CARES ACT FUNDING WHICH I KNOW

ISN'T PART OF THIS BUT THERE'S

SOME CONVERSATION AROUND HOW

THAT FUNDING IS BEING ALLOCATED.

WILL SOME OF THAT CARES ACT

MONEY BE ABLE TO SOME OF THE

EFFORTS WE HAVE BEEN ADVOCATING

FOR.

SOME GOES TO SUMMER JOBS.

CAN WE PUT MORE TOWARDS

YEAR-ROUND JOBS AND THE JOBS

PIECE COMES FROM HERE AND

THERE'S LOTS OF QUESTIONS AROUND

THE STATUS OF SUMMER JOBS STILL

TODAY AND THEN ANY POTENTIAL IN

YEAR-ROUND JOBS.

I REALIZE SO MUCH OF THAT

DEPENDS ON WHETHER WE'LL BE

VIRTUAL OR NOT OR SITESARE OPEN, AND THE QUALITY OF

WORK FOR ALL OF OUR STUDENTS.

I, YOU KNOW, FOR SURE WOULD LIKE

TO HEAR MORE ABOUT SOME OF THE

ADDITIONAL INVESTMENTS AND

COMMITMENTS MADE FOR

HOMELESSNESS, YOUTH

HOMELESSNESS, IN PARTICULAR.

AND THEN ARE ANY OF THESE

MONIES-- I KNOW SOME OF THESE

MONIES OF THAT \$12 MILLION IN

PARTICULAR ARE GOING TO TRAUMA

TEAMS AND COUNSELING.

I WOULD REALLY LIKE TO

UNDERSTAND THE IMPACT THIS COULD

HAVE ON CREATING BETTER ACCESS

TO MENTAL HEALTH PROGRAMS ACROSS

OUR CITY.

SO I'M NOT REALLY SURE HOW

HELPFUL MY COMMENTS ARE, BUT

THAT'S WHERE SOME OF MY THINKING IS.

I WILL SAY, COUNCILOR MEJIA

AGAIN TALKED A LITTLE BIT ABOUT

THIS TENSION.

THIS HAS CERTAINLY BEEN A VERY

UNIQUE PROCESS FOR ME, SOMEONE

WHO OVER THE LAST FIVE BUDGETS HAS BEEN VERY COMMITTED TO THIS PROCESS AND PRESENT FOR THIS PROCESS.

THERE IS CERTAINLY A VERY DIFFERENT TENSION DURING THIS TIME. AND I'M ANXIOUS ABOUT SOME OF THE WORRIES THAT YOU OUTLINED IN YOUR OPENING STATEMENT. IF WE DON'T PASS THIS BUDGET, WHAT DOES THAT MEAN FOR OUR CITY?

WHAT DOES THAT MEAN FOR OUR SCHOOLS?

WHAT DOES THAT MEAN FOR OUR WORK THAT IS UNDER WAY?

AND WHAT DOES THAT MEAN FOR OUR

CITY'S EMPLOYEES, AND BY

EXTENSION, THEIR FAMILIES.

I THINK COUNCILOR BAKER HAD SOME OF THOSE CONCERNS VERY DIRECTLY,

AND I THINK IT IS SOMETHING WE

HAVE TO BE REALLY MINDFUL OF.

AND. AGAIN. IT CREATES THIS VERY

INTERESTING DYNAMIC AND VERY

INTERESTING TENSION. ONE THAT

I'M VERY UNSETTLED BY.

BUT THESE ARE THE TIMES, AND THEY ARE UNSETTLING TIMES, NO

DOUBT.

BUT WE HAVE AN OBLIGATION TO MAKE SURE THAT AT SOME POINT, WE'RE COMING TOGETHER AS A BODY TO MAKE SURE THAT WE'RE DOING THE WORK OF OUR CONSTITUENCIES. WE'RE ALSO DOING THE WORK OF MAKING THE DIFFICULT DECISIONS TO MAKE SURE THAT OUR CITY CAN STILL DO THE WORK THAT IT NEEDS TO DO.

AND THAT WORK IS THE WORK OF EDUCATING OUR CHILDREN. THAT WORK IS CERTAINLY THE PUBLIC HEALTH PIECE. THAT WORK IS CERTAINLY THE

PUBLIC SAFETY PIECE.

AND A HALF OR SO.

SO ALL OF THOSE COMPONENTS ARE CRITICALLY IMPORTANT.

AND I JUST HOPE THAT COLLEAGUES ARE VERY-- REFLECT VERY DEEPLY ABOUT THE DECISIONS THAT WE'RE GOING TO MAKE IN THE NEXT WEEK

AND, ALSO, REALIZE THAT, YOU

KNOW, IT'S OUR OBLIGATION TO BE RESPONSIVE TO OUR CONSTITUENTS, BUT ALSO MAKE SURE THAT THE WORK OF THE CITY AT THE SAME TIME GOES FORWARD.
AND I WOULD ALSO URGE COLLEAGUES WHO HAVEN'T BEEN PRESENT FOR SOME OF THE HEARINGS AND SOME OF

THE TIME OF THE HEARINGS--ESPECIALLY FOR PUBLIC

TESTIMONY-- BUT THAT THEY-- THAT

THEY GO BACK AND REWATCH THOSE TAPES BECAUSE THERE'S A LOT OF IMPORTANT INFORMATION THAT

SOMETIMES GETS MISSED, AND BEING

IN THIS SORT OF ZOOM SETTING, IT

IS EASY TO SOMETIMES BE

DISTRACTED BY SOMETHING ELSE.

SO I ENCOURAGE EVERYONE TO GO BACK AND REALLY THINK LONG AND

HARD ABOUT WHAT WE WANT TO SEE IN THE PRESENTATION IN THE NEXT

COUPLE OF DAYS.

AND REALLY THE TURMOIL AND TENSION THAT NOT PASSING THIS BUDGET COULD CREATE FOR US AS A CITY.

THANK YOU, MADAM CHAIR. >> THANK YOU, COUNCILOR ESSAIBI GEORGE.

YEAH, AND I THINK IT'S-- I THINK IT'S IMPORTANT-- I'M JUST GOING TO-- SOMETHING YOU SAID JUST REMINDED ME TO SAY, THAT FOR FOLKS WATCHING AT HOME, I THINK IT'S IMPORTANT TO UNDERSTAND THE COUNCIL'S POWER ON THE BUDGET, PASSING OR NOT PASSING.

WE'RE NOT ALLOWED TO INTRODUCE OUR OWN BUDGET OR TO INCREASE LINE ITEMS.

SO THE-- YOU COULD CUT A LINE ITEM, AS I MENTIONED BEFORE. YOU CAN ONLY CUT THESE LINE ITEMS IN THESE VERY BROAD CATEGORIES, NOT KIND OF TARGET THE CUT MORE THAN THAT. BUT YOU CAN'T THEN REALLOCATE THE MONEY ANYWHERE. SO JUST SO PEOPLE UNDERSTAND

SO JUST SO PEOPLE UNDERSTAND PART OF WHAT WE'RE CONSTRAINED BY AS A COUNCIL, IS UNLIKE A

STATE LEGISLATURE, ET CETERA, WE'RE NOT THE ORIGINATING BODY FOR A BUDGET. ALL RIGHT, SO NEXT UP IS COUNCIL PRESIDENT JANEY, AND THEN I THINK IT'S COUNCILOR ARROYO AND THEN COUNCILOR FLYNN. COUNCILOR JANEY. >> THANK YOU SO MUCH, MADAM CHAIR. CAN YOU HEAR ME OKAY? I, LIKE ALL OF US, HAVING LOTS OF PROBLEMS WITH THE TECHNOLOGY GETTING IN. >> YOU'RE CLEAR. >> OKAY, GREAT. LET ME FIRST JUST START OUT BY THANKING YOU, CHAIRWOMAN BOK. I THINK YOU HAVE DONE AN INCREDIBLE JOB, YOU KNOW. YOUR VERY FIRST BUDGET AS A NEW COUNCILOR. I AM SO IMPRESSED WITH HOW YOU'VE LAID OUT THE BUDGET AND HOW YOU HAVE TAKEN THE TIME TO NOT ONLY EDUCATE THE PUBLIC BUT YOUR COLLEAGUES, YOU KNOW, YOUR SKILLS AS A PROFESSOR, AS A MAKING THIS WORK MUCH MORE ACCESSIBLE TO THOSE WE SERVE. SO I REALLY APPRECIATE THAT. I ALSO APPRECIATE THE DIFFICULT

WE KNOW THAT COVID EXACERBATED,

YOU KNOW, THOSE-- THOSE ITEMS FOR US. FORTUNATELY, WE'VE BEEN ABLE TO TAP INTO SOME SUPPORT AT THE STATE AND FEDERAL LEVEL. BUT, YOU KNOW, THESE TIMES ARE REALLY DIFFICULT. AND I CAN CERTAINLY APPRECIATE THE CHALLENGES OF TRYING TO BALANCE OUT WHETHER WE APPROVE THIS BUDGET NEXT WEEK, OR WHETHER WE GO INTO A 112 BUDGET AND WHAT THAT MEANS FOR OUR CITY. CERTAINLY AS A DISTRICT COUNCILOR, I HAVE A LOT OF PET

PROJECTS IN MY DISTRICT THAT NEED FINANCIAL SUPPORT, AND THAT'S VERY IMPORTANT TO ME. WHAT I HEAR MANY SAY, MANY OF US SAYING, MANY OF THE ADVOCATES SAYING, MANY FOLKS IN THE PUBLIC SAYING, AS WELL AS, ALL OF THAT IS TRUE.

WE HAVE SOME DIFFICULT CHOICES TO MAKE ABOUT HOW WE DO BUSINESS IN OUR CITY, AND WE HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO CHANGE HOW WE DO BUSINESS.

AND WHAT I HEAR LOUD AND CLEAR IS A CALL FOR REINVESTMENT, FOR REIMAGINEINATION, AND REINVESTMENT, PARTICULARLY IN OUR PEOPLE, IN OUR YOUNG PEOPLE. SO I GET THAT THIS IS-- YOU KNOW, THAT FOLKS HAVE REALLY DIFFICULT DECISIONS TO WEIGH IN

THE NEXT FEW DAYS. BUT I DON'T WANT TO LOSE SIGHT OF, BECAUSE THIS IS HARD, WE SHOULDN'T DO IT.

I DON'T WANT TO LOSE SIGHT OF WHILE MANY OF THE THINGS THAT WE SEEK TO CHANGE MAY NOT LIVE IN THIS ACTUAL BUDGET.

IT MIGHT LIVE?

N STATE LEGISLATION.

IT MIGHT LIVE IN THE COLLECTIVE BARGAINING TABLE.

WHAT I HEAR FROM FOLKS IN THE COMMUNITY, IN THE PUBLIC, AS WELL AS MY COLLEAGUES ON THIS BODY, IS THE NEED, THE WILLINGNESS TO TACKLE THAT HEAD ON.

SO I KNOW THIS IS VERY DIFFICULT.

I'VE GOT SCHOOLS.

I'VE GOT PARKS.

I'VE GOT ALL THESE GREAT THINGS

THAT ARE HAPPENING.

AND I WANT A BUDGET THAT WILL

INVEST IN THOSE PROJECTS, AS

WELL AS OUR PEOPLE. PARTICULARLY

OUR YOUNG PEOPLE.

AND SO I JUST WANTED TO KIND OF PUT OUT WHAT I WAS HEARING, AND

THE BALANCE AND THE TRADEOFF AND

THE DIFFICULTY OF THIS MOMENT, BUT WHAT I HOPE THAT WE WILL

CONTINUE TO DO IS FORCE OURSELVES, AS DIFFICULT AS IT IS, AS HARD AS THESE DECISIONS WILL BE. TO REALLY FORCE OURSELVES TO HEAR AND DO THINGS DIFFERENTLY, TO REALLY DO THINGS DIFFERENTLY. SO THAT'S WHERE I AM IN THIS SPACE. I DON'T KNOW THAT I HAVE A BUNCH OF QUESTIONS THAT I WOULD PUT OUT FOR THE ADMINISTRATION. MY CONCERNS REMAIN CONSISTENT. I AM DEEPLY CONCERNED ABOUT HOW WE DO BUSINESS AS A CITY. SO LOOKING AT PROCUREMENT AND CONTRACTING. I'M DEEPLY CONCERNED ABOUT, YOU KNOW, HOUSING AND DEVELOPMENT, AND HOW WE PLAN IN OUR CITY. THE EDUCATION BUDGET, AND THE THINGS THAT ARE-- HAVE NOT BEEN DONE THERE. YOU KNOW, GOOD POLICIES THAT ARE ALREADY EVEN ON THE BOOKS. SO SOME OF THIS IS NOT EVEN DO WE NEED TO CREATE SOMETHING NEW? SOME OF IT IS WE HAVE TO DO WHAT WE SAID WE WERE GOING TO DO. AND THAT'S WHAT I REALLY WANT TO SEE HERE IN THIS MOMENT. IT'S A REAL OPPORTUNITY FOR REAL MEANINGFUL CHANGE. AND I HOPE WE SEIZE THE MOMENT. THANK YOU, MADAM CHAIR. IF I HAVE ANY SPECIFIC QUESTIONS, IF THERE'S A SECOND ROUND, I WILL BE SURE TO SHARE THAT. THAT. THANK YOU, MADAM CHAIR. >> GREAT, THANK YOU SO MUCH, MADAM PRESIDENT. NEXT UP IS COUNCILOR ARROYO.

COUNCILOR ARROYO.

>> THANK YOU, MADAM CHAIR, AND

THANK YOU FOR YOUR WORK.

WHAT A TIME TO BE WALKING INTO

YOUR FIRST BUDGET.

JUST A COUPLE OF QUESTIONS

BEFORE I GET INTO COMENTSZ.

WOULD THIS BE CONSIDERED A

DOWN-BUDGET YEAR.

I THINK THERE ARE \$32 MILLION

CUTS.

>> I THINK NOT TECHNICALLY BECAUSE WE'RE STILL UP, LIKE, A LITTLE SHY OF 3.5% FROM LAST YEAR.

IT'S NOT AS MUCH OF AN INCREASE AS IT WAS PROJECTED TO BE PREVIOUSLY.

- >> SO NEXT YEAR AND THE YEAR AFTER THAT, WE'RE PROJECTING DOWN.
- >> I THINK-- I MEAN, YEAH, EVERYONE IS LIKE-- NO ONE KNOWS WHAT THE FUTURE HOLDS, BUT I WOULD BE SHOCKED IF WE WEREN'T LOOKING AT A DOWN BUDGET NEXT YEAR.
- >> OKAY.
- >> AND I SHOULD SAY FOR PEOPLE'S REFERENCE, VERY BRIEFLY, THAT'S BECAUSE, LIKE, THOSE EXCISE TAXES WE TALKED ABOUT, CONTINUE TO BE REALLY DEPENDENT ON THE ECONOMY, RIGHT? AND THEN THE STATE AID, LIKE THE STATE'S BUDGET IS SUPER DEPENDENT ON THE ECONOMY. AND AS LONG AS THEY'RE LOOKING AT A BIG HOLE-- LIKE, THEY'RE, PEOPLE THINK LOOKING AT A \$6

PEOPLE THINK, LOOKING AT A \$6 BILLION HOLE FOR NEXT YEAR. THAT WILL TRICKLE DOWN TO WHAT THEY SEND TO CITIES AND TOWNS.

YOU HAVE TO WORRY ABOUT IT.

AND ON THE PROPERTY TAX SIDE,

WE-- PROPERTY TAXES IS A MORE

STABLE SOURCE IN THIS KIND OF SITUATION.

BUT A BIG PIECE OF WHAT WE GET EACH YEAR IS NEW GROWTH. AND THIS YEAR'S BUDGET IS BEING BOWIEED UP BY THE FACT WE HAD A LOT OF NEW GROWTH, AND OCCUPANCY

LAST YEAR ENTERING THE ROLES. WHEN YOU CONSIDER THE

CONSTRUCTION STOPPAGE AND THE RECESSION, YOU'RE LIKELY TO SEE LESS OF THAT IN THE NEXT COUPLE OF YEARS.

SO THAT'S A BIG PIECE OF WHY ONE WOULD EXPECT '22 AND MAYBE ALSO '23 TO BE DOWN.

>> AND A COUPLE OF MORE QUICK CLARIFYING QUESTIONS.

112 BUDGET IS CALLED A 112 BUDGET BECAUSE IT'S MONTH-TO-MONTH BUDGET. EVERY MONTH CARRIES OVER WHAT WE HAD BUDGETED FOR LAST YEAR. THE MAYOR WOULD STIBL ABLE TO SUBMIT A NEW BUDGET.

- >> YABSOLUTELY.
- >> AT ANY TIME, THE MAYOR AND HIS ADMINISTRATION CAN SUBMIT A NEW BUDGET, AND IF WE APPROVE IT, TAKE US OFF OF A 112 BUDGET BECAUSE IT'S JUST MONTH TO MONTH?
- >> YES, THAT'S MY UNDERSTANDING. ALTHOUGH, I THINK WE SHOULD ASK THE ADMINISTRATION THAT QUESTION.

BUT MY UNDERSTANDING IS, YEAH.
AND MY OTHER CONCERN I FLOATED
IN THE PRESENTATION IS IF WE GET
A REVISED LOCAL AID NUMBER FROM
THE STATE, THAT WE WOULD BE
BOUND TO THEN-- WHATEVER BUDGET
THEY WERE SUBMITTING WOULD HAVE
TO BE SMALLER TO MATCH THAT.
BUT, YES, I THINK THEY CAN STILL
SUBMIT A BUDGET.

>> OKAY.

SO WE WOULDN'T BE DOOMED TO A 112 BUDGET FOR THE WHOLE YEAR? THE MAYOR COULD SUBMIT A NEW BUDGET.

>> NOT NECESSARILY.
YEAH, IT'S-- YEAH, IT'S A
QUESTION OF-- WE HAVE THIS ROOM
BETWEEN-- WE HAVE THE 119
BETWEEN-- LIKE THIS BUDGET AND
THE 112, AND THE QUESTION IS
JUST AS YOU WAIT TO SETTLE A
BUDGET AS YOU HAVE A 112, DOES
THAT INCREASE DECLINE BECAUSE OF
STATE CHANGES?

>> I WAS JUST TRYING TO FIGURE IT OUT.

I WAS GETTING A LOT OF DOOM AND GLOOM AS WE GO DOWN THE BUDGET, THE HOUSE WAS GOING TO BURN, AND NOBODY IS GOING TO BE ABLE TO STOP THAT.

AND I JUST WANTED TO MAKE SURE THAT IT WAS STILL TRUE THAT THE MAYOR COULD SUBMIT A BUDGET. AND SO, AS WE GET INTO THAT, THE

SCHOOL FUNDING INCREASES, THOSE INCREASES ARE TO NURSES IN EVERY SCHOOL AND SOCIAL WORKERS? CORRECT?

>> WELL, IT'S THE-- \$36 MILLION IS THAT PIECE FOR, LIKE, ALL THOSE SUPPORTS AROUND THE 33

TRANSFORMATION SCHOOLS.

SO THE WORKERS AND OTHER THINGS.

AND THE OTHER 44 IS FOR KIND OF

EXISTING COMMITTED THINGS.

SINCE THE NURSES WERE AN

EXISTING COMMITTED THING, THE...

THE-- I THINK-- YEAH, THE NURSES

IN EVERY SCHOOL ARE BAKED INTO

THAT 44, ALONG WORRYING THINGS

THAT WERE COLLECTIVELY BARGAINED

FOR, AND JUST NORMAL COST INCREASES.

>> BECAUSE WE DIDN'T HAVE NURSES

IN EVERY SCHOOL BEFORE THIS?

>> RIGHT.

THAT WAS A BIG WIN THAT SOME OF OUR COLLEAGUES WORKED ON AND

THAT'S WHAT B.T.U. --

>> WE DIDN'T HAVE NURSES IN

EVERY SCHOOL, AND THIS WAS

UPPING THE NUMBER OF SOCIAL

WORKERS.

CORRECT?

OKAY.

SO WHEN WE TALK ABOUT BUDGETS

AND HOW I LOOK AT IT, RIGHT,

WE'RE TALKING ABOUT MESSAGES

THAT WE'RE SENDING, VALUES THAT

WE'RE SENDING.

THE FACT OF THE MATTER IS NOW

WE'RE IN THE YEAR OF 2020, SO WE

MIGHT GET NURSES IN EVERY

SCHOOL, RIGHT.

BUT WHEN WE'RE TALKING ABOUT

OVERTIME AT \$60 MILLION

BUDGETED, NOT WHAT THEY USE,

THERE WAS AN OVER-BUDGET LAST

YEAR, CORRECT?

WHAT WAS THE TOTAL NUMBER OF

OVERTIME USE LAST YEAR?

>> THIS YEAR. WE DON'T

TECHNICALLY KNOW.

YEAH, WE DON'T TECHNICALLY KNOW,

BUT WHEN WE LAST GOT THE NUMBERS

FROM THEM, IT WAS ON TRACK TO BE

\$10 MILLION OVER.

IT WAS ON TRACK TO BE MORE LIKE

70 THAN 60. >> YOU HAVE HEARD-- BECAUSE I HAVE NOT BUT I'M THEP HEAR IF YOU HAVE-- WHAT THE PLAN IS STRUCTURALLY TO CHANGE THAT **OVERTIME USAGE?** WHAT PLAN HAVE THEY PRESENTED TO-- SOME OF THAT IS CONTRACTUAL. SOME OF THAT IS C.B.A. COURT TIME, NOT GOING TO DEAL WITH THAT, WITH MORE PERSONAL OR DIFFERENT STRUCTURING. WHEN WE TALK ABOUT THE ACTUAL STRUCTURING OF THE UNITS. WHAT CAUSES THE OVERTIME INCREASES. HAVE THEY IDENTIFIED THAT? HAVE THEY IDENTIFIED A WAY TO DEAL WITH THAT TO YOUR KNOWLEDGE? I HAVEN'T, SO I'M TRYING TO FIG OUTER IF THEY HAVE. >> THAT'S ONE OF THE THINGS WE'RE GOING TO ASK ON MONDAY. WHEN I SAY THAT'S THE MOST, IT'S BASED ON ME DOING RESEARCH. I THINK IT'S ONE OF THE KEY THINGS WHEN THEY'RE TALKING ABOUT THAT REALLOCATION THAT WE NEED TO ASK THEM ABOUT ON MONDAY. >> THAT WOULD BE LIKE A SYSTEMIC CHANGE, WHICH IS WHAT ACTUALLY CAUSES REAL CHANGE. THAT'S WHAT I'M TRYING TO FIGURE OUT, WHEN WE'RE CUTTING BUDGETS, WHETHER WE CAN CUT A BUDGET ON OVERTIME REQUIRES SOME CHANGE IN BEHAVIOR IN TERMS OF HOW THEY GENERATE THAT. SO FOR ME, YOU KNOW, WHEN WE'RE TALKING ABOUT THE MESSAGES THAT WE'RE SENDING AND WE'RE TALKING ABOUT SCHOOL CLOSURES, AND, YOU KNOW, LAYOFFS ACROSS THE BOARD, WHAT MESSAGE ARE WE SENDING TO OUR PARENTS AND OUR STUDENTS AND OUR TEACHERS AND FOLKS IN THESE DEPARTMENTS IN THE CITY, WHEN WE

CAN'T BARELY TOUCH OVERTIME HEADING INTO A DOWN YEAR, BUT WE'RE PUTTING THAT LIKE IT'S AS DIFFICULT AS, SAY, LIKE, SCHOOL

CLOSURES OR LAYOFFS?

THAT'S A PROBLEM, I THINK.
WHEN WE TALK ABOUT ADDRESSING
SYSTEMIC RACISM, YOU KNOW, WHEN
I PUT FORWARD THAT RESOLUTIONNOT REALLY A RESOLUTION.
IT WAS A HEARING ORDER TO CREATE
AN OFFICE TO ACTUALLY ADDRESS
IT.

WHEN I PUT THAT FORWARD IN MARCH, I WAS LOOKING AT SYSTEMIC CHANGE.

AND WHEN WE TALK ABOUT BUDGETS, THIS IS HOW YOU WOULD ADDRESS SYSTEMIC RACISM.

IT'S NOT HASH TAGS.

MAKING HARD CHOICES ABOUT WHERE YOU PRIORITIZE, WHERE YOUR MONEY GOES.

WHEN YOU TALK ABOUT BUDGET CHOICES IN CERTAIN AREAS, THE HARM OF GENERATIONS OF SYSTEMIC RACISM, HOW MUCH MONEY WOULD WE NEED IN THIS BUDGET-- AND THIS IS HYPOTHETICAL-- JUST FOR ONE YEAR OF ADDRESSING TRAUMA-RELATED IMPACTS OF RACISM, JUST ONE YEAR OF THAT. IS THAT \$10 MILLION?

I THINK IT'S FAR, FAR MORE THAN THAT.

IF WE'RE TALKING ABOUT DOING
THAT NOW WITH A PANDEMIC-BECAUSE WE'RE STILL IN A
PANDEMIC-- NOW IT'S EVEN BIGGER.
SO WHEN WE TALK ABOUT PRIORITIES
AND WHERE MONEY GOES AND HOW WE
DO IT, I RECOGNIZE THESE ARE
HARD CHOICES, THESE ARE
DIFFICULT THINGS, RIGHT.
I GET THAT.

BUT WHEN WE'RE TALKING ABOUT IMPACT ON SYSTEMIC RACISM, GREATEST IMPACT JUST FOR THE DOLLAR, WHAT THAT DOLLAR GOES TO, WHAT IT ADDRESSES, I THINK COUNCILOR FLAHERTY MENTIONED THAT POLICE ARE RESPONDING TO TOO MANY THINGS.

AND I WOULD AGREE WITH THAT. AND I THINK THEY WOULD AGREE WITH THAT.

BUT WE DON'T HAVE, THOUGH, THE RESOURCES.

EVERYBODY HERE HAS GOTTEN

SOMETHING ABOUT FIREWORKS. WE DON'T HAVE AN ALTERNATIVE FOR THAT.

WE DON'T HAVE A TRAUMA TEAM, BECAUSE SENDING OFF FIREWORKS AT 3:00 A.M., THERE'S SOME TRAUMA THERE.

THERE'S NOTHING REALLY IN OUR BUDGET OR IN OUR CITY TO REALLY ADDRESS THESE BIGGER ISSUES. AND I THINK THIS IS TIME FOR US TO REALLY LEAN IN TO THE TIME TO WHAT ITS ASKING FOR, TO WHAT IS KNOWN, WHICH IS MONEY DEDICATED TO CURING THE DISEASE IS ALWAYS GOING TO BE A BETTER BANG FOR YOUR BUCK THAN MONEY GOING TO THE SYMPTOMS.

BECAUSE AT THE END OF THE DAY, IF WE DON'T CURE THOSE SYSTEM, WE'RE GOING TO KEEP HAVING THESE SAME CONVERSATIONS AND THESE SAME PROBLEMS, RIGHT.
SO, FOR ME, I'D LIKE TO SEE MONEY GOING INTO HEALTH AND TRAUMA.

I'D LIKE TO SEE MONEY GOING INTO-- AT HIGHER RATES THAN THEY CURRENTLY ARE.

I'D LIKE TO SEE JOB SECURITIES
BECAUSE JOBS HAVE AN ECONOMIC
IMPACT IN OUR COMMUNITIES AND
I'M GOING TO BE VERY SPECIFIC ON
THIS, COMMUNITIES OF COLOR,

SPECIFICALLY, AND LOW

SOCIOECONOMIC COMMUNITIES BEING

IMPACTED DEEPLY BY THIS

PANDEMIC, BY THIS RECESSION.

THOSE JOBS ARE GOING TO HELP

KEEP PEOPLE'S LIGHTS ON.

THEY'RE GOING TO HELP KEEP

PEOPLE IN NEIGHBORHOODS.

IT'S VERY, VERY, VERY IMPORTANT

AND WE DON'T HAVE A LOT OF

MECHANISMS FOR CREATING THEM.

AND THIS IS A GREAT WAY OF DOING THAT.

I'LL SAY FOR ME, THIS IS BIGGER THAN ONE BUDGET VOTE.

YOU KNOW, THE CITY IS A SHIFT, A

BIG SHIFT.

SO FOR ME IT'S ABOUT TRYING TO SHIFT THE WAY THE CITY LOOKS AT ITS BUDGET, TRYING TO SHIFT THE

WAY IT PRIORITIZES.

I DON'T EXPECT THAT TO BE DONE

IN ONE BUDGET TO BE PERFECTLY CLEAR.

I DON'T THINK THERE'S A ONE-YEAR

FIX TO THINGS I'M TALKING ABOUT.

I DO THINK IT REQUIRES US TO

MAKE HARD CHOICES THAT, FRANKLY,

I DON'T THINK WERE REALLY MADE

IN THE WAY THEY MADE THE CUTS.

THEY WERE TACTICAL CUTS.

I THINK THEY HAVE TO BE HARD.

I THINK THEY HAVE TO BE

DIFFICULT CHOICES.

THAT'S WHERE I'M AT ON THIS

BUDGET.

THAT'S WHERE I AM WITH WHERE WE

ARE RIGHT NOW.

YOU KNOW, I GET THE FEAR OF A

112 BUDGET IF WE VOTED THIS

DOWN.

BUT I ALSO UNDERSTAND FULLY THAT

THE MAYOR CAN COME BACK WITH A

SECOND BUDGET.

THEY CAN WRITE A SECOND BUDGET

RIGHT NOW.

THEY CAN MAKE ADJUSTMENTS AS

WE'RE TALKING.

SO THESE KINDS OF THINGS, YOU

KNOW, I DON'T THINK LIMIT US

FROM BEING ABLE TO ADVOCATE FOR

OUR FOLKS, BEING ABLE TO

ADVOCATE FOR THE CITY THAT WE

WANT TO SEE IN THE FUTURE.

WE WANT TO SEE.

AND THAT IS WHERE I'M AT.

SO THANK YOU.

ANY OTHER QUESTIONS COME UP,

I'LL BRING THEM UP.

AGAIN, THANK YOU, TO YOU, CHAIR.

I KNOW THIS HAS BEEN A PROCESS.

AND SO, YOU KNOW, THANK YOU TO

YOU FOR YOUR WORK.

>> THANKS SO MUCH, COUNCILOR

ARROYO.

NEXT UP, COUNCILOR FLYNN AND

THEN COUNCILOR WU.

COUNCILOR FLYNN.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILOR BOK.

AND THANK YOU, COUNCILOR BOK FOR

WORKING SO HARD AS THE CHAIR OF

THE BUDGET COMMITTEEG

YOU'RE DOING AN EXCELLENT JOB

AND BEING VERY INCLUSIVE.

SO I WANT TO SAY THANK YOU. SOME OF THE COMMENTS OR QUESTIONS OR CONCERNS I HAVE, YOU KNOW, THE CONTROVERSIAL PART OF THIS SEEMS TO BE POLICE OVERTIME BUDGET. BUT I WANT TO JUST ASK THE ADMINISTRATION OR THE POLICE DEPARTMENT, YOU KNOW, IF WE SIGNIFICANTLY CUT THE POLICE OVERTIME BUDGET, WHAT IMPACT WILL THAT HAVE ON MANNING LEVELS? YOU KNOW, WE KNOW MANY POLICE OFFICERS ARE WORKING FORCED OVERTIME. WILL THAT CONTINUE IF THERE IS NO MONEY IN-- OR IF THERE'S NOT ENOUGH MONEY IN THE BUDGET? AND IF THAT WERE THE CASE, HOW WOULD THE CITY-- HOW WOULD THE POLICE RESPOND? I KNOW COUNCILOR ARROYO AND COUNCILOR FLAHERTY MENTIONED THE... RESPONDING TO A LOT OF CALLS THAT THEY PROBABLY SHOULDN'T BE, SUCH AS-- SUCH AS THE FIREWORKS. I KNOW THAT FIREWORKS CALLS WENT UP 2300% AND THAT HAS A HUGE IMPACT ON THE MANNING LEVELS OF OUR POLICE.

THE OTHER-- THE OTHER ISSUE I HAD, COUNCILOR BOK, IS, YOU KNOW, CAN WE PROJECT POSSIBLE RETIREMENT OF POLICE OVER THE NEXT YEAR, OVER THE NEXT TWO YEARS?

AND WHAT IMPACT WOULD THAT HAVE ON THE CITY NOT ONLY PAYING THE RETIREMENT, BUT ALSO WITH LESS OFFICERS ON THE STREET?

AND WHAT ARE WE THINKING ABOUT IN TERMS OF OUR NEXT POLICE CLASSES AS WELL?

DO WE HAVE ENOUGH MONEY TO PAY FOR RETIREMENTS?

AND WHAT IS OUR STRATEGY AND WHAT IS OUR PLAN?
THE OTHER ISSUE, UNRELATED TO POLICE, I'M GLAD WE'RE GOING TO BE INVESTING INTO LANGUAGE ACCESS AND FOOD ACCESS. TWO

ISSUES I'VE WORKED ON CLOSELY.

I WOULD LIKE TO KNOW MORE ABOUT HOW WE'RE GOING TO SPEND-- SPEND THAT MONEY.

AND, YOU KNOW, I'VE NOTICED OVER THE LAST COUPLE OF YEARS, THE CITY COUNCIL IS DOING MORE WORK ON LANGUAGE ACCESS.

ARE WE ABLE TO GET MORE MONEY FOR THE CITY COUNCIL BUDGET SO WE CAN DO MORE WORK ON LANGUAGE ACCESS OUTREACH, INCLUDING OUR OUTREACH THROUGH THE PUBLIC TELEVISION?

AND THEN MY OTHER QUESTION-- TWO MORE QUESTIONS.

COLLECTIVE BARGAINING, COUNCILOR BOK, YOU KNOW, SOME CONTRACTS ARE GOING TO BE UP.

WHAT IMPACT WILL A 3% RAISE OR A 2% RAISE, 1% RAISE WHEN WE'RE NEGOTIATING THE CONTRACT-- OR MAYBE MORE, I DON'T KNOW. BUT WHAT IMPACT WOULD THAT HAVE ON NEXT YEAR'S BUDGET, POTENTIAL

ARE WE THINKING ABOUT THOSE TYPES OF ISSUES?

LAYOFFS?

REVENUE.

AND MY FINAL POINT, COUNCILOR BOK, IS I THINK WE HAVE TO TAKE A SERIOUS LOOK AT INCREASING REVENUE IN THE CITY.

IT'S NOT POPULAR TO SAY IT, BUT WE'RE IN A VERY DIFFICULT ENVIRONMENT HERE.

WE HAVE A TERRIBLE-- WE HAD A TERRIBLE PANDEMIC, AND THE ECONOMY IS NOT DOING WELL. I THINK IT'S-- I THINK WE HAVE TO THINK ABOUT WAYS TO RAISE

AND I THINK WE NEED TO COME UP WITH A PLAN TO PUT A COUPLE IDEAS ON THE TABLE AND AS A CITY, I THINK IT'S IMPORTANT

THAT WE ALL INVEST IN THIS BUDGET.

AND THE ONLY WAY WE INVEST IN THIS BUDGET IS TO GET EVERYBODY INVOLVED ACROSS THE CITY, PAYING A LITTLE MORE.

AND THAT'S WHERE-- THAT'S WHERE I'M AT.

SO, AGAIN, I JUST WANT TO SAY THANK YOU, COUNCILOR BOK, FOR

YOUR STRONG LEADERSHIP DURING THIS BUDGET PROCESS.

>> THANK YOU SO MUCH, COUNCILOR FLYNN.

NEXT UP IS COUNCILOR WU, AND

THEN I'M JUST GOING TO DO SECOND

ROUNDS BY BLUE HANDS.

SO-- AND I DON'T THINK WE'VE

BEEN REJOINED BY COUNCILOR

EDWARDS OR CAMPBELL, SO WE'LL GO

TO COUNCILOR WU, AND IF PEOPLE

HAVE FURTHER COMMENTS, I'LL TAKE

BLUE HANDS.

COUNCILOR WU.

>> THANK YOU, MADAM CHAIR.

I JUST WANT TO ECHO SO MUCH OF

WHAT HAS BEEN SAID, ESPECIALLY

STARTING WITH THE DEEP GRATITUDE

THAT MANY OTHERS HAVE EXPRESSED

FOR HOW YOU HAVE HANDLED THIS

PROCESS AND MADE SURE THAT WE

ARE INFORMED, BUT MORE

IMPORTANTLY, MADE SURE THE

PUBLIC HAS BEEN INFORMED ALONG

THE WAY, AND ESPECIALLY GIVEN

THE UNCERTAINTY THAT AMBIVALENTS EXISTS IN

THE WORLD RIGHT NOW TO, HAVE A

LITTLE MORE CLARITY, EVEN ABOUT

WHAT THE UNCLEAR POINTS ARE, HAS

BEEN IMPORTANT.

I ALSO WANT TO ECHO THE COMMENTS

AROUND MOSTLY THAT I THINK

COUNCILOR ARROYO WAS SAYING

THIS. THAT IT'S NOT ACTUALLY A

DECISION OF EITHER/OR.

EITHER WE SORT OF SWALLOW IT AND

PASS A BUDGET. IF WE'RE NOT ALL

THE WAY HAPPY.

OR THERE'S DEVASTATION AND WE'RE

STUCK WITH A 112 BUDGET

PERMANENTLY.

THAT IT'S REALLY JUST ABOUT

THINKING HOW MUCH TIME THE

COUNCIL NEEDS TO REFLECT ON ALL

OF THE NEW INFORMATION, THE

PUBLIC CONVERSATION, AND

ENGAGEMENT THAT HAS COME, YOU

KNOW, IN SOME WAYS LATER ON IN

THE PROCESS.

THAT'S STILL JUST AS IMPORTANT

AND SHOULD BE INCORPORATED.

FOR ME WHAT THAT BOILS DOWN TO

IS INTENTIONALITY, THAT OFTEN IT

FEELS THAT THERE ARE NUMBERS

KIND OF PLUCKED OUT ARBITRARILY, JUST SAY, "OKAY, WE'RE GOING TO HIT A, YOU KNOW, 20% FIGURE, AND THAT IS DIVVIED UP IN THIS AND THAT WAY," WITHOUT STARTING FIRST FROM DEFINING THE POSITION WE'RE AIMING FOR AND WHEN WE'RE TRYING TO ACHIEVE AND WHY IT MAKES SENSE TO ALLOCATE THINGS IN CERTAIN WAYS, ESPECIALLY AS WE'RE TALKING ABOUT MAKING CHANGES TO THE INITIAL PROPOSED BUDGET. I AGREE WITH YOU, MADAM CHAIR, THAT THIS IS LARGELY A... CONVERSATION, AND, THERE ARE, THERE ARE MANY OTHER LAYERS OF JURISDICTION INVOLVED, AND WE NEED TO BE PUSHING FORWARD MULTI-YEAR, LONGER TERM VISION OF WHAT IT MEANS TO CONTINUE SEEING SUSTAINABLE PRESSURE IN THIS DIRECTION OF MOVING TOWARDS A BUDGET THAT WILL TRULY DELIVER ON EQUITY AND EFFECTIVE USE OF PUBLIC DOLLARS, AND THAT WE'RE NOT JUST SQUEEZING OUT, YOU KNOW, ONE BLIP TO BE ABLE TO SAY THAT SOMETHING WAS DONE WITHOUT BEING ABLE TO DELIVER THAT THROUGH OVERTIME REDUCTIONS. AND, MORE IMPORTANTLY, HOW THAT WOULD BE CARRIED THROUGH AS WE'RE FACING A MUCH MORE DIRE FINANCIAL PICTURE IN THE NEXT FEW YEARS. SO, YOU KNOW, INTENTIONALITY IS WHAT I'M FOCUSING ON AS WE'LL BE ENGAGING WITH, YOU KNOW, ONE MORE ROUND OF CONVERSATIONS WITH THE ADMINISTRATION RIGHT BEFORE THE IMMEDIATE LOOMING DEADLINE AT THE END OF THE FISCAL YEAR. BUT I DO ALSO PUB BACK AGAINST THE IDEA THAT THAT IS IT, AND THAT'S THE ABSOLUTE END TO CONVERSATIONS. I THINK IT WOULD BE HELPFUL--AND CERTAINLY I WILL PUT IN SOME EFFORT ON MY END AS WELL. I HEAR YOUR CONCERNS AND CONCERNS OF THE ADMINISTRATION ABOUT HOW STATE AID AND

CONTINUED CHANGES TO PROJECTIONS

OF STATE REVENUES MIGHT BE, YOU KNOW, CHANGED IF THE TIMELINE IS PUSHED OUT FURTHER, BUT IT WOULD BE HELPFUL TO HAVE SOME SPECIFICS, EVEN IF IT'S-- YOU KNOW, SPECIFICS ABOUT WHAT THE URGENCY IS FROM THE STATE AND HOW THAT PROCESS WOULD WORK. THIS IS A WINDOW THAT WE DON'T WANT TO MISS JUST BECAUSE WE FEEL LIKE THERE'S UNDUE PRESSURE WHEN, IN FACT, WE HAVE A LOT OF ROOM TO MANEUVER AND TO BRING THE PUBLIC IN ON CONVERSATIONS. SO THANK YOU SO MUCH FOR YOUR LEADERSHIP. I'M LOOKING FORWARD TO CONTINUE

TO ENGAGE OVER THE NEXT COUPLE OF DAYS.

>> GREAT, THANK YOU SO MUCH, COUNCILOR WU.

GOING BY BLUE HANDS, NEXT UP IS COUNCILOR FLAHERTY AND THEN COUNCILOR JANEY.

COUNCILOR FLAHERTY.

>> THANK YOU, MADAM CHAIR.

WE TALKED A LITTLE BIT ABOUT 911 IN THE FIRST ROUND.

ALSO, SOMETHING WE NEED TO BE MINDFUL OF IS WE'RE NOT REALLY KEEPING UP WITH ATTRITION.

A LOT OF MEN AND WOMEN OF THE DEPARTMENT ARE BEING ORDERED IN ON FORCED OVERTIME JUST TO MEET THE SHIFT DEMANDS AND/OR TO MEET THE 911 CALLS.

AND THAT'S A FACTOR, WHICH ARGUABLY IS A PIECE OF THE OVERTIME.

AND I'M NOT SURE WHAT IF ANY RESPONSE WE HAVE FROM THE ADMINISTRATION OR THE DEPARTMENT ON THAT AS TO WHY DO SO MANY OF OUR POLICE OFFICERS. WHY ARE THEY WORKING AS MUCH AS THEY'RE **WORKING?**

AND WHY ARE WE NOT KEEPING UP WITH THE ATTRITION RATE? I KNOW THAT THERE'S BEEN A NUMBER OF RETIREMENTS, AND THERE ARE MORE PLANNED. THAT'S ONE PIECE. THE OTHER PIECE IS I THINK IN

LIGHT OF THE ADMINISTRATION'S

EFFORTS TO SORT OF REDIRECT OR TO REALLOCATE THE \$12 MILLION, I THINK WE NEED TO START TO REACH OUT. MAYBE TO THOSE DEPARTMENTS WHO ARE GOING TO BE RECEIVING MORE FUNDING THAT THEY WERE NOT EXPECTING PRIOR TO THE RESUBMISSION, AND MAKE SURE THAT THEY'RE PREPARING THEMSELVES FOR THAT ADDITIONAL REVENUE. AND I THINK A BIG PIECE OF **BUDGETING IS IT'S PREDICTABILITY** AND PLANNING AND AS WE MENTIONED EARLIER, THIS IS GOING TO BE A MULTIBUDGET, MULTI-YEAR APPROACH. I THINK HAVING PREDICTABILITY, AND, OBVIOUSLY, AND PLANNING ALONGSIDE OF THAT PREDICTABILITY-- I'M NOT QUITE SURE YOU'D GET THAT IN A 112. BUT I JUST THINK AS WE'RE LOOKING AT THE MONEY-- THE \$12 MILLION, ARGUABLY, THAT'S GOING TO BE REALLOCATED, THEN WE NEED SOME-- THERE'S NOW PREDICTABILITY IN THAT, AND THERE NEEDS TO BE SOME PLANNING AROUND THOSE FUNDS AND TO ACCOMPLISH SOME OF THE GOALS AND **OBJECTIVES AND THE POLICY** CHANGES THAT WE'RE LOOKING FOR. AND OBVIOUSLY, TO THINK SPECIFICALLY ABOUT, YOU KNOW, PREVIOUS DISCUSSION AROUND SORT OF NEIGHBORHOOD HOUSING TRUST BEING ABLE TO, YOU KNOW, GET THIS ADDITIONAL FUNDS, GET THEIR FUNDS IN A TIMELY MANNER. PARTICULARLY, YOU KNOW, IF WE WERE TO ANTICIPATE THE REAL ESTATE TAX TRANSFER PASSING. I'M NOT QUITE SURE IF WE HAVE ANY INDICATION FROM OUR COLLEAGUES UP AT THE STATE HOUSE. BUT THAT'S A BIG PIECE OF THIS AS WELL, IN ADDITION TO SOME OF THE REVENUE CUTS WE'RE GOING TO BE GETTING. ARE WE GOING TO BE GETTING THINGS LIKE THE REAL ESTATE TAX TRANSFER, AND WHAT DOES THAT MEAN FROM I GUESS PREDICTABILITY AND PLANNING

STANDPOINT. THOSE ARE A COUPLE OF THINGS THAT I THINK WE SHOULD ALSO KEEP ON OUR FOREFRONT. >> GREAT, THANK YOU, COUNCILOR FLAHERTY. PRESIDENT JANEY, AND THEN IT WILL BE COUNCILOR ARROYO. >> THANK YOU SO MUCH. MADAM CHAIR. I JUST WANTED TO CHIME IN AND BUILD UPON THE EARLIER COMMENTS FROM SOME OF MY COLLEAGUES. AND I'M REALLY APPRECIATIVE OF THEM FOR BRINGING UP THIS FALSE CHOICE THAT I THINK WE'RE FINDING THE BUDGET AS. AND WE'VE BEEN ASKED TO TAKE ON FALSE CHOICES THROUGHOUT HISTORY, EITHER THIS OR THAT. AND SO I REALLY, REALLY APPRECIATE COUNCILOR ARROYO HIGHLIGHTING THAT CERTAINLY WE ARE NOT GOING TO SOLVE 400 YEARS OF OPPRESSION IN ONE BUDGET CYCLE. BUT WE DO HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY

CYCLE.
BUT WE DO HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY
TO DO SOMETHING DIFFERENT.
AND THESE CHOICES ARE GOING TO
BE HARD, AND SO THE DECISIONS
THAT WE MAKE NOW WILL IMPACT
FUTURE GENERATIONS.
ARE WE GOING TO CONTINUE TO
COMPOUND TRAUMA UPON OF TRAUM

ARE WE GOING TO CONTINUE TO COMPOUND TRAUMA UPON OF TRAUMA? ARE WE GOING IT CONTINUE TO DISPLACE PEOPLE FROM OUR NEIGHBORHOODS?

NEIGHBORHOODS? ARE WE GOING TO CONTINUE TO CONTRACT WITH BUSINESSES THAT ARE NOT HEADQUARTERED IN BOSTON, THAT ARE NOT OWNED BY WOMEN, THAT ARE NOT OWNED BY PEOPLE OF COLOR, SPENDING MILLIONS AND MILLIONS OF DOLLARS OF THE TAXPAYERS' MONEY DOING THAT? I BELIEVE THERE'S AN OPPORTUNITY TO DO SOMETHING DIFFERENT. I THINK THIS BUDGET PROCESS CAN HELP SET US ON THAT PATH. AND SO, I AM LOOKING FOR INVESTMENTS THAT GET US THERE, THAT GET AT THE ROOT CAUSE, AND THAT CREATE DIFFERENT

OPPORTUNITIES FOR THOSE WHO HAVE

BEEN LOCKED OUT AND LEFT BEHIND FOR FAR TOO LONG.

SO I REALLY APPRECIATE LIFTING THAT UP.

AGAIN, THIS IS DIFFICULT FOR ALL OF US. BUT IT'S IMPORTANT THAT WE NOT CREATE THIS FALSE FRAMEWORK AROUND IT'S THIS OR THAT.

, YOU KNOW, THE SAME THING WITH THE POLICE, EITHER WE CARE ABOUT BLACK LIVES OR WE CARE ABOUT THE POLICE.

WE CAN CARE ABOUT THE POLICE IN OUR COMMUNITIES.

MANY OF US KNOW POLICE OFFICERS, POLICE OFFICERS WHO ARE DOING GOOD WORK.

BUT WE CAN ALSO CALL OUT THE NONSENSE WHEN IT COMES TO VIOLENCE AND ABUSE AGAINST PEOPLE OF COLOR.

AND BY CALLING THAT OUT, IT DOES NOT MEAN THAT YOU DON'T SUPPORT GOOD POLICE WORK WHEN YOU SEE IT.

SO WE'VE GOT TO PUSH BACK AGAINST THIS FALSE NARRATIVE. THIS FALSE CHOICE, THE WAY WE FRAME THINGS.

BECAUSE WE'RE NOT GOING TO BE ABLE TO MOVE FORWARD IF WE STAY STUCK IN THAT PARADIGM. SO THANK YOU AGAIN, MADAM CHAIR,

FOR YOUR LEADERSHIP IN THIS PROCESS.

I WANT TO THANK MY COLLEAGUES FOR THEIR VAXZ, AND CERTAINLY TO ALL OF THE ADVOCATES, TO ALL THE RESIDENTS IN OUR CITY, TO ALL THE COMMUNITY GROUPS THAT HAVE BEEN WEIGHING IN WITH REAL CONCRETE SOLUTIONS. THANK YOU FOR PARTICIPATING IN

THIS PROCESS AS WELL.

THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU SO MUCH, MADAM PRESIDENT.

AND I DO JUST WANT TO SAY, BEFORE I GO TO THE NEXT PERSON, JUST FROM MY PERSPECTIVE, BECAUSE I HEAR THE ARGUMENT ABOUT THE FALSE FRAME, AND I THINK THAT IT'S NOT SO MUCH--

IT'S NOT SO MUCH THAT, LIKE, YOU KNOW, WE HIT ARMAGEDDON IF WE GO INTO A 112 IN JULY. THE PIECE THAT I WAS REALLY TRYING TO LIFT UP, AND THAT I WANT TO EMPHASIZE AGAIN, IS THAT I WANT TO SEE US SHIFT FUNDAMENTALLY THE WAY THAT WE APPORTION MONEY IN THE CITY. AND I THINK THAT IT'S-- I JUST WANT TO STRESS FOR FOLKS, YOU KNOW, THAT A 14% INCREASE IN A DEPARTMENT, LIKE WE HAVE IN PUBLIC HEALTH, AND A 40% INCREASE IN D& D ARE NOT THINGS WE SEE IN A NORMAL BUDGET CYCLE. THE HOUSING THING, AS PEOPLE KNOW, I'M AN AFFORDABLE HOUSING PERSON. THIS BUDGET IS LIKE MAKING A MAJOR SHIFT IN THE WAY WE APPORTION RESOURCES. AND SO TO ME, THE RISK HERE IS LESS ABOUT THE RISK OF ARE WE GOING TO BE MEETING OUR SORT OF NORMAL LEVELS OF SERVICE, ET CETERA, IF WE GO OVER THIS EDGE? IT'S MORE THAT, AS WE TALK ABOUT A MULTI-YEAR PLAN TO MOVE FORWARD INTO A MORE JUST CITY, TO ME, ONE OF THE CRITICAL PIECES OF THAT IS INCREASING SUBSTANTIALLY OUR COMMITMENT TO AFFORDABLE HOUSING. AND IF WE-- AND THAT IS SOMETHING THAT'S IN THIS BUDGET. AND IT'S UNUSUAL. SO I JUST WANT TO FLAG FOR PEOPLE THAT THAT'S AN IMPORTANT CONSIDERATION I WAS RAISING, AND I RAISE THAT MORE MAYBE IN MY CAPACITY AS A COUNCILOR AND NOT AS A CHAIR. IT'S NOT NECESSARILY ABOUT, OH, WE'VE GOT TO STAY WITH THE STATUS OUO. IT'S MORE THERE'S AN OPPORTUNITY TO MOVE ON SOMETHING PRETTY RELATED TO WHAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT THAT I DON'T WANT US TO LOSE SIGHT OF IN THIS. JUST MY TWO CENTS.

COUNCILOR ARROYO.

>> THANK YOU, MADAM CHAIR.

AND I JUST WANT TO KIND OF COME TO CLARITY, AND I THINK THAT'S AN IMPORTANT DISCUSSION, ABOUT THE NUMBER OF UNITS WE HAVE IN OUR DISTRICT PATROLLING FOR ANY GIVEN TIME.

WE'LL WE'VE BEEN IN THIS CONVERSATION, I KNOW MONDAY THE ADMINISTRATION-- JUST TO CLARIFY?

>> YES.

>> I JUST WANT TO PUT THIS ON THE TABLE.

I'VE SPOKEN TO A NUMBER OF DIFFERENT BOSTON POLICE MEMBERS OF DIFFERENT RANKS, AND ONE OF THE THINGS THAT WAS BROUGHT IT MY ATTENTION REPEATEDLY, ALMOST BY ALL OF THEM, THAT THEY DO HAVE OFFICERS WHO LIVE IN EACH DISTRICT, RIGHT?

SO THEY HAVE A CERTAIN NUMBER OF OFFICERS ON PATROL.

AND THEN THEY HAVE SPECIAL UNITS.

THE WAY THEY BUILD THEIR CAPACITY AT THE DISTRICT LEVEL--WHICH WE ALL KEEP SAYING IS SO IMPORTANT, HAVING OFFICERS ON THE GROUND AT DISTRICT LEVELS --IS TO USE THE OVERTIME FOR VICE UNITS OR THE OTHER UNITS TO MAKE UP THE DEFICITS THEY HAVE BECAUSE THEY DON'T LIVE AT HOME AT THE DISTRICT LEVEL. SO THAT WAS THE FEEDBACK I WAS GETTING FROM OFFICERS WHO WERE TRYING TO BE HELPFUL ABOUT WAYS IN WHICH WE CAN REALLY ADDRESS THESE ISSUES, WAS THAT YOU MIGHT BE KILLING TWO BIRDS WITH ONE STONE BECAUSE WE DON'T HAVE ENOUGH OFFICERS IN TERMS OF WHAT

DISTRICT LEVEL.
SO WHAT HAPPENS IS, BECAUSE
THEY'RE UNDERSTAFFED, THEY USE
THAT OVERTIME BUDGET THEY'RE
ALLOTTED TO CALL IN OFFICERS WE
ALREADY HAVE, WHO ARE IN
SPECIALIZED UNIT, BUT DON'T LIVE
AT THAT DISTRICT LEVEL.
IF YOU DECENTRALIZE, THERE'S A
REAL IDEA THERE THAT YOU TAKE

THEY WOULD LIKE TO SEE AT THE

CARE OF A MASSIVE AMOUNT OF THIS OVERTIME.

SO WHEN WE'RE TALKING ABOUT SOLUTIONS TO THIS, I DO THINK THAT OFFICERS HAVE TO BE AT THE

TABLE ON THAT.

UNITS.

BUT WE ALSO HAVE TO JUST HAVE THOSE KINDS OF DISCUSSIONS, RIGHT.

WHAT ARE WE TALKING ABOUT? WHAT IS CAUSING ALL THIS OVERTIME?

WHY ARE WE ALLOTTING SO MUCH OVERTIME TO DISTRICT OFFICERS AND NOT USING THAT OVERTIME TO FILL IN RANKS THAT OFFICERS COULD USE IN DECENTRALIZED

THOSE ARE THE TYPES OF QUESTIONS I WOULD LIKE TO HAVE ANSWERED ON MONDAY.

IT'S THE CAPACITY WITH WHICH THEY CAN GO INTO IT.

IT MIGHT BE A CALL OR MEETING OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT.

THOSE ARE THE KINDS OF SOLUTIONS

WE'RE LOOKING AT, BECAUSE I

THINK THERE'S A WORLD IN WHICH

YOU HAVE OFFICERS MORE

CENTRALIZED IN OUR DISTRICT, AND

LESS ALL OVER THE PLACE, IN

TERMS OF THE BIKE UNIT AND ALL

OVER THE PLACE.

THEY COULD STILL BE THE BIKE UNIT AND FORM UP FOR MAJOR

EVENTS, BUT THEY'RE CENTRALIZED

IN THE DISTRICT.

THAT IS SOMETHING THAT KEPT

COMING UP THAT I WANTED TO MAKE SURE I HIGHLIGHT BECAUSE I KNOW

COUNCILOR FLYNN AND COUNCILOR

FLAHERTY HAVE TALKED ABOUT THE

OFFICER CAPACITY AT THE DISTRICT

LEVEL, NOT NECESSARILY OVERALL.

AND I JUST WANTED TO HIGHLIGHT

THAT, THAT I'VE HAD THOSE

CONVERSATIONS.

I THINK IT WILL BE INTERESTING

TO HEAR MORE ABOUT THAT FROM THE

ADMINISTRATION IN A MORE

DATA-DRIVEN, SIGNIFICANT WAY.

>> GREAT, THANK YOU, COUNCILOR ARROYO.

COUNCILOR MEJIA AND THEN

COUNCILOR FLYNN. COUNCILOR MEJIA. >> I WAS MUTED. CAN YOU HEAR ME? >> YUP, WE CAN HEAR AND SEE YOU. >> THANK YOU. THAT WE HAVE NOW.

SO I JUST REALLY WANT TO ECHO COUNCILOR JANEY'S COMMENTS IN TERMS OF THIS MOMENT IN TIME

AND I'M GOING TO BE-- EVERYBODY

KNOWS THIS IS MY FIRST BUDGET, SO I'M MANAGING ALL OF MY

EXPECTATIONS IN TERMS OF THE

BLOODSHED AND THE OUTCOME OF IT.

BUT I DO THINK IT'S IMPORTANT FOR US TO REALLY SEIZE THIS

MOMENT AND RIGHT A LOT OF THE

WRONGS.

IT'S REALLY FRUSTRATING TO A LOT OF ADVOCATES AND PEOPLE WHO HAVE BEEN FIGHTING FOR ISSUES LIKE

HOUSING, LIKE EDUCATION, POLICE

BRUTALITY, TO KEEP HEARING,

"WAIT, WAIT, WAIT, WAIT."

YOU KNOW, COUNCILOR BOK, I

REALLY DO APPRECIATE, YOU KNOW,

THE THOUGHTFULNESS OF THE

THREE-YEAR PLAN, BUT RIGHT NOW,

THERE'S A LEVEL OF FRUSTRATION

ABOUT THE WAITING GAME IS

BEGINNING TO TAKE A TOLL ON A

LOT OF FOLKS, RIGHT.

SO THE QUESTION IS-- AND WHAT I

HAVE BEEN ASKING MYSELF-- WHAT

IS IT GOING TO TAKE FOR US TO

ENSURE THAT WE'RE LOOKING AT THIS AS A LONG-TERM GAIN, BUT

THAT WE ARE LEADING IN A WAY

THAT IS BOLDER AND LOUDER ABOUT

THE THINGS THAT WE NEED TO

INVEST IN, RIGHT?

AND I THINK THAT THAT'S WHAT OUR CONSTITUENTS ARE LOOKING TO US

TO DO.

AND I THINK IN TERMS OF THE MANAGING OF THE EXPECTATIONS, THAT'S JUST SOMETHING THAT I'M GOING TO HAVE TO RECONCILE WITH. BUT I JUST DON'T FEEL COMFORTABLE RIGHT NOW GOING INTO THIS BUDGET VOTE KNOWING THAT

THE THINGS THAT PEOPLE HAVE BEEN FIGHTING FOR ARE GOING TO BE PUT

ON HOLD. FOR ANOTHER YEAR OR SO. IT IS LIKE-- IT'S NOW OR NEVER, RIGHT? AND IT'S THIS "US VERSUS THEM." LIKE, YOU CAN'T HAVE IT ALL. YOU CAN HAVE A LITTLE BIT OF THIS. I DON'T KNOW. I DON'T KNOW IF THAT'S THE RIGHT MESSAGE IN THIS TIME. PEOPLE ARE FRUSTRATED AND FED UP, AND I SHARE THAT SENTIMENT. AND I THINK THAT THE BUDGET NEEDS TO REFLECT. NOT JUST OUR VALUES, BUT THE CONSTITUENTS THAT WE SERVE. EXPRV HAS A DIFFERENT CONSTITUENCY GROUP, BUT I THINK WE CAN ALL AGREE THAT WE ALL WANT WHAT'S BEST FOR EVERYBODY. AND IF WE CAN GET TO A PLACE WHERE WE CAN BRING OUR DIFFERENCES TO THE TABLE AND COME UP WITH SOMETHING THAT'S A LITTLE BIT MORE COMPARABLE TO MAKING SURE NOBODY FEELS LIKE THAT THEY DIDN'T GET WHAT THEY WERE LOOKING FOR. AND I FEEL LIKE-- I DON'T KNOW, I JUST FEEL LIKE WE-- WE HAVE TOO MUCH-- TOO MUCH AT STAKE. AND WE DON'T HAVE ENOUGH PEOPLE-- I MEAN. IT'S NOT THAT WE DON'T HAVE ENOUGH PEOPLE. ANY RUNS TO PLAY POLITICS -- AND I ALWAYS SAY THAT-- THIS IS NOT AN OPPORTUNITY FOR TO US PLAY WITH PEOPLE'S LIVES. AND I THINK WE HAVE A RESPONSIBILITY TO DO WHAT'S RIGHT, RIGHT NOW, NOT DO WHAT'S RIGHT WHEN IT'S CONVENIENT. AND WE HAVE TO BE UNCOMFORTABLE. AND IT HAS TO BE ALL OF US. I KNOW WE'RE NOT ALL GOING TO BE IN AGREEMENT, BUT IT HAS TO BE SOMETHING WHERE ALL OF OUR-- YOU KNOW, WE HAVE TO RECOGNIZE THAT EVERYBODY HAS LITTLE POCKETS OF DIVERSE PERSPECTIVES IN EACH OF OUR DISTRICTS.

AND THOSE VOICES NEED TO MATTER

DURING THESE TIMES.

AND SO I THINK, YOU KNOW, I'M REALLY PUSHING FOR US TO GO HARDER, BE LOUDER, BE BOLDER, AND MORE AGGRESSIVE ABOUT WHAT THESE TIMES REQUIRE, AND I'M NOT INTERESTED IN WAWRGT DOWN ANY OF THESE ADVOCACY EFFORTS THAT WE HAVE BEEN MAKING HERE ON THE COUNCIL AND WHAT WE'VE BEEN HEARING FROM THE STREETS. AND SO IT IS LIKE GO HARD OR GO HOME.

THAT'S WHAT THESE TIMES REQUIRE. AND THAT IS WHAT PEOPLE EXPECT OF US.

AND THAT'S WHAT I'M LOOKING FOR. >> THANK YOU, COUNCILOR MEJIA. COUNCILOR FLYNN. COUNCILOR FLYNN? >> YES, THANK YOU, COUNCILOR BOK.

COUNCILOR BOK, I THINK IT WAS SAID PREVIOUSLY, BUT I JUST WANT TO STRESS THE, YOU KNOW, AS IT RELATES TO THE BUDGET, OUR LONG-TERM PLAN THIS YEAR AND OVER THE NEXT SEVERAL YEARS HAVE TO BE FACTORED IN AS IT RELATES TO THE LONG ISLAND BRIDGE. I WOULD LIKE TO KNOW WHERE WE'RE AT IN TERMS OF THE LONG ISLAND BRIDGE, BUT ALSO WHAT FUNDING IS AVAILABLE TO SUSTAIN THAT AND TO SUSTAIN THE EFFORTS THAT WE'RE DOING IN THE SOUTH END, ROXBURY, AND IN SOUTH BOSTON AS WELL, ALONG THAT AREA OF-- NEAR THE **BOSTON UNIVERSITY MEDICAL** CENTER.

I KNOW CAPTAIN SWEENEY, WORKING CLOSELY WITH THE SOUTH END COMMUNITY, AND THE SOUTH END WORKING GROUP AND THE POLICE, AND HEALTH PROVIDERS, SUBSTANCE ABUSE COUNSELORS, MEDICAL PROFESSIONALS, THEY HAD A VERY GOOD PLAN, AND IT WAS EFFECTIVE. I KNOW IT WAS OFF TRACK SINCE COVID-19, BUT I ALSO WANT TO SEE THAT GREAT PROGRESS THAT THEY'VE BEEN MAKING IN THAT SOUTH END AREA CONTINUE.
BUT JUST OVERALL WHAT, IS OUR-WHAT IS THE FINANCIAL SITUATION

FOR BUILDING THE LONG ISLAND BRIDGE? WHAT ABOUT THE INFRASTRUCTURE ON LONG ISLAND, THE HOUSES, HOW MUCH MONEY IS BEING INVESTED IN THERE, THE ROADS ON THE ISLAND AS WELL, AND HOW MUCH MONEY DO WE NEED TO SUSTAIN THAT ISLAND YEARLY ONCE THE BRIDGE IS BUILT? SO THOSE ISSUES ARE CRITICAL. THANK YOU, COUNCILOR BOK. >> GREAT, THANK YOU SO MUCH, COUNCILOR FLYNN. SEEING NO MORE BLUE HANDS, CAN YOU THROW MY LAST SLIDE BACK UP FOR A SECOND? THANK YOU. IDEALLY IN THE WAY IT JUST SHOWS THE FULL-- THERE WE GO. PERFECT. YEAH, SO I WANT TO THANK EVERYBODY FOR COMING TODAY AND SPEAKING. I FEEL VERY STRONGLY ABOUT THIS PROCESS THAT ONE OF THE-- I MEAN. I MENTIONED ALREADY THAT ONE OF THE CONSTRAINTS ON THE COUNCIL IS US ONLY BEING ABLE TO REDUCE LINE ITEMS, NOT BEING ABLE TO INCREASE ANY AND MOVE THEM. I ALSO THINK ANOTHER CONSTRAINT ON THE COUNCIL SOMETIMES IS OUR-- IS THE QUESTION OF, LIKE, WHETHER WE'RE ABLE TO COME TOGETHER LIKE THIS AND TALK ABOUT WHAT'S AT STAKE AND THE

ON THE COUNCIL SOMETIMES IS
OUR-- IS THE QUESTION OF, LIKE,
WHETHER WE'RE ABLE TO COME
TOGETHER LIKE THIS AND TALK
ABOUT WHAT'S AT STAKE AND THE
MOMENT THAT WE'RE IN.
SO THAT WAS WHY I WANTED TO
CREATE THIS SPACE FOR US TO DO
SO IN PUBLIC, PRIOR TO THE
HEARING ON MONDAY.
I THINK-- WHAT I WANT TO SAY TO
COUNCILOR MEJIA'S REALLY GOOD
POINT IS I THINK WE ABSOLUTELY,
WE HAVE TO MEET THIS MOMENT, AND
WE HAVE TO MEET IT URGENTLY.
AND I THINK I WANT TO BORROW A
METAPHOR FROM COUNCILOR ARROYO,
WHICH IS ABOUT MOVING A BIG
SHIP.
LIKE, A BIG SHIP TURNS SLOWLY,

BUT YOU'RE PUTTING, LIKE, ALL THE EFFORT IN TO TURNING IT FROM THE FIRST MOMENT, RIGHT.
IT'S NOT LIKE IT TURNS SLOWLY SO
YOU SORT OF, YOU KNOW, YOU'RE
GOING TO PUSH THAT OFF OR DO
THAT LATER.

THAT LATER.
I THINK WE HAVE TO BE DOING IT
IN THIS MOMENT AND BY THIS
MOMENT I MEAN THESE DAYS LEADING
UP TO THE BUDGET VOTE, THESE
COMING WEEKS WHAT, ARE THE
STRUCTURAL THINGS WE CAN DO AS A
COUNCIL TO MEET THIS MOMENT AND
NOT TO ASK PEOPLE TO WAIT FOR
OUR ACTION, BUT TO RECOGNIZE
THAT SOME OF THOSE CHANGES THAT
WE CAN START HAPPENING RIGHT NOW
ARE GOING TO HAVE-- ARE GOG-YOU KNOW, IT'S LIKE TURNING A
BIG SHIP.

THERE ARE THINGS ABOUT THEM, LIKE, OH, WE'RE GOING TO FEEL THAT IMPACT FROM THIS THING WE DO TODAY IN SIX MONTHS. WE'RE GOING TO FEEL THAT IMPACT IN A YEAR.

LIKE, THERE ARE GOING TO BE THINGS LIKE THAT.

BUT I THINK THAT'S IMPORTANTLY DIFFERENT FROM ASKING PEOPLE TO WAIT.

AND I THINK THAT'S WHAT WE HAVE TO BE TARGETING AS OPPOSED TO, LIKE, OH, MAYBE WE'LL HAVE A HEARING ABOUT SOMETHING IN THE FUTURE, WHICH I AGREE, LIKE, IS NOT-- IT'S NOT THE TENOR OF THE MOMENT.

SO I JUST WANT TO RAISE AGAIN, I THINK THIS WAS CONSISTENT WITH SOME OF THE THINGS THEY HEARD TODAY, THAT THERE'S AN-- AGAIN, I'LL HIGHLIGHT OUR COUNCIL PRESIDENT JUST, YOU KNOW, ON COMMITTING-- USING THIS MOMENT TO COMMIT TO A POLICY AGENDA FOR STRUCTURAL CHANGE, COMBAT SYSTEMIC RACISM, AND GOES BEYOND POLICING, REAL OUESTIONS AND CONCERNS ABOUT HOW THIS \$12 MILLION IS SPENT MOST IMPACTFULLY. ALSO QUESTIONS I'VE HEARD TODAY ABOUT HOW THAT \$12 MILLION IN

OVERTIME IS ACTUALLY GOING TO BE

SAVED.

THE YOUTH JOBS QUESTION,
YEAR-ROUND QUESTION, RECOGNIZING
THAT NOT AS JUST NICE TO HAVE
BUT A MUST HAVE FOR OUR FAMILIES
AND HOW TO SERIOUSLY REDUCE THE
POLICE BUDGET AND THINKING ABOUT
THAT, YES, IN A MULTI-YEAR WAY.
I THINK YOU HAVE TO WHEN YOU'RE
THINKING ABOUT SOMETHING THAT
INVOLVES PERSONNEL, BUT IN A WAY
THAT YOU CAN-- THAT WE CAN START
NOW.

AND AS WE THINK ABOUT SHIFTING THOSE RESOURCES OVER.
SO WE'RE KNOW-- SHANE-- WE ALWAYS HAVE TO THANK CENTRAL STAFF, THEY'RE AMAZING IN THESE PROCESSES-- IS ON, AND WE'RE PUTTING TOGETHER THE LIST OF QUESTIONS THAT FOLKS HAVE ASKED THAT ARE SORT OF DIRECTED AT THE MOTHERS MONDAY.

BUT I ALSO-- I ALSO JUST THINK IT'S BEEN HELPFUL FOR US TO TALK THIS THROUGH TOGETHER. AND I'M GRATEFUL TO ALL OF YOU FOR JOINING.

SO WITH THAT, I'M ADJOURNING THIS MEETING OF THE BOSTON CITY COUNCIL'S COMMITTEE ON WAYS AND MEANS.

I KNOW THERE'S ANOTHER HEARING AT NOON.

AND I LOOK FORWARD TO SEEING YOU ALL SOON.

THANKS SO MUCH.

- >> BYE!
- >> THANK YOU.
- >> THANK YOU.