```
;
; 07/31/17 2:36 AM
OF THE BOSTON RETIREMENT SYSTEM.
I AM JOINED BY MY GOOD FRIEND
FROM WEST ROXBURY, MATT
O'MALLEY.
THE FOLKS IN THE CHAMBER IF YOU
LIKE TO TESTIFY TO SIGN IN AND
INDICATE ON THE SHEET THAT YOU'D
LIKE TO TESTIFY.
PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, ADDRESS
AND ANY AFFILIATION.
ALSO LIKE TO LET FOLKS KNOW THAT
THIS HEARING IS BOTH BEING
BROADCAST AND RECORDED ON RCN
CHANNEL 82 AND COMCAST CHANNEL
I'D ASK FOLKS IN THE CHAMBER TO
SILENCE THEIR ELECTRONIC
DEVICES.
I WOULD LIKE TO WELCOME TO THE
CHAMBER, ALLAN McCARTHY AND
TIM SMITH.
FOR THEIR PRESENTATION ON THIS
DOCKET.
>> THANK YOU VERY MUCH,
COUNCILOR.
I'M TIMOTHY SMITH THE EXECUTIVE
OFFICER OF THE BOSTON RETIREMENT
SYSTEM.
AS YOUMED TO MY RIGHT IS ELLEN
McCARTHY, OUR INTERIM
COMPTROLLER.
JUST BRIEFLY, AS YOU INDICATED
WE'RE HERE FOR THE COLA BASS,
MASSACHUSETTS PENSION LAW ALLOWS
US TO INCREASE THE COLA BASE
UNDER CERTAIN CIRCUMSTANCES, AS
YOU MAY KNOW, COLA IS BASED ON A
PERCENTAGE.
HISTORICALLY THE BOSTON BOARD IS
GRANTED A 3% COLA YEAR AFTER
BUT IT'S BASED ON A BASE.
IT'S NOT BASED ON A PERSON
COMPLETE RETIREMENT ALLOWANCE.
SINCE AUGUST 10th, 2012, THE
COLA BASE HAS BEEN $13,000.
SO IT'S 3% ON -- PRESENTLY 3% ON
```

\$13,000 THAT IS WHAT A RETIRE

EYE FROM BOSTON USE AS COLA YEAR AFTER YEAR.

WE'RE MAKING REQUEST TODAY TO INCREASE THAT BASE TO 14,000 DOLLARS, MASSACHUSETTS LAW REQUIRES THAT AFTER THE BOARD VOTE THAT IT BE APPROVED BY CITY COUNCIL WHICH IS OBVIOUSLY WHERE WE ARE TODAY.

JUST TO GIVE YOU SOME BACKGROUND UPON THE BOARD, DID I PASS OUT THIS -- THIS IS A RETIREMENT BOARD FUNDED RATIO MEMO. THUMBNAIL, IT GIVES AN IDEA OF HOW FINANCIALLY STRONG WE ARE AND AS YOU CAN SEE, WE'RE -- AS OF 1-1-16 WE'RE 75% FUNDED. OUR FULLY FUNDED SCHEDULE DAY IS 2025 AS YOU GO UP THE LIST YOU CAN SEE ONE OF THE MORE AGGRESSIVE SYSTEMS IN THE STATE AND AS FAR AS BIG CITY BOARD WE'RE ONE OF THE MORE HIGHLY FUNDED BOARDS AS WELL. MISS McCARTHY WAS VERY KIND TO BOIL DOWN IN THIS DOCUMENT HERE THAT WE PASSED OUT THE COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THIS COLA-BASE INCREASE.

CURRENTLY OUR YEAR TO DATE RATE OF RETURN WERE PLUS 8.6% FEES, ALREADY HIT OUR TARGET WHICH WE'RE OBVIOUSLY DELIGHTED ABOUT BUT WE HAVE NUMBER OF MONTHS TO GO BEFORE THE YEAR IS OUT. WE'RE NOT COUNTING OUR CHICKENS YET.

I'D LIKE TO TURN IT OVER IF YOU HAVE IF I QUESTIONS.

>> SURE, THANKS.

OBVIOUSLY WE'RE GOING TO KEEP THE FUNDING SCHEDULE TO THE 2025 PERIOD THAT IT'S GOING TO INCREASE JUST FOR THE RECORD CAN YOU GIVE US AN INDICATION OF WHAT THE INCREASE PAYMENT WILL BE GOING FORWARD.

>> THE UNFUNDED LIABILITY WILL INCREASE BY 25.4 MILLION DO DOLLARS.

WITH THIS INCREASE FROM 2025. >> THAT WILL REQUIRE AN ADDITIONAL FY18 APPROPRIATION. >> I THINK THAT'S 10.35% GREATER THAN FY17.

THEN THE COST WILL INCREASE YEARLY BY 8.85%.

>> RIGHT.

YOU SAID THAT THIS YEAR'S RETURN WAS 8.6%.

>> TO DATE.

OBVIOUSLY MARKETS FLUCTUATE, IT CAN TANK NEXT MONTH, BUILT RIGHT NOW WE'RE PLUS 8.6% NET FEES.

>> I THINK LAST YEAR WAS BELOW 7% RETURN?

>> WE WERE ABOVE IT BUT NOT BY MUCH.

7.75%.

>> RIGHT, THAT'S WHAT WE'RE BASING EVERYTHING.

>> IRA I SHOULD SAY.

STILL 7.75.

WE HAVEN'T TOUCHED THAT OR THE FUNDED DATE.

>> DOES IT IMPACT LIABILITY AT ALL?

>> I CAN'T REALLY SPEAK TO THAT, IT'S A LITTLE BEYOND MY EX PER TESS, I'M -- EXPERTISE, I'M SORRY.

>> DO YOU HAVE ANYTHING? I DON'T.

OTHER THAN TO REALLY THANK
ANGELA AND HER TEAM FOR PUSHING
THIS, PROUD TO SUPPORT IT.
YOUR PREDECESSOR FAMOUSLY SAID
IN THIS ROOM WE OFTEN LOSE VOTES
SO IT'S NICE AFFORD GOOD SENSE
LEGISLATION THAT I THINK IS
FAIR, MODEST, PROUD TO BE IN
SUPPORT OF THIS, TIM AND YOUR
TEAM.

>> THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

LET ME BRING UP -- YOU WANT
TO COME UP AND TESTIFY, PLEASE?

SAM TILER FROM THE BOSS BOSS

MUNICIPAL RESEARCH -- BOSTON

MUNICIPAL RESEARCH BUREAU.

>> MR. CHAIRMAN, MEMBER.

FOR THE RECORD MY NAME IS SAM

TYLER, PRESIDENT OF THE BOSTON

MUNICIPAL RESEARCH BUREAU.

UNLIKE PREVIOUS SPEAKERS I'M

HERE TO OPPOSE THE \$14,000 BASE

AND JUST AS ASK THAT THE COUNCIL

KEEP IT AT 13,000.

MY CONCERN AS YOU KNOW FROM

PRIOR TESTIMONIES IS THAT THE CITY DO NOTHING TO INCREASE THE LIABILITY BUT TO ENSURE THAT THE CITY MAINTAINS A SCHEDULE TO REACH FULL FUNDING AND CONVENTION LIABILITY BY 2025. AND AS YOU ALREADY HEARD, THIS VOTE WOULD INCREASE THE PENSION LIABILITY BY 2025. BY 25.4 MILLION. IN OUR VIEW THIS IS MOVING THE CITY IN THE WRONG DIRECTION. THE CITY'S PENSION LIABILITY AT THIS POINT IN TIME BASED ON THE ACTUAL -- ACTUARIAL EVALUATION OF JANUARY 1 OF 2016 IS ONLY 69.4% BASED ON MARKET VALUATION. SO THAT WE'VE GOT A LONG WAY TO GO IN THAT RESPECT. WE'RE CONCERNED ABOUT THE CITY'S SIZABLE LONG-TERM UNFUNDED PENSION AND RETIREE HEALTH INSURANCE LIABILITY AND THEIR GROWING COSTS AND IMPLICATION FOR FUTURE CITY SERVICES. SO, BASED ON THE CURRENT ACTUARIAL EVALUATION AND REVIEW REPORT FOR THE BOSTON RETIREMENT SYSTEM AS OF JANUARY 1, 2016, THE MOST RECENT REPORT, BOSTON'S ANNUAL PENSION APPROPRIATION WILL INCREASE FROM 221 MILLION TO FISCAL 2018 TO AN ESTIMATED \$393.8 MILLION IN 2025. THAT IS INCREASE OF \$172.6 MILLION OR 78% OVER SEVEN YEARS. I THINK THAT IS CONCERNING, OF COURSE.

THIS YEAR BUDGET, AT LEAST IN TERMS OF WHAT WAS APPROVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL, THE BUDGET FOR THE PENSION SYSTEM WILL INCREASE BY 11% WHILE THE OVERALL CITY BUDGET INCREASES ONLY BY 4.9%. THAT'S ONE OF THE ISSUES THAT WE'RE SEEING ALSO IN -- TRENDS OVER THE YEARS THAT THE MANDATORY NONDISCRETIONARY EXPENSES ARE INCREASING AT A MUCH FASTER PACE THAN DEPARTMENTAL SERVICES. BASICALLY 7% TO 5% OR ACTUALLY 4.9%. THAT TREND IS TROUBLING IF THAT

WERE TO CONTINUE THIS WILL ADD TO THAT.

OVER THE LAST FOUR YEARS THE RETIREMENT BOARD'S INVESTMENT RETURN ON MARKET BASIS WAS 6.28% WHICH IS, I THINK, MORE RELIABLE BASIS THAN THE ACTUARIAL NUMBERS THAT YOU HEARD.

AND FOLKS THAT LOOKED AT OTHER STATES WHERE THERE'S MORE OF A TENDENCY TO NOT INCLUDE -- NOT ALLOW ANY COLA INCREASE UNTIL THAT RETIREMENT SYSTEM REACH 80% OF FULL FUNDING.

AND WE'RE AT 69%.

OUR RECOMMENDATION HAS BEEN TO FOLLOW THAT PATTERN NOT INCREASE THE COLA BASE INCREASE, WE HAVE SUPPORTED THE 3% OF THE 13,000 COLA BUT NOT INCREASING THE BASE TO 14,000.

BASICALLY FOR ALL THOSE REASONS AND THE FACT THAT -- SUPPORT REACH FULL FUNDING OF THE PENSION BY 2025, THE CITY CAN REALLY SERIOUSLY ADDRESS THE 2.26 BILLION LIABILITY AND THE PENSION LIABILITY AND THE CHANGE IN THE BASE SO IT WILL HAVE NO IMPACT SINCE THAT IS BASED ON THE RETIREMENT -- I'M SORRY, THE HEALTH INSURANCE LIABILITY. BUT REACHING FULL FUNDING BY 2025 MEANS THAT BASICALLY 84% OF WHAT IS PAID IN 2025 PENSIONS GOES AWAY, THOSE DOLLARS THEN CAN BE TRANSFERRED TO THE OPED LIABILITY, BUT KEEPING SOME OF THOSE DOLLARS WITH THE PENSION SYSTEM TO ENSURE THAT IT REMAINS FULL FUNDING.

FOR THOSE REASONS, THE IMPACT FUTURE IMPACT ON THE OPERATING BUDGET.

THE OPERATING BUDGET INCREASE, RESUBMITTAL INCLUDED \$3 MILLION INCREASE IN THE PENSION APPROPRIATION BECAUSE OF INCREASING ANTICIPATED VOTE BY THE CITY COUNCIL TO APPROVE THE BASE INCREASE.

THAT \$3 MILLION WILL INCREASE EACH YEAR UP UNTIL '25, 2025. CLEARLY IN YOUR DELIBERATION

WITH OTHER DEPARTMENTAL SPENDING I THINK YOU COULD IDENTIFY OTHER AREAS WHERE \$3 MILLION COULD COME IN VERY HANDY AND INCREASING THE PENSION APPROPRIATIONS.

KNOWING FULL WELL THE VOTE ON WEDNESDAY, WE STILL MAINTAIN OUR POSITION THAT THERE SHOULD BE NO INCREASE IN THE COLA BASE AT THIS TIME, DO NOTHING TO CHANGE IN ANY WAY ABILITY FOR CITY TO REACH FULL FUNDING BY 2025. >> THANK YOU.

LET ME RACKING THAT WE'VE JUST BEEN JOINED BY AT-LARGE CITY COUNCIL, ANNNISSA ESSAIBI GEORGE.

WE ECHO THE COMMENTS FROM MAT O'MALLEY, THANK ANDREA FOR BEING HERE.

DID ANYONE ELSE WANT TO TESTIFY? I'M SORRY.

WHILE HE'S COMING UP, JUST LIKE FOR THE RECORD SAY THAT THE LAST TIME WE DID ADJUST THE COLA WAS IN IN 012, IT.

>> CORRECT L. IS ONE ITEM I
SHOULD PROBABLY POINT OUT.
BY STATUTE IF WE DO INCREASE THE
BASE THERE'S NO GOING BACK.
ONCE IT'S SET, IT'S SET.
NO BUYER'S REMORSE FIVE YEARS
DOWN THE ROAD IT STAYS.
>> ONLY CAN GO UP, CAN'T GO

DOWN.

>> I THINK WE'RE BEING THE RESPONSIBLE GENERATION NOW, WE'RE PAYING DOWN THIS LIABILITY AND IT'S BASICALLY FALLING ON THE SHOULDERS OF OUR CURRENT RETIREES AND TO SAM'S POINT WHEN WE DO FUND THIS IN 2025 AND START CHIPPING AWAY AT OUR OPEB LIABILITY THIS GENERATION OF THE CITY WORKERS WILL HAVE PAID THAT DOWN AFTER ASSUMING THE LIABILITY FOR GENERATIONS PAST, WE'RE TRYING TO BALANCE THE CI CITY'S FINANCIAL HEALTH WHICH I THINK WE'RE DOING A PRETTY GOOD JOB AT BUT ALSO NOT TOTALLY PUT ON THE BACK OF OUR CURRENT RETIREES, MR. CONNELLY, I'M

## SORRY.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILOR.
MY NAME IS LAWRENCE CONNELLY,
I'M THE COCHAIR OF THE RETIRED
TEACHERS CHAPTER LEGISLATIVE
COMMITTEE.

WE'RE BEEN WORKING TRYING TO INCREASE THIS COLA FUND QUITE AWHILE.

WE WORKED ON THE STATE LEVEL TO GET IT ENABLED AND WE'VE BEEN WORKING ON THE CITY LEVEL.
I APPRECIATE THE FACT THAT THERE'S AN UNFUNDED LIABILITY, BUT THAT'S NOT THE FAULT OF THE RETIREES, THEY PAID THEIR PERCENTAGE SHARE WHICH WAS REQUIRED EVERY YEAR.
IT'S UP TO 11% NOW ON TEACHERS,

WHICH IS ONE OF THE HIGHEST IN THE NATION.

AND THE UNFUNDED LIABILITY WAS BECAUSE THE RETIREMENT SYSTEM LOOKED PRIOR TO 1987 WAS BASICALLY A PONZI SCHEME, MONEY CAME IN IT WAS PAID OUT TO THE PEOPLE THAT RETIRED, VERY LITTLE OF IT WAS INVESTED.

SO, IT REALLY WASN'T SU SUSTAINABLE.

THE WAY IT IS NOW IS RESPONSIBLE BUT UNFORTUNATELY, BURDEN IS PLACED ON THE PEOPLE CURRENTLY RETIRED THEY SHOULDN'T HAVE TO ACCEPT THE ENTIRE BURDEN.

MR. TYLER REFERRED TO THE FACT THAT SOME SYSTEMS IN OTHER STATES ARE NOT CURRENTLY GIVING COLAS AND THAT'S TRUE.

BUT SOME STATES RETIREES ARE PAYING NOTHING INTO THEIR RETIREMENT SYSTEM.

YOU REMEMBER THE BIG TO DO PEOPLE WERE SIT IN, THEY WERE GOING TO CHARGE RETIREES 5% OF

BOSTON WERE PAYING 11%.
PEOPLE IN MASSACHUSETTS WERE
PAYING 11% FOR QUITE AWHILE.

THEIR PENSION WHILE PEOPLE IN

PAYING TO SOCIAL SECURITY.

ONE OF SEVEN STATES THAT DO NOT PAY IN TO SOCIAL SECURITY F. WE WERE PAYING INTO SOCIAL SECURITY THE CITY WOULD BE PAYING 6.2% ON

WHATEVER EARNINGS SOMEONE MADE. PEOPLE THEN WOULD GET ABOUT 27-28,000 DOLLARS IN SOCIAL SECURITY BENEFITS THAT MASSACHUSETTS RETIREES DO NOT GET.

YOU GET A COLA INCREASE EVERY YEAR BASED ON THE CPI, WE DON'T GET THAT.

THERE ARE SOME LIABILITIES DOWN THE LINE, BUT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT APPLES AND ORANGES NOT EVERY RETIREE GETS HEALTH BENEFITS FROM THE CITY. WE DON'T KNOW WHAT IS GOING TO COME DOWN THE LINE.

THE CITY IN ITS FIRST YEAR BACK IN 20110 -- I THINK IT WAS 2010, THEY SAY 17.5 MILLION WHEN THEY MANDATED MEDICARE.

NOW THAT MEANT THAT RETIREES WERE -- HAD TO PAY MEDICARE BENEFITS ON EARNINGS THAT THEY MADE OUTSIDE THE RETIREMENT SYSTEM.

BENEFITS THAT THE CITY NEVER MATCHED THE SOCIAL SECURITY PAYMENTS.

I DON'T LIKE TO MINIMIZE THE OPEB BENEFITS THAT'S SOMETHING COMPLETELY DIFFERENT.

WE COULD HAVE NATIONAL HEALTH, NOBODY KNOWS WHAT IS GOING TO HAPPEN IN CONGRESS, COULD BE SINGLE-PAYER HEALTH INSURANCE, SO, I THINK WE SHOULD CONCENTRATE JUST ON THE RETIREMENT BENEFITS.

THAT'S WHAT THE LIABILITY IS AND THE CITY SAYS THE MAYOR'S FINANCIAL ADVISOR, MR. SWEENEY SAID THAT THE CITY CAN HANDLE AN INCREASE OF A THOUSAND DOLLARS. BOSTON IS BOOMING.

ON TOP OF THEIR 2.5% TAX
ABILITY, I THINK IT'S \$75
MILLION MORE COMING IN THIS YE
YEAR.

THE RETIREE SHOULD SHARE IN SOME THAT HAVE BENEFIT.

THEY BUILT THE CITY.

THEY WORKED FOR 40 YEARS PUTTING OWL THE FIRES, POLICING THE STREETS, TEACHING THE CHILDREN,

SWEEPING THE STREETS AND PLOWING THE STREETS.

WE'RE NOT ASKING FOR CHARITY.
WE'RE ASKING FOR OUR DUE AND THE
CITY CAN AFFORD IT WE THINK IT
SHOULD BE GRANTED.

>> THANK YOU, MR. CONNELLY.

FOR THAT TESTIMONY.

THANK YOU ALL FOR YOUR TESTIMONY.

WE WILL TAKE THIS UP AT OUR COUNCIL MEETING ON WEDNESDAY. THIS HEARING STANDS ADJOURNED.

>> THANK YOU VERY MUCH.